ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26oct350

Behavioral Psychology of Homo Sapiens: An Interdisciplinary Review of Evolution, Cognition, Emotion and Culture

Astha Singh[1]; Sarvar Jahan[2*]

[1]Students of B.sc Forensic Science, Faculty of Science, SAM Global University, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh
[2]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Science, SAM Global University, Raisen

Corresponding Author: Sarvar Jahan[2*]

Publication Date: 2026/11/25

Abstract: Human behavior is best understood as a product of several interacting systems rather than as the outcome of a single psychological or biological cause. This narrative review brings together perspectives from evolutionary psychology, cognitive science, developmental research, social psychology, and cultural evolution to examine how human behavioral capacities are expressed across contexts. The review focuses on cognition and decision-making, emotion, social learning, cooperation, competition, cultural transmission, and behavioral variation. The literature indicates that evolutionary history provides important biological foundations, but these foundations do not specify a single behavioral outcome. Developmental experience, social relationships, institutions, cultural practices, and immediate environmental conditions influence how psychological capacities are expressed. Evidence concerning cultural evolution is particularly relevant because socially transmitted information can shape learning processes and contribute to the development of human cognitive mechanisms. Emotion similarly appears to involve an interaction between affective processes and culturally acquired concepts and expectations. Human cooperation provides another illustration of this multilevel interaction, with kinship, reciprocity, reputation, norms, punishment, institutions, and cultural transmission contributing in different circumstances. The review also considers the generalizability problem in psychology, especially the heavy representation of Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic populations in behavioral research. Overall, the literature supports an integrative framework in which biological inheritance, development, cognition, emotion, social interaction, and culture operate as mutually influencing components of human behavior.

Keywords: *Homo Sapiens; Behavioral Psychology; Evolutionary Psychology; Cultural Evolution; Cognition; Emotion; Social Behavior; Decision-Making; Human Cooperation*

How to Cite: Astha Singh; Sarvar Jahan (2026) Behavioral Psychology of Homo Sapiens: An Interdisciplinary Review of Evolution, Cognition, Emotion and Culture. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(10), 3560-3564. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26oct350

I. INTRODUCTION

The behavior of Homo sapiens reflects the operation of biological, psychological, social, and cultural processes at the same time. People communicate using complex symbolic systems, establish relationships, cooperate beyond close kin, compete for resources and status, learn from other people, and modify their conduct when social or environmental conditions change. These characteristics make human behavior difficult to explain adequately from a single disciplinary perspective.

Different areas of psychology emphasize different components of this complexity. Cognitive psychology examines attention, memory, reasoning, learning, and choice; social psychology investigates interpersonal influence, relationships, groups, and cooperation; developmental psychology considers how behavior changes across the lifespan; and evolutionary approaches ask how psychological capacities may have emerged in the history of the species. Cultural psychology and cultural-evolution research add another dimension by emphasizing that people acquire socially transmitted knowledge and use culturally organized systems to interpret their surroundings.

The boundaries between these approaches have become increasingly permeable. Work on cultural evolution, for instance, challenges the idea that culture merely surrounds an otherwise genetically specified mind. Heyes (2020) argues that cultural learning can contribute to the construction of

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26oct350

specialized cognitive mechanisms. Likewise, research on cooperation indicates that neither a purely genetic account nor a purely economic model captures the range of human social behavior. Evolutionary processes, social norms, reputation, reciprocity, punishment, institutions, and developmental experiences can operate together (Henrich & Muthukrishna, 2021).

Emotion illustrates the same problem. Contemporary approaches question a simple opposition between universal biological emotions and culturally variable experience. Cultural processes can influence how affective states are categorized, understood, communicated, and regulated (Lindquist et al., 2022). The present review therefore treats evolutionary, psychological, developmental, and cultural explanations as complementary levels of analysis rather than mutually exclusive alternatives.

➢ *Research Gap*

A substantial body of literature addresses evolutionary psychology, cognition, emotion, development, social behavior, and culture, yet these traditions are often discussed separately. An important unresolved issue is how evolved capacities interact with developmental learning, cultural transmission, social institutions, and contemporary environments. A second issue concerns the extent to which findings obtained from particular populations can be generalized to humanity more broadly.

Henrich, Heine, and Norenzayan (2010) drew attention to the disproportionate use of Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic populations in psychological research. Their analysis raises a generalizability problem: a pattern observed repeatedly in one cultural population cannot automatically be assumed to represent a species-wide behavioral tendency. A third issue concerns the relationship between biological and cultural evolution. Recent scholarship increasingly treats culture as an active component of human evolutionary history rather than as an external influence acting on a fixed psychological system.

