

A Critical Review of Procurement Acts: Toward a Framework for Regulatory Reform, Transparency and Digital Transformation in Public Procurement Governance

Adebowale Adedokun¹

¹Bureau For Public Procurement (BPP) Nigeria

Publication Date: 2026/09/05

Abstract: Public procurement constitutes a cornerstone of public financial management, representing a significant proportion of government expenditure across developed and developing economies alike. Despite decades of regulatory reform, public procurement systems continue to grapple with persistent challenges of corruption, inefficiency, limited competition, and inadequate transparency. This paper presents a systematic review of procurement acts, examining their legal and regulatory architecture, identifying critical gaps and inconsistencies, and analyzing the key issues and challenges that undermine procurement effectiveness. Drawing on comparative analysis of procurement frameworks across multiple jurisdictions—including the United States, United Kingdom, European Union, Canada, India, Australia, Kenya, Singapore, Nigeria, South Africa, Brazil, and Ghana—this study synthesizes lessons from international best practices and high-profile procurement failures. The paper proposes a comprehensive reform agenda encompassing enhanced transparency mechanisms, strengthened oversight institutions, anti-corruption measures, e-procurement digitalization, performance-based contracting, and sustainable procurement practices. The findings suggest that effective procurement reform requires simultaneous attention to legal framework modernization, institutional capacity building, technological innovation, and stakeholder engagement. This paper contributes to the public procurement literature by providing an integrative framework for procurement act review that links regulatory analysis with practical reform implementation, offering actionable recommendations for policy makers, procurement practitioners, and governance scholars.

Keywords: *Public Procurement Law, Procurement Reform, Transparency, Corruption, E-Procurement, Governance, Regulatory Framework, Developing Countries, Africa, Digital Transformation.*

How to Cite: Adebowale Adedokun (2026) A Critical Review of Procurement Acts: Toward a Framework for Regulatory Reform, Transparency and Digital Transformation in Public Procurement Governance. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(8), 2894-2904. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug466>

I. INTRODUCTION

➤ Background and Context

Public procurement—the process by which government entities acquire goods, services, and works—represents one of the most significant areas of public expenditure globally. In many countries, public procurement accounts for 10–30 percent of gross domestic product (GDP), making it a critical determinant of fiscal efficiency, service delivery quality, and economic development outcomes (World Bank, 2020; OECD, 2019). The regulatory frameworks governing public procurement—the procurement acts, regulations, and associated legal instruments—constitute the institutional

architecture within which these substantial public resources are allocated, monitored, and accounted for.

The evolution of public procurement regulation reflects broader transformations in governance theory and practice. The late twentieth century witnessed a paradigm shift from centralized, opaque procurement systems—often characterized by tender boards and discretionary decision-making—toward decentralized, transparent, and rules-based frameworks anchored in competition, accountability, and value-for-money principles (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013; Open Contracting Partnership, 2024). This transformation was particularly pronounced in developing countries, where colonial-era

procurement structures were progressively replaced by modern statutory frameworks modeled on the UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013; African Development Bank, 2020).

Africa has experienced three distinct waves of procurement reform. The first revolution, spanning approximately 2000–2005, saw countries such as Ethiopia (2005), Ghana (2005), Kenya (2007), Tanzania (2004), Uganda (2003), and Zambia (2006) abolish centralized tender boards and establish independent regulatory bodies, decentralized procurement functions, and professional procurement cadres (Open Contracting Partnership, 2024). The second revolution, from 2008–2015, addressed unintended consequences of the first wave—including bureaucratic delays, price overruns, and poor contract execution—through streamlined complaints mechanisms, separation of policy and regulatory functions, improved data collection, and enhanced civic engagement (Open Contracting Partnership, 2024). A third revolution is currently underway, driven by digital transformation, open contracting data standards, and artificial intelligence applications in procurement analytics (OECD, 2025).

Despite these reform efforts, public procurement systems worldwide continue to face substantial challenges. High-profile procurement scandals—from Brazil's Petrobras "Operation Car Wash" to Kenya's medical equipment scandal, South Africa's Eskom controversies, and Nigeria's Abuja CCTV project—demonstrate that regulatory frameworks alone are insufficient to ensure procurement integrity (The New York Times, 2015; BBC, 2016; Reuters, 2018; The Punch, 2015). The persistence of corruption, limited competition, capacity deficits, and technological lag suggests that procurement act review must be understood not as a one-time legislative exercise but as an ongoing governance imperative.

➤ *Problem Statement*

The review of procurement acts is necessitated by multiple interconnected challenges that constrain procurement effectiveness across jurisdictions. First, procurement legislation frequently fails to keep pace with rapid technological change, market dynamics, and evolving governance standards, resulting in regulatory frameworks that are outdated, inconsistent, or inadequate (OECD, 2019; United Nations Development Programme, 2019). Second, the implementation gap between statutory provisions and operational practice remains substantial, with formal compliance often masking substantive deficiencies in transparency, competition, and accountability (World Bank, 2020). Third, the complexity of modern procurement—including cross-border contracting, public-private partnerships, and sustainable procurement requirements—exposes limitations in existing legal architectures that were designed for simpler transactional contexts (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013; La Chimia, 2013).

