Volume 11, Issue 1, January – 2026 International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology
ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26jan713

Pediatric Cosmetology and Child Care Products from Birth to Adolescence: Safety, Evidence, Guidelines, and Clinical Implications

Dr. Venugopal Reddy Iragamreddy[1]

[1]Pediatriacian, Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore, India.

Publication Date: 2026/01/24

Abstract: The use of cosmetic and personal-care products in children has increased substantially over recent decades, beginning in the neonatal period and extending through adolescence. Pediatric skin differs significantly from adult skin in terms of structure, function, permeability, and metabolic capacity, making children particularly susceptible to irritation, allergic sensitisation, and systemic absorption of topically applied substances. Despite this vulnerability, most cosmetic products are developed and marketed with limited pediatric-specific safety data. This narrative review critically examines pediatric cosmetology practices across all developmental stages, from newborns to teenagers, focusing on skin physiology, commonly used products, ingredient safety, regulatory frameworks, available clinical evidence, and special population considerations. Recommendations from international organisations including the World Health Organization, American Academy of Pediatrics, and US Food and Drug Administration are discussed. The aim is to provide clinicians, researchers, and policymakers with a comprehensive, evidence-based understanding of pediatric cosmetology to support safe clinical counselling and rational product use.

Keywords: *Pediatric Cosmetology, Child-Care Products, Infant Skin, Cosmetic Safety, Endocrine Disruptors, Pediatric Dermatology.*

How to Cite: Dr. Venugopal Reddy Iragamreddy (2026) Pediatric Cosmetology and Child Care Products from Birth to Adolescence: Safety, Evidence, Guidelines, and Clinical Implications. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(1), 1765-1767. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26jan713

I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmetic and personal-care products have become an integral part of child-rearing practices worldwide. Products such as cleansers, moisturisers, oils, shampoos, sunscreens, oral-care preparations, deodorants, fragrances, and decorative cosmetics are routinely used in children of all ages. While these products are often perceived as harmless, children represent a biologically vulnerable population due to ongoing growth, organ maturation, and hormonal development.

Unlike pharmaceuticals, cosmetic products are subject to comparatively less stringent regulatory oversight, and pre-marketing safety testing is often limited to adult populations. The growing concern regarding exposure to irritants, allergens, and endocrine-disrupting chemicals during critical developmental windows has placed pediatric cosmetology under increasing scientific and clinical scrutiny. Pediatricians are now frequently required to advise parents and adolescents on product safety, ingredient selection, and appropriate use, despite the absence of unified, evidence-based guidance.

This review addresses this gap by providing a comprehensive, age-stratified, and evidence-informed overview of pediatric cosmetology, emphasising safety, regulatory considerations, and clinical implications.

II. PEDIATRIC SKIN BIOLOGY AND ITS RELEVANCE TO COSMETIC EXPOSURE

Pediatric skin is anatomically and functionally distinct from adult skin. In neonates and infants, the epidermis and stratum corneum are thinner, corneocyte cohesion is reduced, and the lipid matrix is immature. These factors result in increased transepidermal water loss and enhanced percutaneous absorption of topical agents.

Sebaceous gland activity is transiently increased in the neonatal period due to maternal androgen exposure, declines during infancy and childhood, and reactivates during puberty. Sweat gland function is immature in early life, affecting thermoregulation and skin hydration. The higher surface area-to-body-weight ratio in children further amplifies systemic exposure to topically applied substances.

These physiological characteristics underline why cosmetic ingredients that are well tolerated in adults may cause irritation, sensitisation, or systemic effects in children.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26jan713

III. REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS AND GUIDELINE OVERVIEW

Cosmetic regulation varies widely across regions. The US Food and Drug Administration regulates cosmetics primarily through post-marketing surveillance, with no mandatory pre-market approval except for colour additives. In contrast, the European Union enforces stricter ingredient restrictions through its cosmetic regulation framework, guided by scientific safety assessments under the European Medicines Agency.

The American Academy of Pediatrics has issued policy statements highlighting concerns regarding endocrine-disrupting chemicals in personal-care products used by children and adolescents. The World Health Organization has emphasised the importance of minimising early-life exposure to potentially harmful environmental chemicals, including those found in cosmetics.