This review addresses these gaps by synthesizing literature on cognition, emotion, cooperation, social learning, cultural variation, and evolutionary processes within a single conceptual framework.

➢ *Objectives*

- To examine major psychological mechanisms involved in human behavior.
- To explain how evolutionary processes interact with development and learning.
- To examine the joint contribution of cognition and emotion to decision-making.
- To explore social learning and cultural evolution as influences on behavioral development.
- To discuss cooperation and competition as context-sensitive forms of social behavior.
- To identify limitations of treating psychological findings as universally representative.
- To develop an interdisciplinary framework for understanding behavioral variation in Homo sapiens.

➢ *Research Questions*

- How do biological, cognitive, emotional, developmental, and cultural processes combine to shape behavior?
- How does culturally transmitted knowledge influence human cognitive development?
- How do affective and cognitive processes jointly contribute to decisions?
- What mechanisms help explain cooperation among humans?
- Why can substantial behavioral differences occur among populations sharing a common species history?
- What methodological problems arise when psychological findings are generalized across cultures?

II. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

This paper adopts a narrative interdisciplinary review design. It does not present a new experiment, participant survey, clinical intervention, or original dataset. Instead, the literature was organized around five connected domains: evolutionary explanations of behavior; cognition and decision-making; emotion; social cooperation and learning; and cultural variation and cultural evolution.

Priority was given to peer-reviewed reviews, theoretical contributions, and established scholarly publications. Literature from approximately 2018–2026 was emphasized where relevant, while earlier publications were retained when they provide important conceptual foundations. Because the study is a narrative synthesis rather than a systematic meta-analysis, the conclusions are conceptual and should not be interpreted as pooled estimates of behavioral effect sizes.

➢ *Human Behavior as a Multilevel Phenomenon*

Human behavior can be considered at several interconnected levels. Biological processes include nervoussystem activity, endocrine regulation, genetic variation, and physiological state. Psychological processes include attention, memory, motivation, emotion, learning, personality, and reasoning. Social processes include relationships, group membership, institutions, expectations, and norms. Cultural processes include language, values, traditions, technologies, and knowledge transmitted between people and generations.

These levels need not compete with one another. Consider cooperation: a person's decision to help another individual may reflect emotional responses, previous learning, expectations of reciprocity, concern for reputation, culturally shared norms, and institutional incentives. The same visible behavior can therefore emerge from different combinations of mechanisms. This is especially important for Homo sapiens because human groups have an extensive capacity to acquire, modify, and transmit socially learned information (Bender, 2020; Heyes, 2020).

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26oct350

A multilevel model also reduces the risk of biological reductionism. Identifying an evolutionary contribution to a behavioral capacity does not establish that behavior is genetically fixed, nor does it imply that the same response should occur in every environment. The useful question is often how different levels constrain and modify one another.

- *Evolution and the Development of Human Behavioral Capacities*

Evolutionary psychology treats psychological characteristics as biological phenomena with evolutionary histories and uses this perspective to generate explanations concerning social relationships, threat management, mating, status, cooperation, and decision-making. Its contribution is most informative when evolutionary hypotheses are considered alongside developmental and environmental processes rather than as deterministic accounts of behavior.

A behavioral pattern may be widespread without being genetically predetermined. Likewise, possessing a psychological capacity does not guarantee identical behavioral expression across settings. Human development is particularly relevant because genetic inheritance operates together with cultural inheritance. Cultural practices modify developmental environments, and those environments can influence subsequent behavioral patterns and, over longer periods, evolutionary processes.

Bender (2020) emphasizes the importance of treating culture as an evolutionary force in the study of cognition. From this perspective, human behavioral capacities are shaped through feedback between inherited biological systems and culturally structured environments. Cultural evolution therefore provides a framework for explaining flexibility that cannot be captured by a strictly biological model.

- *Cognition and Human Decision-Making*

Decision-making is embedded in everyday activities involving relationships, work, resources, risk, consumption, health, and long-term goals. Classical economic models often represent decision-makers as rational optimizers, whereas psychological research shows that choices can be affected by attention, memory, framing, emotion, prior experience, social context, and cognitive shortcuts.

Comparative and evolutionary research has also examined whether particular decision patterns have counterparts in other primates, offering clues about their possible evolutionary origins (Santos & Rosati, 2015). Contemporary research continues to document systematic patterns in how people evaluate immediate and delayed outcomes. The 2026 systematic review by Taş, Maknoon, and Rezaei, for example, synthesizes evidence concerning time-related biases in intertemporal decision-making.

However, interpreting every deviation from an idealized rational model as a cognitive failure can be misleading. People frequently decide with incomplete information, time pressure, uncertainty, and competing goals. A shortcut that produces a biased response under a controlled laboratory condition may sometimes provide an efficient response in a particular ecological setting. Human decision-making is therefore better examined in relation to task demands and environmental structure than through a simple rational-versusirrational distinction.