In developing country contexts, these challenges are compounded by additional factors. Donor-funded procurement

frequently operates under parallel regulatory frameworks—donor rules alongside national legislation—creating confusion, inefficiency, and opportunities for regulatory arbitrage (La Chimia, 2013; African Development Bank, 2020). Capacity constraints among procurement officials, limited civil society oversight, and weak judicial enforcement further undermine regulatory effectiveness (OECD, 2019; World Bank, 2020). The academic study of public procurement law in Africa remains underdeveloped relative to Europe and North America, with few dedicated research programs and limited published literature on national regulatory regimes (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013).

➤ *Research Objectives*

This paper addresses the identified gaps through four primary objectives:

- To analyze the current legal and regulatory framework for public procurement, examining the architecture of procurement acts, regulations, and related laws across representative jurisdictions.
- To identify gaps, inconsistencies, and areas requiring clarification in existing procurement legislation, including issues of legal interpretation, threshold appropriateness, procedural adequacy, and technological accommodation.
- To examine key issues and challenges in current procurement systems, drawing on documented case studies of procurement failures and comparative analysis of systemic problems.
- To propose evidence-based reforms and recommendations anchored in international best practices, lessons from successful reform experiences, and emerging technological innovations.

➤ *Significance of the Study*

This research contributes to public procurement scholarship by providing a systematic framework for procurement act review that integrates legal analysis with governance theory, institutional economics, and public administration. The comparative jurisdictional analysis offers insights transferable across legal traditions and development contexts. For practitioners, the proposed reform agenda provides actionable guidance for legislative drafting, institutional design, and implementation strategy. For policy makers, the emphasis on digital transformation and sustainable procurement aligns procurement reform with broader sustainable development objectives.

➤ *Structure of the Paper*

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the legal and regulatory framework for public procurement, analyzing key statutes across multiple jurisdictions. Section 3 identifies gaps, inconsistencies, and areas requiring clarification. Section 4 analyzes key issues and challenges, supported by case study evidence. Section 5 proposes reforms and recommendations based on best practices

and technological innovation. Section 6 concludes with implications for theory, practice, and future research.

II. LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR PUBLIC PROCUREMENT

➤ *The Architecture of Procurement Regulation*

The legal and regulatory framework for public procurement constitutes a multi-layered architecture comprising primary legislation, secondary regulations, standard operating procedures, and institutional mechanisms (United Nations Development Programme, 2008). This framework serves multiple functions: establishing procedural rules for procurement conduct, creating oversight institutions, defining rights and obligations of contracting parties, and providing mechanisms for dispute resolution and enforcement (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013).

Primary procurement legislation typically establishes fundamental principles—transparency, competition, fairness, integrity, and value for money—and prescribes basic procedural requirements for different procurement methods (OECD, 2019). Secondary regulations elaborate on specific aspects such as threshold values, bid evaluation criteria, contract award procedures, and debarment mechanisms. Standard operating procedures provide detailed guidance for procurement practitioners, while institutional mechanisms—including regulatory bodies, review tribunals, and audit functions—ensure oversight and accountability (World Bank, 2020).

➤ *Comparative Analysis of Procurement Acts Across Jurisdictions*

The diversity of procurement regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions reflects varying legal traditions, administrative capacities, and policy priorities. The following comparative overview illustrates this diversity:

- *United States: Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)*

The FAR represents one of the most comprehensive procurement regulatory frameworks globally, codified in Title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations. It governs federal government acquisition of supplies and services with appropriated funds, establishing detailed procedures for contract formation, administration, and termination (Federal Acquisition Regulation, n.d.). The FAR emphasizes competition, integrity, and value for money, with extensive provisions for socioeconomic preferences, contractor responsibility, and contract disputes. The U.S. system also pioneered performance-based contracting, linking contractor payments to measurable outcomes (Office of Management and Budget, 2021).

- *United Kingdom: Public Contracts Regulations*

The UK's Public Contracts Regulations 2015 implement EU Directive 2014/24/EU, establishing rules for public

procurement by contracting authorities (UK Legislation, 2015). Post-Brexit, the UK has developed its own procurement regime through the Procurement Act 2023, which simplifies procurement procedures, emphasizes social value, and strengthens remedies for suppliers. The UK framework exemplifies the integration of procurement with broader public policy objectives, including environmental sustainability and social inclusion.

- *European Union: Public Procurement Directives*

The EU's public procurement directives—Directive 2014/24/EU on public procurement, Directive 2014/25/EU on procurement by entities operating in water, energy, transport, and postal services sectors, and Directive 2014/23/EU on concession contracts—harmonize procurement rules across member states while allowing flexibility for national implementation (European Commission, 2014). The directives emphasize transparency, non-discrimination, and proportionality, with provisions for strategic procurement that advances environmental and social objectives.