Despite these efforts, there is no universally accepted pediatric-specific cosmetic guideline, leading to significant variability in product formulation, labelling, and clinical advice.

IV. COSMETIC AND PERSONAL-CARE PRODUCT USE IN THE NEONATAL PERIOD

The neonatal period represents the most vulnerable phase for cosmetic exposure due to extreme skin immaturity. Traditional practices often involve the use of oils, powders, and herbal preparations, many of which lack safety validation.

Current evidence supports minimal intervention. Gentle cleansing with plain water or mild pH-balanced syndet cleansers is sufficient for routine hygiene. Fragrance-free emollients may support skin barrier maturation, particularly in preterm infants and those at risk for atopic dermatitis. However, excessive or inappropriate use of oils, especially those containing fragrances or essential oils, may disrupt barrier function or introduce microbial contamination.

The routine use of talcum powder is discouraged due to inhalation risks and potential reproductive toxicity. Antibacterial soaps and medicated products should be avoided unless clinically indicated.

V. COSMETIC PRACTICES DURING INFANCY

During infancy, the use of shampoos, cleansers, moisturisers, and diaper-area products increases. Formulations should remain mild, fragrance-free, and free from unnecessary preservatives. Zinc oxide-based barrier creams are considered safe and effective for diaper dermatitis.

Sun protection becomes relevant after six months of age. Mineral sunscreens containing zinc oxide or titanium dioxide are preferred due to their minimal systemic absorption and lower sensitisation potential. Chemical sunscreens should be used cautiously, given emerging concerns regarding hormonal effects.

VI. TODDLERS AND PRESCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN

As children become more mobile and socially interactive, exposure to environmental irritants increases. Cosmetic use expands to include oral-care products, hair conditioners, and wet wipes. Fluoridated toothpaste should be introduced in age-appropriate quantities to minimise the risk of fluorosis.

Fragrances are a leading cause of allergic contact dermatitis in this age group. Repeated exposure during early childhood may increase the risk of future sensitisation, highlighting the importance of fragrance-free formulations.

VII. SCHOOL-AGE CHILDREN

School-age children increasingly use deodorants, hair styling products, and anti-dandruff shampoos. While most products are safe when used appropriately, inappropriate use of medicated formulations can lead to irritation or masking of underlying dermatological conditions.

The cumulative exposure to cosmetic ingredients during this stage raises concerns regarding early endocrine disruption, particularly with ingredients such as phthalates and parabens.

VIII. ADOLESCENTS AND COSMETIC USE

Adolescence is characterised by a dramatic increase in cosmetic use, driven by acne, body odour, peer influence, and social media. Acne treatments, decorative cosmetics, hair dyes, fragrances, and deodorants are commonly used.

Misuse of topical corticosteroids, fairness creams, and unregulated cosmetic products is increasingly reported. Contact dermatitis, acneiform eruptions, pigmentary disorders, and hair damage are frequent clinical presentations associated with inappropriate cosmetic practices in adolescents.

Counselling adolescents on evidence-based skincare, ingredient awareness, and realistic beauty standards is a critical component of preventive pediatric care.

IX. INGREDIENT SAFETY AND ENDOCRINE DISRUPTION

Certain cosmetic ingredients have raised safety concerns due to their potential endocrine-disrupting properties. Parabens, phthalates, triclosan, and some ultraviolet filters have demonstrated hormonal activity in experimental studies. While human data remain limited, the precautionary principle supports minimising exposure during critical developmental periods.

Conversely, ingredients such as petrolatum, glycerin, ceramides, zinc oxide, and titanium dioxide have strong safety profiles and long histories of use in pediatric populations.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26jan713

X. SPECIAL PEDIATRIC POPULATIONS

Preterm infants, children with atopic dermatitis, and those with chronic illnesses require special consideration due to impaired skin barriers or altered immune function. In these populations, cosmetic use should be minimal and restricted to medically validated products.

XI. ROLE OF THE PEDIATRICIAN

Pediatricians play a central role in guiding families through safe cosmetic practices. This includes educating caregivers about ingredient labels, discouraging unnecessary product use, addressing cultural practices sensitively, and countering misinformation from social media.