- *Emotion and Behavioral Regulation*

Emotion influences attention, motivation, memory, interpersonal behavior, and choice, but contemporary accounts increasingly recognize that affective processes are embedded in cultural environments. Lindquist et al. (2022) review evidence suggesting that cultural evolution contributes to the development and transmission of emotion concepts, while biological affective processes provide important foundations.

The social meaning of emotional expression can differ across settings. In some contexts, emotional restraint may be expected, whereas other environments may reward open expression. Such variation does not necessarily require completely different biological emotional systems. Rather, broadly shared affective capacities can be interpreted, labeled, regulated, and communicated through culturally learned frameworks.

An integrated account therefore treats emotion as neither purely biological nor purely cultural. Biological processes help make affective responses possible, while learning and social expectations influence how those responses are experienced and expressed. This interaction is important for understanding behavioral regulation in real-world settings.

- *Cultural Evolution and Human Cognition*

Culture is increasingly recognized as a contributor to cognitive development rather than simply the background against which an inherited mind operates. Heyes (2020) describes some human cognitive mechanisms as “cognitive gadgets,” emphasizing the role of cultural learning in constructing specialized abilities. This position does not deny biological preparedness; instead, it proposes that inherited developmental capacities can support the acquisition of culturally transmitted cognitive systems.

Language illustrates this interaction clearly. Humans possess biological capacities that support complex communication, yet the particular language learned by an individual is determined by the social environment. Imitation provides another example: social learning enables individuals to acquire skills, practices, and knowledge from other people, but learning is not necessarily a mechanical copy of observed behavior.

Cultural evolution consequently operates across multiple timescales. Individual learning affects what is retained or modified, while repeated transmission can produce population-level changes in practices and knowledge. The resulting feedback between biological capacities, development, social interaction, and cultural systems complicates a strict nature-versus-nurture distinction.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26oct350

➢ *Social Learning and Cultural Transmission*

Children and adults acquire a wide range of information through interaction with other people, including language, practical skills, behavioral expectations, social norms, and cultural practices. This capacity for social learning helps explain how knowledge can accumulate across generations.

Nevertheless, cultural evolution cannot be reduced to imitation. Singh et al. (2021) argue for a broader framework that includes multiple mechanisms of cultural change. Individuals selectively attend to information, alter practices, combine previously learned elements with new information, and may reject transmitted behaviors. Cultural transmission is therefore an active and potentially transformative process rather than a simple copying mechanism.

The relationship can be represented conceptually as: Biological capacities → learning and development → social interaction → cultural transmission → behavioral adaptation → changes in the social environment. Feedback through this sequence can continue across generations, linking psychological development with broader cultural change.

➢ *Human Cooperation*

Cooperation is a central feature of human social organization. People cooperate with relatives as well as unrelated individuals, and modern societies depend on coordination through institutions, markets, educational systems, legal arrangements, and shared norms.

Henrich and Muthukrishna (2021) argue that no single evolutionary mechanism is sufficient to account for human cooperation. Kinship, reciprocity, reputation, signaling, punishment, norms, institutions, and cultural evolution can each contribute under different circumstances. Cooperation is therefore better understood as a multicomponent process whose expression depends on social and institutional conditions.

Human sociality also includes competition, conflict, status differences, and intergroup tension. The same individual may cooperate within one social relationship and compete in another. Contemporary collective problems, including misinformation, public-health challenges, and climate-related coordination, further illustrate the importance of understanding cooperation within institutional and cultural contexts.

➢ *Cooperation, Competition and Social Context*

Cooperation and competition are not necessarily fixed personality categories. Competitive settings can encourage effort, innovation, or acquisition of valued resources, whereas cooperation can support collective problem-solving and coordination. Which response emerges depends partly on incentives, relationships, perceived fairness, group identity, norms, and institutional arrangements.

An evolutionary perspective helps explain why humans possess capacities relevant to both cooperative and competitive behavior, but it does not by itself determine when those capacities will be expressed. Cultural expectations and institutional structures can alter the costs and benefits associated with different responses. Cross-cultural research is therefore essential for identifying which behavioral patterns are broadly shared and which are context-dependent (Henrich & Muthukrishna, 2021).

➢ *Culture and Behavioral Variation*

A common species history does not imply behavioral uniformity. Human populations differ in social norms, family organization, communication practices, emotional display rules, concepts of self, authority relationships, cooperation, and moral expectations. These differences create a methodological challenge for psychological research because culturally specific assumptions can influence both the questions researchers ask and the interpretation of observed behavior.