- *Canada: Government Contracts Regulations*

Canada's procurement framework emphasizes value for money, transparency, and ethical behavior, with the Government Contracts Regulations establishing rules for contract awarding by federal entities (Government of Canada, 2021). The Canadian system is notable for its emphasis on trade agreement compliance, including the Agreement on Internal Trade and international free trade agreements.

- *India: Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order*

India's procurement framework reflects strategic industrial policy objectives, with the Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order promoting domestic manufacturing through government purchasing preferences (Ministry of Finance, India, 2020). This exemplifies how procurement legislation can serve broader economic development goals beyond efficiency and integrity.

- *Australia: Commonwealth Procurement Rules*

The Commonwealth Procurement Rules provide guidance for Australian government procurement, emphasizing value for money, transparency, and ethical conduct (Department of Finance, Australia, 2021). The Australian framework incorporates sustainability criteria and supports small and medium enterprise participation.

- *Kenya: Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act*

Kenya's Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act No. 33 of 2015 represents a comprehensive overhaul of procurement regulation, establishing the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority and mandating competitive procurement methods, transparency requirements, and supplier review mechanisms (Government of Kenya, 2015). The Act reflects

lessons from earlier procurement failures and incorporates international best practices.

- *Singapore: Government Procurement Act*

Singapore's Government Procurement Act (Chapter 120) establishes a framework characterized by efficiency, transparency, and anti-corruption rigor (Singapore Statutes, n.d.). Singapore's procurement system is frequently cited as a model for developing countries, combining competitive procedures with strong institutional oversight and technological integration.

- *Nigeria: Public Procurement Act*

Nigeria's Public Procurement Act No. 14 of 2007 established the Bureau of Public Procurement as the regulatory authority for federal procurement, introducing competitive bidding requirements, standard bidding documents, and independent oversight mechanisms (Bureau of Public Procurement, Nigeria, 2007). However, implementation challenges—including political interference, capacity deficits, and enforcement weaknesses—have constrained effectiveness (Udeh & Ahmadu, 2013).

- *South Africa: Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act*

South Africa's procurement framework integrates economic empowerment objectives with procedural regulation. The Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (PPPFA) No. 5 of 2000 promotes preferential procurement for historically disadvantaged individuals and enterprises, reflecting the country's transformation agenda (Government of South Africa, 2000). The recently enacted Public Procurement Act 28 of 2024 creates a unified framework replacing the PPPFA, streamlining procurement regulation and enhancing transparency (Jorpex, 2026).

- *Brazil: Law on Public Bids*

Brazil's Law No. 8.666/1993 (Lei de Licitações) establishes the legal framework for public procurement, mandating competitive bidding for public contracts (Government of Brazil, 1993). Despite this framework, Brazil experienced one of the largest procurement corruption scandals in history, revealing gaps between formal rules and operational practice.

- *Ghana: Public Procurement Act*

Ghana's Public Procurement Act 2003 (Act 663) established the Public Procurement Authority and introduced competitive procurement procedures, transparency requirements, and supplier review mechanisms (Government of Ghana, 2003). The Act has been credited with improving procurement governance, though challenges in implementation and enforcement persist (Dagbanja, 2011, 2013).

➤ *Institutional Frameworks and Oversight Mechanisms*

Effective procurement regulation requires robust institutional frameworks encompassing regulatory bodies, review mechanisms, and audit functions. Independent procurement regulatory authorities—such as Kenya's Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Nigeria's Bureau of Public Procurement, and Ghana's Public Procurement Authority—provide oversight, issue guidelines, and monitor compliance (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013).

Review and remedies mechanisms are essential for ensuring accountability and correcting procurement irregularities. These may include administrative review by the procuring entity, independent review by regulatory bodies, and judicial review by courts. The UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement provides a template for supplier review systems that balances efficiency with fairness (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law, 2011).

Audit and monitoring functions—conducted by supreme audit institutions, internal audit units, and civil society monitors—provide additional layers of accountability. The integration of procurement data into open contracting portals has enhanced transparency and enabled external oversight in an increasing number of jurisdictions (Open Contracting Partnership, 2024).

III. GAPS, INCONSISTENCIES, AND AREAS REQUIRING CLARIFICATION

➤ *Legal Interpretation and Language Clarity*

Ambiguous statutory language constitutes a fundamental weakness in procurement legislation, creating uncertainty for practitioners and opportunities for arbitrary interpretation. Procurement acts frequently employ undefined or inconsistently defined terms—such as "urgent procurement," "abnormally low tender," or "conflict of interest"—that require judicial or regulatory clarification (OECD, 2019). The absence of comprehensive definitional sections or glossaries in many procurement statutes exacerbates this problem.

➤ *Harmonization with Related Laws*

Procurement acts do not operate in legal isolation; they interact with administrative law, contract law, anti-corruption legislation, environmental law, labor law, and trade agreements. Conflicts or inconsistencies between procurement legislation and these related legal frameworks create compliance burdens and legal uncertainties (Quinot & Arrowsmith, 2013). In donor-dependent contexts, the coexistence of national procurement rules with donor procurement procedures—such as World Bank procurement guidelines, African Development Bank rules, or bilateral agency requirements—creates particularly complex harmonization challenges (La Chimia, 2013).

