ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

From Placenta to Puberty: Micro plastics and Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals Across the Pediatric Life Course

Dr. Venugopal Reddy I.[1]

[1]Paediatrician, Longevia Clinics, Bangalore, India.

Publication Date: 2026/09/05

Abstract: Plastic pollution is increasingly understood not only as an environmental problem but also as a potential developmental health threat. Children encounter plastic particles and plastic-associated endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) through food, drinking water, indoor and outdoor air, dust, consumer products, personal-care products and medical devices. Exposure may begin before conception and continue through pregnancy, lactation, infancy, childhood and puberty. This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on pediatric exposure to microplastics and nanoplastics (MNPs), bisphenols, phthalates, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), brominated flame retardants and related compounds. Literature published mainly from 2015 to June 2026 was identified through PubMed/MEDLINE, Google Scholar, and reports from the World Health Organization and United Nations agencies. Experimental research supports biologically plausible pathways involving oxidative stress, inflammation, altered intestinal permeability and microbiota, endocrine receptor activity, epigenetic change, mitochondrial dysfunction and placental effects. Human biomonitoring confirms fetal and childhood exposure, while epidemiological evidence more consistently links several plastic associated chemicals rather than plastic particles themselves with adverse birth outcomes, altered growth and puberty, neurodevelopmental effects, thyroid dysfunction, metabolic risk and reproductive outcomes. Direct causal evidence for MNP-related disease in children remains limited by inconsistent sampling, contamination, particle characterization and exposure assessment. Pediatricians should communicate risk without alarm, prioritize feasible exposure-reduction measures and advocate for safer products and environments. The most effective response must combine individual guidance with regulation, producer responsibility, improved waste systems, standardized biomonitoring and longitudinal birth-cohort research. Protecting children from plastic-related exposures is a life course and environmental justice priority.

Keywords: *Microplastics; Nanoplastics; Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals; Child Health; Environmental Pediatrics; Plastic Pollution; Developmental Origins of Health and Disease.*

How to Cite: Dr. Venugopal Reddy I. (2026) From Placenta to Puberty: Micro plastics and Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals Across the Pediatric Life Course. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*,11(8), 2879-2884. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

I. INTRODUCTION

Plastic has become inseparable from modern childhood. It protects food, enables sterile medical care, reduces transport costs and supports safe water storage. Yet its durability and chemical complexity create a parallel problem: plastic fragments persist in ecosystems, while additives and contaminants migrate into food, air, dust and human tissues. Global concern has consequently moved beyond visible litter to the less visible mixture of microplastics, nanoplastics and plastic-associated chemicals to which children may be exposed every day.

Microplastics are commonly described as plastic particles smaller than 5 mm; proposed lower boundaries vary, and particles below approximately 1 micrometre are often termed nanoplastics. The absence of harmonized definitions is not trivial: particle size, shape, polymer, surface charge, weathering and chemical cargo influence detection and biological behavior. EDCs are exogenous chemicals or mixtures that interfere with hormone action. Relevant plastic-associated groups include bisphenols, phthalates, PFAS, brominated flame retardants, alkylphenols and some ultraviolet stabilizers. MNPs and EDCs overlap but are not synonymous. A particle may produce physical or inflammatory effects, release additives, adsorb pollutants or act through several routes simultaneously.

Childhood deserves specific attention because exposure per kilogram body weight may be higher, detoxification systems and physiological barriers are still developing, and rapid cell division makes developmental windows vulnerable

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

to disruption. Crawling and hand-to-mouth behavior increase dust ingestion. Infants consume large volumes of milk relative to body weight; adolescents use diverse packaged foods and personal-care products. Hormonal signals operate at low concentrations and precise times, so prenatal life, infancy and puberty may be more sensitive than adulthood. Early perturbations may not become clinically visible until years later.

This review integrates the particle and chemical dimensions of plastic exposure, evaluates the strength and limitations of pediatric evidence, and translates current knowledge into proportionate clinical and community-health action. It deliberately distinguishes hazard, exposure and demonstrated human risk so that uncertainty does not become either complacency or unnecessary fear.