XII. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

There is a pressing need for pediatric-specific cosmetic safety trials, long-term epidemiological studies on early exposure, and global harmonisation of cosmetic regulations. Pediatric advocacy is essential to ensure that child safety remains central to cosmetic product development and marketing.

XIII. CONCLUSION

Pediatric cosmetology is an evolving field with significant implications for child health. Children's unique physiology necessitates a cautious, evidence-based approach to cosmetic use. Rational product selection, minimal exposure, informed counselling, and stronger regulatory oversight are essential to ensure safety from birth through adolescence.

REFERENCES

[1]. Stamatas GN, Nikolovski J, Mack MC, Kollias N. Infant skin physiology and development during the first year of life: a review of recent findings. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2011;28(1):17–21.

[2]. Cork MJ, Danby SG, Vasilopoulos Y, et al. Epidermal barrier dysfunction in atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2009;161(1):3–13.

[3]. Kelleher M, Dunn-Galvin A, Gray C, et al. Skin barrier dysfunction measured by transepidermal water loss at birth predicts atopic dermatitis at 12 months. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;135(4):930–935.

[4]. Hoare C, Li Wan Po A, Williams H. Systematic review of treatments for atopic eczema. *BMJ*. 2000;321(7273):1316–1320.

[5]. Lodén M. Role of topical emollients and moisturizers in the treatment of dry skin barrier disorders. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. 2003;4(11):771–788.

[6]. Stamatas GN, Tierney NK, McBurney EI, et al. Water loss and barrier properties of infant skin. *J Dermatol Sci*. 2010;57(2):128–134.

[7]. American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Environmental Health. Policy statement: chemical management policy—prioritizing children's health. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(5):983–990.

[8]. World Health Organization. *Children's Environmental Health*. Geneva: WHO; 2017.

[9]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Cosmetic products and ingredients safety. *FDA Consumer Health Information*. 2020.

[10]. European Commission. Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council on cosmetic products. *Off J Eur Union*. 2009.

[11]. Thyssen JP, Linneberg A, Menné T, Johansen JD. The epidemiology of contact allergy in the general population—prevalence and main findings. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2007;57(5):287–299.

[12]. Schlumpf M, Kypke K, Wittassek M, et al. Exposure patterns of UV filters in cosmetics: influence on endocrine activity. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118(3):437–444.

[13]. Darbre PD. Environmental oestrogens, cosmetics and breast cancer. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006;20(1):121–143.

[14]. Kim J, Kim J, Lee H, et al. Systemic absorption of sunscreen ingredients in children. *J Pediatr*. 2019;208:259–265.e1.

[15]. Sarkar R, Basu S, Agrawal RK, Gupta P. Skin care in the newborn. *Indian J Dermatol*. 2010;55(1):2–5.

[16]. Atherton DJ. A review of the pathophysiology, prevention and treatment of irritant diaper dermatitis. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2004;20(5):645–649.

[17]. Fluhr JW, Darlenski R, Taieb A, et al. Functional skin adaptation in infancy—almost complete but not fully competent. *Exp Dermatol*. 2010;19(6):483–492.

[18]. Uter W, Geier J, Frosch PJ. Contact allergy to fragrances: current clinical and regulatory trends. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2013;69(1):1–11.

[19]. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Health effects of cosmetic talc exposure. *NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin*. 2011.

[20]. Braun JM, Just AC, Williams PL, et al. Personal care product use and urinary phthalate metabolite concentrations in children. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014;122(10):1125–1131.

[21]. Liao C, Kannan K. Concentrations and profiles of parabens in human urine. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2014;48(7):3910–3918.

[22]. Danby SG, AlEnezi T, Sultan A, et al. Effect of olive and sunflower seed oil on the adult skin barrier. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2013;93(2):155–159.

[23]. European Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety. Opinion on parabens in cosmetic products. SCCS/1514/13. Brussels; 2013.

[24]. Baillie L, Forsyth K, Woods J. Cosmetic misuse and skin damage in adolescents. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2019;44(2):e44–e50.

[25]. Heller MM, Wu JJ. Pediatric cosmetic dermatology: ethical and safety considerations. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2014;31(1):1–6.