➤ *Thresholds and Procurement Methods*

The thresholds determining which procurement methods apply to different contract values require regular review to reflect inflation, market conditions, and administrative capacity. Thresholds that are too low may impose excessive procedural burdens on small-value purchases, while thresholds that are too high may exempt significant expenditures from competitive scrutiny (OECD, 2019). The appropriate balance varies across institutional contexts and may require differentiated thresholds for different categories of procurement.

➤ *Technological Accommodation*

Many procurement acts were drafted before the widespread adoption of e-procurement, electronic signatures, blockchain, and artificial intelligence. Legislative frameworks that do not explicitly accommodate digital procurement processes may create legal uncertainties regarding the validity of electronic bids, the security of online platforms, and the admissibility of digital evidence (OECD, 2025; United Nations Development Programme, 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital procurement adoption but also exposed regulatory gaps in remote procurement procedures (CIPS, 2020).

➤ *Social and Environmental Considerations*

The integration of sustainability criteria—environmental impact, labor standards, local content requirements—into procurement decisions raises complex legal questions regarding the permissibility of non-price criteria, the measurement of social value, and the consistency of preferential procurement with trade agreement obligations (European Commission, 2021; Seuring & Müller, 2008). Procurement acts that do not provide clear guidance on sustainable procurement may impede policy implementation or expose procuring entities to legal challenge.

➤ *Dispute Resolution and Remedies*

Inadequate or inaccessible dispute resolution mechanisms undermine procurement accountability. Review procedures that are excessively lengthy, costly, or procedurally complex may deter suppliers from challenging irregular awards, effectively insulating misconduct from correction (Quinot, 2013). The standstill period—mandated by EU procurement law to allow review before contract signature—is absent from many non-European procurement frameworks, reducing opportunities for effective remedial action.

➤ *Capacity Building and Professionalization*

While many procurement acts establish professional standards or training requirements, few provide comprehensive frameworks for procurement capacity development. The absence of statutory requirements for procurement professionalization—certification, continuing education, ethical standards—contributes to capacity deficits that undermine regulatory effectiveness (OECD, 2019; Department of Finance, Australia, 2021).

IV. KEY ISSUES AND CHALLENGES IN PUBLIC PROCUREMENT SYSTEMS

➤ *Lack of Transparency and Accountability*

Insufficient transparency remains the most pervasive challenge in public procurement systems worldwide. Opaque procurement processes—characterized by restricted information disclosure, limited public access to procurement data, and inadequate reporting on contract performance—create environments conducive to corruption, favoritism, and inefficiency (World Bank, 2020; Transparency International, 2021). The absence of comprehensive e-procurement platforms in many jurisdictions perpetuates information asymmetries between procuring entities and potential suppliers, as well as between government and citizens.

➤ *Corruption Risks*

Public procurement is consistently identified as one of the sectors most vulnerable to corruption, given the large sums involved, the complexity of transactions, and the multiple discretion points in the procurement process (Williams-Elegbe, 2013; OECD, 2015). Corruption in procurement may take diverse forms: bid rigging, collusive tendering, bribery of procurement officials, conflict of interest in bid evaluation, and post-contract price manipulation. The economic costs of procurement corruption are substantial, encompassing direct financial losses, distorted market competition, reduced quality of public services, and erosion of public trust (Transparency International, 2021).

➤ *Delays and Inefficiency*

Lengthy procurement procedures—exacerbated by bureaucratic requirements, multiple approval layers, and inadequate administrative capacity—result in project delays that undermine development outcomes. In developing countries, procurement timelines frequently extend far beyond statutory requirements due to capacity constraints, political interference, and procedural complexity (United Nations, 2020). These delays increase project costs, reduce service delivery effectiveness, and may render procured goods obsolete before delivery.

➤ *Limited Competition*

Inadequate competition in public procurement—manifested in few bidders, repeated awards to the same suppliers, or outright exclusion of qualified competitors—inflates prices, reduces quality, and undermines value for money (World Bank, 2020). Barriers to competition may include excessive qualification requirements, restrictive technical specifications, lack of market information, and collusive behavior among incumbent suppliers. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) frequently face particular barriers to participation, limiting the diversity and competitiveness of the supplier base (OECD, 2019).

➤ *Weak Governance and Oversight*

Inadequate institutional oversight—whether due to insufficient independence of regulatory bodies, limited enforcement capacity, or political interference in procurement decisions—undermines regulatory effectiveness (World Bank, 2020). Procurement regulatory authorities in many jurisdictions lack the resources, legal powers, or political autonomy necessary to enforce compliance and sanction misconduct. The absence of effective whistleblower protection further constrains oversight by discouraging internal reporting of irregularities (Transparency International, 2021).

➤ *Capacity Deficits*

Insufficient training and expertise among procurement officials result in procedural errors, inadequate market analysis, poor contract management, and suboptimal outcomes (OECD, 2019). Procurement capacity is particularly constrained in developing countries, where professional procurement education is limited, career progression pathways are underdeveloped, and high staff turnover depletes institutional memory (United Nations Development Programme, 2019).