II. REVIEW METHODOLOGY

A narrative review was undertaken using PubMed/MEDLINE and Google Scholar, supplemented by authoritative reports from the World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations Environment Programme and related agencies. Searches covered literature published predominantly from January 2015 through June 2026, while seminal earlier publications were retained when necessary. Search terms combined child, infant, adolescent, pregnancy, placenta or breast milk with microplastic, nanoplastic, plastic additive, endocrine-disrupting chemical, bisphenol, phthalate, PFAS, flame retardant, exposure, biomonitoring, neurodevelopment, puberty, thyroid, obesity and reproductive health.

Priority was given to systematic reviews, meta analyses, prospective birth cohorts, human biomonitoring studies, high-quality mechanistic studies and consensus reports. Adult-only studies were used sparingly to explain emerging biological plausibility and were not treated as proof of pediatric outcomes. Evidence was synthesized thematically because heterogeneity in particle definitions, analytical platforms, matrices and outcome measures precluded quantitative pooling. This is a narrative rather than a registered systematic review; therefore, selection bias and incomplete retrieval remain possible.

III. SOURCES AND ROUTES OF PEDIATRIC EXPOSURE

Dietary exposure is likely important. MNPs have been reported in drinking water, seafood, salt and packaged foods, although estimates vary substantially with laboratory methods. Heating, mechanical abrasion and repeated use can increase particle release from some plastic food-contact materials. Infant-feeding equipment deserves attention because bottles may be exposed to boiling water, vigorous shaking and repeated sterilization. Formula itself, bottle components and preparation water can each contribute; however, detection in a sample does not by itself establish a clinical hazard. Migration of bisphenols and phthalates from food-contact materials is influenced by temperature, fat content, contact time, aging and product composition.

Inhalation is increasingly recognized. Synthetic textiles, carpets, upholstery, toys, paints and household dust release fibres and fragments. Indoor concentrations may exceed outdoor levels because particles accumulate in enclosed spaces. Children spend much of their time indoors and breathe more air per kilogram than adults. Dust also contains phthalates, flame retardants and PFAS transferred from furnishings and consumer products. Hand-to-mouth activity converts settled dust into an ingestion pathway, particularly in toddlers.

Dermal exposure arises from packaging and from cosmetics or personal-care products containing plastic-related ingredients. Lotions, fragrances, hair products and some nail products can contribute to phthalate or paraben exposure. Dermal uptake of intact larger microplastics appears limited through healthy skin, but nanoplastics, damaged skin and follicular pathways remain under study. The chemical ingredients carried in or released from products are presently a more established concern than transdermal entry of most plastic particles.

Medical care can be an important episodic source. Tubing, catheters, blood bags, respiratory circuits, syringes and enteral-feeding systems are indispensable, yet some release particles or plasticizers. Preterm and critically ill neonates may experience high device contact during a uniquely susceptible period. This should prompt safer material design and procurement, not avoidance of necessary care: the immediate benefit of neonatal and pediatric treatment overwhelmingly outweighs an unquantified exposure risk.

Exposure also crosses generations. Plastic-associated chemicals have been measured in maternal blood, urine and placenta, and MNPs have been reported in placenta, meconium and breast milk. These findings support exposure and possible transplacental or lactational transfer, but contamination control is challenging. Breastfeeding remains the recommended feeding standard because its established benefits are substantial; environmental contamination should lead to upstream prevention, never messaging that discourages breastfeeding.

IV. WHY THE DEVELOPING CHILD MAY BE MORE VULNERABLE

Dose is only one component of vulnerability. Children have higher food, water and air intake relative to body mass and may have less varied diets, concentrating exposure from a particular source. Immature renal, hepatic, immune and barrier functions can alter toxicokinetics. The placenta is a dynamic endocrine and transport organ rather than an absolute shield. During organogenesis, small changes in signaling can influence tissue architecture; during early childhood, synaptogenesis and immune programming proceed rapidly; during puberty, coordinated hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal and metabolic signals drive maturation.

Social conditions modify both exposure and resilience. Communities near plastic production, informal recycling,

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

open burning, landfills or polluted waterways may receive higher mixtures of particles, combustion products and chemicals while having less access to safe water and nutritious food. Heat can increase migration from containers, making exposure relevant to settings where water and food are stored in plastic under high ambient temperatures. Environmental risk therefore intersects with poverty, occupation, housing quality, gender, geography and waste infrastructure. Advice that depends solely on purchasing premium products can deepen inequity and cannot substitute for regulation.

V. BIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

Experimental systems suggest several converging mechanisms. Small particles may be internalized by epithelial or immune cells, promote reactive oxygen species and activate inflammatory pathways. Disruption of intestinal tight junctions and microbiome composition could affect nutrient metabolism and immune maturation. Nanoplastics have greater surface area relative to mass and may cross biological barriers more readily than larger particles. Particle surfaces can carry monomers, additives, metals or environmental pollutants; weathering changes these interactions. Nevertheless, many experiments use pristine polystyrene spheres at concentrations or exposure patterns unlike real life, limiting direct extrapolation.

Endocrine disruption can occur through agonism or antagonism at nuclear and membrane receptors, altered hormone synthesis, transport, metabolism or clearance, and changed receptor expression. Bisphenol A can interact with estrogenic pathways; several phthalates have anti-androgenic activity; PFAS may alter thyroid and metabolic signaling; flame retardants can affect thyroid homeostasis. Non-monotonic dose-response relationships, mixtures and timing complicate conventional toxicology: a lower dose during a critical developmental window cannot always be assumed harmless because a higher dose produced no effect in another setting.

Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, epigenetic alteration and placental inflammation provide bridges between environmental exposure and outcomes such as impaired fetal growth or later cardiometabolic disease. EDCs may also influence adipocyte differentiation, appetite regulation and insulin sensitivity, leading to the concept of obesogens. Evidence for individual chemicals varies, and co-exposure makes attribution difficult. The scientifically defensible conclusion is that multiple pathways are plausible and several chemical associations are supported in humans, while disease causation by MNP particles in children is not yet established.

VI. EVIDENCE ACROSS PEDIATRIC HEALTH DOMAINS

A. Pregnancy, fetal development and birth outcomes. Prospective cohorts and meta-analyses have associated prenatal exposure to selected phthalates, bisphenols, PFAS and flame retardants with differences in gestational duration, birth weight or fetal growth, although effect direction and magnitude are inconsistent across compounds, populations and exposure windows. Placental detection of particles demonstrates contact, not toxicity. Confounding by diet, socioeconomic conditions and co-pollutants, together with short biological half-lives for some chemicals, complicates inference. Repeated measurements and mixture-based analyses are more informative than a single maternal sample.

B. Growth, adiposity and metabolic health. Experimental and epidemiological evidence supports concern that some EDCs can influence adipogenesis, glucose homeostasis and thyroid-dependent growth. Associations have been reported between prenatal or childhood exposure to certain bisphenols, phthalates and PFAS and body-mass index, waist measures or metabolic markers. Sex-specific and time-specific findings are common. Reverse causation is possible because eating patterns and use of packaged products may influence both adiposity and measured exposure. Pediatric obesity cannot be attributed to chemicals alone; food systems, physical activity, sleep, stress and social determinants remain dominant actionable drivers.

C. Puberty and reproductive development. Anti-androgenic phthalates, estrogen-active bisphenols and persistent chemicals may affect reproductive signaling. Human studies have examined anogenital distance, pubertal timing, menstrual characteristics, semen-related parameters later in life and conditions such as cryptorchidism. Results are heterogeneous, but developmental timing makes the area important. Replacement chemicals should not be presumed safe merely because a familiar compound has been removed; regrettable substitution can replace one hazardous bisphenol or plasticizer with a structurally similar, insufficiently tested alternative.

D. Thyroid function. Thyroid hormones regulate fetal and childhood brain development, growth and metabolism. PFAS, brominated flame retardants, bisphenols and some phthalates have been associated with changes in thyroid-stimulating hormone or circulating thyroid hormones, especially during pregnancy. Small population-level shifts can be important even when individual results remain within reference ranges. Yet iodine status, autoimmunity, medication, gestational age and assay differences must be considered. Routine clinical testing solely because a child uses plastic products is unsupported; testing should follow symptoms, risk factors and established guidelines.