Henrich, Heine, and Norenzayan (2010) showed that psychological research has relied heavily on Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic populations. Their analysis cautions against treating these populations as automatically representative of humanity. The issue is therefore not limited to obtaining diverse samples; researchers must also consider whether concepts, measures, tasks, and theoretical assumptions have comparable meanings across cultural settings.

At the same time, cultural explanations should not replace biological or psychological analysis. Human behavior emerges through interaction among levels. Evolution may establish broad capacities, development shapes their organization, cognition and emotion regulate responses, social environments provide immediate cues and incentives, and culture supplies systems of meaning and knowledge.

III. INTEGRATED DISCUSSION

The reviewed literature converges on a central proposition: human behavior is dynamic and multilevel. Evolutionary history helps explain why humans possess particular capacities, but developmental experience determines how those capacities are organized. Cognitive and affective processes shape immediate responses, while social relationships and institutions alter the situations in which those responses occur. Culture contributes learned concepts, practices, norms, and strategies that can persist and change through transmission.

This framework also clarifies why apparently conflicting findings may coexist. A behavior can have a biological foundation while showing substantial cultural variation in its expression. Similarly, a decision process can display systematic bias under one set of conditions while functioning efficiently in another. Cooperation can be supported by evolved social motives but also transformed by institutions and cultural norms. Rather than selecting one explanatory level, interdisciplinary research can ask how the levels interact.

A further implication concerns the interpretation of universality. Findings replicated across diverse populations

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26oct350

provide stronger evidence for broad behavioral regularities than findings obtained from culturally narrow samples. Conversely, variation across populations is not evidence against biological influence; it may indicate that common capacities are being organized differently by development and culture.

IV. LIMITATIONS

This review has several limitations. First, it is narrative rather than systematic and therefore does not provide a formal risk-of-bias assessment or quantitative synthesis of effect sizes. Second, the literature spans several disciplines with different methods and theoretical assumptions, which limits direct comparison among findings. Third, the review emphasizes selected literature and cannot represent the entire behavioral-science evidence base. Finally, the continuing concern about population diversity means that conclusions should not be generalized beyond the populations and contexts represented in the underlying studies without appropriate evidence.

V. CONCLUSION

Human behavior is most plausibly understood as the outcome of continuous interaction among evolved biological capacities, development, cognition, emotion, social relationships, institutions, and cultural transmission. The literature reviewed here does not support a simple biological-deterministic account, nor does it support treating culture as an independent force detached from human biology. Instead, behavioral flexibility appears to arise partly because inherited capacities are expressed through developmental and cultural environments.

An interdisciplinary approach is therefore valuable for studying Homo sapiens. It can accommodate both behavioral commonalities and substantial variation across individuals and populations, while avoiding the assumption that any single level of explanation is sufficient. Future research would benefit from broader cross-cultural sampling, closer integration of developmental and cultural-evolutionary approaches, and methods capable of examining behavior across multiple timescales and social contexts.

REFERENCES

[1]. Bender, A. (2020). The role of culture and evolution for human cognition. Topics in Cognitive Science, 12(4), 1403–1420. https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12449
[2]. Beller, S., & Bender, A. (2020). Editors' review and introduction: The cultural evolution of cognition. Topics in Cognitive Science. https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12498
[3]. Heyes, C. (2020). Psychological mechanisms forged by cultural evolution. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 29(4), 399–404. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420917736
[4]. Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 33(2–3), 61–83. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0999152X
[5]. Henrich, J., & Muthukrishna, M. (2021). The origins and psychology of human cooperation. Annual Review of Psychology, 72, 207–240. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-081920-042106
[6]. Lindquist, K. A., Jackson, J. C., Leshin, J., Satpute, A. B., Gendron, M., & others. (2022). The cultural evolution of emotion. Nature Reviews Psychology, 1, 669–681. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-022-00105-4
[7]. Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. Psychological Review, 98(2), 224–253.
[8]. 8. Moore, R. (2021). The cultural evolution of mind-modelling. Synthese, 199, 1751–1776. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-020-02853-3
[9]. Santos, L. R., & Rosati, A. G. (2015). The evolutionary roots of human decision making. Annual Review of Psychology, 66, 321–347. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015310
[10]. Singh, M., Wrangham, R. W., & Glowacki, L. (2021). Beyond social learning: An expanded framework for understanding cultural evolution. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 376. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2020.0050
[11]. Stanford, M. (2020). The cultural evolution of human nature. Acta Biotheoretica, 68, 275–285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10441-019-09367-7
[12]. Taş, P. G., Maknoon, Y., & Rezaei, J. (2026). Time's influence: A systematic review of biases in intertemporal decision-making. Annual Review of Psychology, 77, 223–254. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-091924-040158.