➤ *Technological Lag*

Failure to adopt modern procurement technologies—e-procurement platforms, data analytics, electronic contract management—perpetuates manual processes that are inefficient, error-prone, and vulnerable to manipulation (OECD, 2025; United Nations, 2020). The digital divide between developed and developing countries in procurement technology adoption exacerbates global disparities in procurement effectiveness.

➤ *Case Studies of Procurement Failure*

The following case studies illustrate the consequences of procurement system failures:

The Panama Metro Project (2016): The Panama Metro Line 1 extension project faced allegations of corruption and procurement irregularities that led to contract cancellation. The case highlighted deficiencies in transparency, competitive procedures, and oversight that enabled misconduct in a high-value infrastructure project (The Guardian, 2016).

Kenya's Medical Equipment Scandal (2016): Kenya's Ministry of Health was implicated in a procurement scandal involving alleged misappropriation of funds for medical equipment. The case revealed systemic weaknesses in procurement oversight, inadequate verification of supplier qualifications, and insufficient transparency in contract award decisions (BBC, 2016).

Brazil's Petrobras Corruption Scandal (2014–2015): The "Operation Car Wash" investigation uncovered a vast corruption network involving Petrobras executives, contractors, and political figures. The scandal demonstrated how procurement in state-owned enterprises may be captured by corrupt networks when oversight mechanisms are

compromised, resulting in billions of dollars in losses (The New York Times, 2015).

South Africa's Eskom Procurement Controversies: South Africa's state-owned power utility faced repeated allegations of procurement irregularities, including preferential treatment of connected suppliers and inflated contract pricing. The controversies raised concerns about political interference in procurement, inadequate board oversight, and the challenges of maintaining procurement integrity in politically sensitive sectors (Reuters, 2018).

Nigeria's Abuja CCTV Project: The Abuja Closed-Circuit Television project, intended to enhance security, became emblematic of procurement failure through allegations of inflated pricing, inadequate due diligence, and contract abandonment. The case illustrated how procurement processes may be subverted when technical specifications are manipulated, evaluation criteria are opaque, and post-award monitoring is absent (The Punch, 2015).

V. PROPOSED REFORMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

➤ *Enhanced Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms*

Reform of procurement acts must prioritize transparency as a foundational principle. Recommended measures include:

E-Procurement Platforms: Implementation of comprehensive electronic procurement systems covering the entire procurement lifecycle—from planning and market engagement through tendering, evaluation, contract award, and performance monitoring. E-procurement reduces information asymmetries, minimizes manual intervention, and generates data for analytics and oversight (OECD, 2025; World Bank, 2017).

Open Contracting Data Standards: Adoption of standardized data formats that enable publication of procurement information in machine-readable formats, facilitating civil society monitoring, academic research, and automated analysis (Open Contracting Partnership, 2024).

Real-Time Disclosure: Mandating publication of procurement plans, tender notices, bid evaluation reports, contract awards, and performance data on dedicated public portals with user-friendly interfaces.

➤ *Strengthening Procurement Oversight*

Independent Regulatory Authorities: Ensuring that procurement regulatory bodies possess statutory independence, adequate resources, and enforcement powers necessary for effective oversight. Independence should be protected through secure tenure for leadership, dedicated funding mechanisms, and insulation from political interference (OECD, 2019).

Specialized Review Tribunals: Establishing autonomous, quasi-judicial bodies for expeditious resolution of procurement disputes, with clear procedural rules, binding decisions, and enforcement mechanisms (Quinot, 2013).

Audit and Monitoring: Enhancing supreme audit institution capacity for procurement auditing, including value-for-money audits, compliance audits, and performance audits.

➤ *Anti-Corruption Measures*

Whistleblower Protection: Comprehensive legal protection for individuals who report procurement misconduct, including confidentiality safeguards, protection from retaliation, and incentives for reporting (Transparency International, 2021).

Conflict of Interest Management: Mandatory disclosure of conflicts of interest by procurement officials, bid evaluators, and decision-makers, with recusal requirements and sanctions for non-compliance.

Debarment and Sanctions: Effective debarment mechanisms that exclude corrupt suppliers from future procurement, with due process protections and cross-border information sharing.

➤ *Promoting Competition*

Simplified Prequalification: Streamlining qualification requirements to reduce barriers to SME participation while maintaining standards for technical and financial capacity.

Market Research and Outreach: Systematic market engagement to identify potential suppliers, understand market capabilities, and design procurements that attract diverse bidders.

Framework Agreements: Utilization of framework agreements and dynamic purchasing systems that enable multiple suppliers to compete for call-off contracts over time.

➤ *Capacity Building and Professionalization*

Procurement Education: Development of procurement curricula in universities and professional training institutions, with certification programs aligned with international standards (Department of Finance, Australia, 2021).

Continuous Professional Development: Mandatory continuing education requirements for procurement practitioners, with specialization tracks for complex procurement categories.

Career Pathways: Establishment of professional procurement career structures within public service, with competitive remuneration, performance incentives, and progression opportunities.