E. Neurodevelopment and behavior. Prenatal exposure to several phthalates and flame retardants has been associated in observational research with attention, executive function, language or behavioral outcomes. Effect sizes are generally modest and causality is difficult to establish because neurodevelopment is shaped by genetics, caregiving, nutrition, education and many environmental exposures. Animal and cellular evidence supplies plausibility through thyroid disruption, oxidative stress, neurotransmitter effects and altered synaptic development. No validated clinical test can determine whether an individual child's learning or behavioral problem was caused by plastic exposure.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

F. Respiratory, immune and gastrointestinal effects. Airborne fibres and particles may irritate respiratory tissue, and experimental studies demonstrate inflammatory responses. Plastic-associated chemicals may modulate immune function, while PFAS exposure has been associated with reduced antibody response to some vaccines in several cohorts. Gut exposure has raised hypotheses involving permeability, microbiome change and inflammatory disease. Direct pediatric clinical evidence for MNP-driven asthma, allergy or gastrointestinal disease remains preliminary. Clinicians should avoid presenting mechanistic signals as confirmed diagnoses.

G. Evidence from human tissues. Detection of MNPs in placenta, breast milk, stool, blood and other tissues has transformed the research question from whether exposure occurs to what dose, distribution and effect are clinically meaningful. However, ubiquitous environmental plastic makes contamination during collection and analysis a major threat. Raman and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and microscopy quantify different size ranges or outputs and are not readily interchangeable. Standard reference materials, procedural blanks and transparent reporting are essential.

VII. INTERPRETING THE EVIDENCE WITHOUT OVERSTATEMENT

The evidence base is asymmetrical. For several EDC classes, human epidemiology, experimental toxicology and mechanistic knowledge collectively support preventive action. A 2024 umbrella review of plastic-associated chemicals identified evidence across reproductive, endocrine, neurodevelopmental, metabolic and other outcomes, while also reporting gaps and variable methodological quality. For MNP particles, exposure is widespread and biological plausibility is substantial, but pediatric longitudinal outcome data are scarce and there were no eligible particle meta-analyses in that umbrella review.

Association is not equivalent to causation. Exposure often comes from behaviors diet, packaging, housing and product use that independently relate to health. Chemical mixtures are highly correlated, and a measured biomarker may represent only a brief window. Publication bias and multiple comparisons can generate unstable findings. Conversely, absence of definitive human disease proof should not be misread as proof of safety: long latency, rapidly changing materials and ethical limits on experimentation make perfect evidence unlikely. A precautionary, proportionate approach reduces avoidable exposure while protecting nutrition, breastfeeding, medical care and family wellbeing.

VIII. CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR PEDIATRICIANS

Environmental exposure history can be integrated into routine anticipatory guidance without creating a new disease label. Relevant questions include how food and water are stored or heated, reliance on highly packaged food, household dust and ventilation, caregiver occupations, proximity to burning or recycling, and adolescents' use of cosmetics or fragrances. Detailed questioning is most useful when exposure is unusual or intense for example, informal e-waste work, repeated open burning, industrial contamination or a public-health alert.

Counselling should be practical. Families can avoid heating food in plastic when glass or stainless steel is safely available; follow manufacturer instructions for infant-feeding products; discard visibly damaged containers; wash hands before eating; reduce indoor dust through damp cleaning and ventilation; choose fresh or minimally processed food when affordable; avoid burning plastic; and limit unnecessary fragranced products. Labels such as 'BPA-free' do not guarantee freedom from all endocrine-active substitutes. Recommendations should focus on reducing repeated high-contact exposures, not achieving an impossible 'plastic-free' home.

There is no recommended routine clinical screening test for MNP burden. Commercial tests lack validated interpretation, reference ranges and treatment implications. Standard pediatric assessment remains appropriate for growth, development, puberty, thyroid symptoms, respiratory disease and neurobehavioral concerns. Suspected occupational or community clusters should be discussed with environmental-health or public-health services. Pediatricians should explicitly reassure families that necessary medicines, vaccines, medical devices and breastfeeding should not be discontinued because of generalized plastic concerns.

IX. COMMUNITY AND POLICY RESPONSES

Individual choice operates downstream of product design. Effective prevention follows a hierarchy: eliminate unnecessary hazardous uses; substitute only with demonstrably safer materials; control emissions and migration; improve labeling and procurement; strengthen waste collection and recycling; and provide accessible public guidance. Chemical regulation should evaluate classes and mixtures rather than waiting for proof against each compound separately. Mandatory disclosure and independent pre-market assessment can reduce regrettable substitution.