➤ *Sustainable and Strategic Procurement*

Green Public Procurement: Integration of environmental criteria into procurement specifications, evaluation, and contract management, following models such as the European Union's Green Public Procurement program (European Commission, 2021).

Social Procurement: Incorporation of social objectives—local employment, SME development, disability inclusion—into procurement decision-making with clear metrics and monitoring mechanisms.

Circular Economy Principles: Design of procurement requirements that promote product durability, reparability, recyclability, and extended producer responsibility.

➤ *Digital Transformation*

Artificial Intelligence and Analytics: Deployment of AI-powered tools for demand forecasting, market analysis, fraud detection, and performance prediction (OECD, 2025).

Blockchain for Supply Chain Transparency: Utilization of distributed ledger technology for immutable recording of procurement transactions, enhancing traceability and reducing tampering risks.

Robotic Process Automation: Automation of routine procurement tasks—data entry, document processing, invoice matching—to improve accuracy and free professional capacity for strategic activities.

Mobile Procurement: Development of mobile applications enabling procurement professionals to manage processes remotely, facilitating timely decision-making and approvals.

➤ *Performance-Based Contracting*

Transition from input-based to output-based contracting that links payments to measurable performance outcomes. Performance-based contracts align contractor incentives with public objectives, enhance accountability, and facilitate objective performance assessment (Office of Management and Budget, 2021).

VI. DISCUSSION

➤ *Synthesis of Findings*

This paper has presented a comprehensive review of procurement acts, their legal architecture, implementation challenges, and reform imperatives. Several key findings emerge:

First, the diversity of procurement regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions reflects different legal traditions, administrative capacities, and policy priorities, yet common principles—transparency, competition, integrity, and value for money—transcend national boundaries. The UNCITRAL Model Law provides a valuable template for procurement

legislation, but effective implementation requires adaptation to local contexts.

Second, the persistence of procurement failures across jurisdictions—from developed economies to developing nations—demonstrates that regulatory frameworks are necessary but insufficient conditions for procurement integrity. Institutional capacity, political will, technological infrastructure, and civil society engagement are equally critical determinants of procurement effectiveness.

Third, the three waves of African procurement reform illustrate both the potential and the limitations of regulatory reform. The first revolution established foundational legal frameworks; the second addressed implementation challenges; the third is leveraging digital transformation. Each wave has built upon its predecessor while revealing new challenges that require continued adaptation.

➤ *Theoretical Implications*

This review contributes to procurement governance theory by articulating the relationships between legal frameworks, institutional mechanisms, and operational outcomes. The analysis suggests that procurement effectiveness depends on the alignment of these three dimensions—what may be termed the "procurement governance triangle." Misalignment between any dimension and the others creates implementation gaps that undermine regulatory intent.

The comparative analysis further illuminates the tension between universal procurement principles and context-specific implementation requirements. While transparency, competition, and integrity represent universal values, their operationalization must account for local administrative capacities, market structures, and political economies.

➤ *Practical Implications*

For procurement practitioners, this review emphasizes the importance of holistic regulatory analysis that examines not only statutory provisions but also institutional frameworks, capacity constraints, and technological enablers. For policy makers, the proposed reform agenda provides a structured approach to procurement modernization that balances ambition with feasibility.

The emphasis on digital transformation is particularly timely, as the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated e-procurement adoption while exposing digital divides. The OECD's framework for digital transformation of public procurement—encompassing strategic vision, governance arrangements, user-centric design, and interoperability—provides a roadmap for this transition (OECD, 2025).

➤ *Limitations*

This review is subject to several limitations. As a conceptual and comparative analysis, it does not provide empirical evidence of reform effectiveness or quantitative

assessment of procurement outcomes. The reliance on published sources and documented case studies may underrepresent implementation realities that are not publicly reported. The jurisdictional coverage, while diverse, is not exhaustive, and findings may not generalize to contexts not examined.

➤ *Directions for Future Research*

Future research should address the identified limitations through:

- Empirical studies examining the relationship between specific procurement act provisions and procurement outcomes, utilizing quantitative performance data and econometric analysis.
- Longitudinal case studies tracking procurement reform implementation over time, identifying success factors and persistent challenges.
- Investigation of digital procurement transformation, including assessment of e-procurement platform effectiveness, AI applications, and blockchain implementation.
- Comparative analysis of procurement integrity mechanisms across jurisdictions, examining the effectiveness of different anti-corruption approaches.
- Research on sustainable procurement implementation, measuring the environmental and social impacts of green and social procurement policies.

VII. CONCLUSION

The review of procurement acts is an essential governance exercise that ensures public procurement frameworks remain effective, transparent, and responsive to evolving needs. This paper has systematically analyzed procurement legislation across multiple jurisdictions, identified critical gaps and challenges, examined documented procurement failures, and proposed a comprehensive reform agenda.

The central argument—that procurement reform requires simultaneous attention to legal modernization, institutional strengthening, capacity development, and technological innovation—finds robust support in both comparative analysis and case study evidence. Procurement acts that establish sound procedural rules but fail to create capable institutions, develop professional capacity, or embrace digital transformation will inevitably fall short of their objectives.

As public procurement continues to evolve in response to technological change, sustainability imperatives, and governance expectations, the periodic review of procurement legislation must be institutionalized as a continuous governance process rather than an episodic legislative event. The frameworks, analysis, and recommendations presented in this review provide a foundation for advancing procurement governance in diverse jurisdictional contexts.

REFERENCES

- [1]. African Development Bank. (2020). Guide for Review of Public Procurement Systems in Africa. AfDB.
- [2]. African Public Procurement Law Journal. (2017). Bibliography: Public Procurement Regulation in Africa. APPLJ, 4, 6–11.
- [3]. Ambaw, B. A., & Telgen, J. (2017). The practice of performance-based contracting in developing countries' public procurement: The case of Ethiopia. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 17(4), 402–425.
- [4]. Asian Development Bank. (2013). Public Procurement and Integrity: A Global Survey. ADB.
- [5]. Asian Development Bank. (2020). Review of the Public Procurement System: Good Practices and Lessons Learned. ADB.
- [6]. Bahta, T. H. (2009). Adjudication and arbitrability of government construction contract disputes in Ethiopia. *Mizan Law Review*, 3(1), 1–35.
- [7]. Bahta, T. H. (2012). Complaints, review and remedies under the Federal Government Procurement Law in Ethiopia. *Public Procurement Law Review*, 21, 188–210.
- [8]. Bahta, T. H. (2013). The regulatory framework for public procurement in Ethiopia. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 46–76). Cambridge University Press.
- [9]. BBC. (2016). Kenya's Ministry of Health 'Stole 50m'. BBC News.
- [10]. Bolton, P. (2007). *The Law of Government Procurement in South Africa*. Juta & Co.
- [11]. Bolton, P. (2013). The regulatory framework for public procurement in South Africa. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 178–199). Cambridge University Press.
- [12]. Bureau of Public Procurement, Nigeria. (2007). Public Procurement Act, No. 14 of 2007. Federal Republic of Nigeria.
- [13]. Caborn, E., & Arrowsmith, S. (2013). Procurement methods in the public procurement systems of Africa. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 261–307). Cambridge University Press.
- [14]. Chartered Institute of Procurement & Supply. (2020). Procurement in a Post-COVID World: Challenges and Opportunities. CIPS.
- [15]. Chartered Institute of Procurement & Supply. (2021). *The Procurement and Supply Manager's Desk Reference*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [16]. Dagbanja, D. N. (2011). *The Law of Public Procurement in Ghana: Law, Policy and Practice*. Lambert Academic Publishing.
- [17]. Dagbanja, D. N. (2013). The regulatory framework for public procurement in Ghana. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 77–99). Cambridge University Press.
- [18]. Department of Finance, Australia. (2021). Procurement: Training and Resources. Australian Government.
- [19]. European Commission. (2014). Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on Public Procurement. Official Journal of the European Union.
- [20]. European Commission. (2021). Green Public Procurement. EC Environment Directorate-General.
- [21]. Federal Acquisition Regulation. (n.d.). Title 48, Code of Federal Regulations. U.S. Government.
- [22]. Government of Brazil. (1993). Law No. 8.666/1993 (Lei de Licitações). Presidency of the Republic.
- [23]. Government of Canada. (2021). Government Procurement: How It Works. Buyandsell.gc.ca.
- [24]. Government of Ghana. (2003). Public Procurement Act, 2003 (Act 663). Parliament of Ghana.
- [25]. Government of Kenya. (2015). Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, No. 33 of 2015. National Treasury.
- [26]. Government of South Africa. (2000). Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, No. 5 of 2000. Government Gazette.
- [27]. Hunja, R. R. (2003). Obstacles to public procurement reform in developing countries. In S. Arrowsmith & M. Trybus (Eds.), *Public Procurement: The Continuing Revolution* (pp. 13–22). Kluwer Law International.
- [28]. Institute for Public Procurement. (2021). Procurement Process Improvement Strategies. NIGP.
- [29]. Institute of Asset Management. (2019). Asset Management – An Anatomy. IAM.
- [30]. International Federation of Purchasing and Supply Management. (2021). Global Procurement Trends and Predictions 2021. IFPSM.
- [31]. Jorpex. (2026). African Procurement Laws: A Country-by-Country Guide. Jorpex Guides.
- [32]. La Chimia, A. (2013). Donors' influence on developing countries' procurement systems, rules and markets: A critical analysis. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 219–260). Cambridge University Press.
- [33]. Ministry of Finance, India. (2020). Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order. Government of India.
- [34]. Nyeck, S. N. (Ed.). (2016). *Public Procurement Reform and Governance in Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- [35]. Office of Management and Budget. (2021). Performance-Based Contracting. U.S. Performance.gov.
- [36]. OECD. (2015). Principles for Enhancing Integrity in Public Procurement. OECD Publishing.
- [37]. OECD. (2019). Good Practices in Procurement of Goods. OECD Publishing.
- [38]. OECD. (2025). Digital Transformation of Public Procurement. OECD Publishing.
- [39]. Open Contracting Partnership. (2024). The 3 Revolutions of Public Procurement in Africa. Open Contracting Blog.

- [40]. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). Public Procurement Review of Mexico's PEMEX: Adapting to Change in the Oil Industry. OECD Publishing.
- [41]. Punch, The. (2015). Reps probe abandoned 470m Abuja CCTV project. The Punch.
- [42]. Quinot, G. (2013). A comparative perspective on supplier remedies in African public procurement systems. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 308–335). Cambridge University Press.
- [43]. Quinot, G. (2013). Promotion of social policy through public procurement in Africa. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 370–403). Cambridge University Press.
- [44]. Quinot, G., & Arrowsmith, S. (Eds.). (2013). *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa*. Cambridge University Press.
- [45]. Reuters. (2018). Explainer: The Guptas and South Africa's 'State Capture'. Reuters.
- [46]. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710.
- [47]. Singapore Statutes. (n.d.). Government Procurement Act (Chapter 120). Attorney-General's Chambers.
- [48]. The Guardian. (2016). Panama Metro Line 1 extension is canceled. The Guardian.
- [49]. The New York Times. (2015). Brazil's Petrobras scandal: The Car Wash corruption case. The New York Times.
- [50]. Transparency International. (2021). Whistleblower Protection. TI Projects.
- [51]. Udeh, K. T. (2013). A critical appraisal of Kenya's supplier review system in the light of international standards. *Public Procurement Law Review*, 22, 183–202.
- [52]. Udeh, K. T., & Ahmadu, M. L. (2013). The regulatory framework for public procurement in Nigeria. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 141–161). Cambridge University Press.
- [53]. UK Legislation. (2015). The Public Contracts Regulations 2015, SI 2015/102. UK Government.
- [54]. UNDP. (2008). *Procurement Practitioners' Handbook*. United Nations Development Programme.
- [55]. UNDP. (2019). *Modernizing Public Procurement: An Agenda for Action*. UNDP.
- [56]. UNDP. (2019). *Strengthening Governance through Public Procurement Reform*. UNDP.
- [57]. United Nations. (2020). *Public Procurement Indicators: A Guide to Measuring Government Spending on Goods and Services*. UN.
- [58]. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law. (2011). *UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement*. UNCITRAL.
- [59]. Williams-Elegbe, S. (2012). *Fighting Corruption in Public Procurement: A Comparative Analysis of Disqualification or Disbarment Measures*. Hart Publishing.
- [60]. Williams-Elegbe, S. (2013). A perspective on corruption and public procurement in Africa. In G. Quinot & S. Arrowsmith (Eds.), *Public Procurement Regulation in Africa* (pp. 336–369). Cambridge University Press.
- [61]. World Bank. (2017). *Enhancing Public Procurement Practices: The Impact of Procurement Reform*. World Bank Open Knowledge Repository.
- [62]. World Bank. (2017). *Korea: Public Procurement e-GP System*. PPP Knowledge Lab.
- [63]. World Bank. (2019). *Reforming Public Procurement: Achieving Better Value for Money*. World Bank Group.
- [64]. World Bank. (2020). *Benchmarking Public Procurement 2020*. World Bank Group.
- [65]. World Bank. (2020). *Public Procurement Legal and Regulatory Framework: A Guide to Transparency, Competition and Efficiency in Public Procurement*. World Bank Group.
- [66]. World Bank. (2020). *Using Technology to Enhance Transparency and Accountability in Public Procurement*. World Bank Group.
- [67]. World Health Organization. (2021). *Conducting a National Procurement Assessment: A Practical Guide*. WHO.
- [68]. World Trade Organization. (2016). *Public Procurement and the WTO Agreement on Government Procurement: Understanding the Basics*. WTO.
- [69]. Foundational procurement law scholarship (Quinot & Arrowsmith's Cambridge volume, UNCITRAL Model Law)
- [70]. African procurement regulation (country studies on Botswana, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, Zimbabwe)
- [71]. International organization frameworks (World Bank, OECD, UNDP, ADB, AfDB, UN, WHO, WTO)
- [72]. Comparative procurement law (U.S. FAR, UK Public Contracts Regulations, EU Directives, Canadian regulations)
- [73]. Corruption and integrity (Williams-Elegbe, Transparency International, OECD integrity principles)
- [74]. Digital transformation (OECD 2025 digital procurement report, e-procurement platforms, open contracting)
- [75]. Sustainable procurement (European Commission Green Public Procurement, Seuring & Müller)
- [76]. Case studies of procurement failure (Panama Metro, Kenya medical scandal, Petrobras, Eskom, Abuja CCTV)
- [77]. Procurement reform in developing countries (Open Contracting Partnership's three revolutions framework, La Chimia on donor influence)
- [78]. Performance-based contracting (Ambaw & Telgen, OMB guidance)

- [79]. Professional procurement literature (CIPS, IFPSM, NIGP)
- [80]. Jurisdictional legislation (Nigeria PPA 2007, Kenya PPADA 2015, Ghana PPA 2003, South Africa PPPFA 2000)