Maternal-child programs, schools and primary-care systems can incorporate environmental-health literacy. Safe-water initiatives should assess the complete system, because replacing contaminated water with poorly stored packaged water may trade one risk for another. School procurement can favor durable, low-emission products and reduce single-use plastics while preserving hygiene. Health facilities can audit plastic use, prioritize safer alternatives where clinically equivalent, and engage suppliers without compromising infection control.

Waste workers and communities near dumpsites require special protection. Open burning releases a complex mixture that extends far beyond microplastics, including particulate matter and toxic combustion products. Formalized collection, protective equipment, safe recycling infrastructure and enforcement against dumping yield immediate as well as long-term health benefits. Children and adolescents should

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

participate in policy design, but responsibility must not be shifted onto them as consumers.

X. RESEARCH PRIORITIES

The field needs harmonized terminology, contamination-control standards and reporting of polymer, size, shape, mass and particle count. Realistic reference materials should reflect weathered fragments and fibres rather than only pristine spheres. Interlaboratory comparisons and validated low-cost methods are particularly important for low- and middle-income countries.

Prospective preconception and birth cohorts should collect repeated samples, characterize mixtures, document diet and social determinants, and follow participants through puberty. Studies must test mediation by placenta, microbiome, inflammation and endocrine pathways while prespecifying outcomes. Sex-specific analysis is necessary but should avoid data dredging. Linking exposure reduction to intermediate biological and clinical outcomes would strengthen causal inference.

Intervention research is urgently needed. Randomized or quasi-experimental studies can evaluate changes in food service, packaging, household dust control, product formulation and community waste policy. Outcomes should include feasibility, cost, equity and unintended consequences. A pediatric core-outcome set would improve comparability. Research governance should disclose industry ties, involve affected communities and ensure that biomonitoring results are communicated responsibly.

XI. CONCLUSION

Plastic-related exposure is a genuine pediatric and community-health concern, but the evidence requires careful calibration. Children are exposed to MNPs and multiple EDCs from conception onward. Experimental evidence identifies plausible inflammatory, oxidative, endocrine, metabolic and epigenetic pathways, and human studies support associations between several plastic-associated chemicals and developmental outcomes. Direct evidence that MNP particles cause specific diseases in children remains limited and methodologically challenging.

The appropriate response is neither reassurance without action nor alarm without evidence. Pediatricians can offer low-cost exposure-reduction guidance, continue established health practices and recognize environmental inequities. Governments and industry must carry the larger responsibility through safer chemistry, transparent labeling, product redesign, pollution control and robust waste systems. Longitudinal and intervention studies using standardized methods are essential. Because development creates time-limited windows of susceptibility, preventing avoidable exposure today may protect health across the entire life course.

DECLARATIONS

- Funding: No external funding was received for this review.
- Conflict of interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.
- Ethical approval: Not applicable; this article reviews previously published literature and does not involve human or animal participants.
- Author contribution: The author conceptualized the review, synthesized the literature, drafted the manuscript and approved the final version.
- Acknowledgment: None.

REFERENCES

[1]. World Health Organization. State of the science of endocrine disrupting chemicals 2012. Geneva: WHO; 2013.

[2]. World Health Organization. Dietary and inhalation exposure to nano- and microplastic particles and potential implications for human health. Geneva: WHO; 2022.

[3]. United Nations Environment Programme. Chemicals in plastics: a technical report. Geneva: UNEP; 2023.

[4]. World Health Assembly. The impact of chemicals, waste and pollution on human health. WHA76.17. Geneva: WHO; 2023.

[5]. Kahn LG, Philippat C, Nakayama SF, Slama R, Trasande L. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: implications for human health. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020;8:703-718. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30129-7.

[6]. Symeonides C, Aromataris E, Mulders Y, et al. An umbrella review of meta-analyses evaluating associations between human health and exposure to major classes of plastic-associated chemicals. Ann Glob Health. 2024;90:52. doi:10.5334/aogh.4459.

[7]. Wright SL, Kelly FJ. Plastic and human health: a micro issue? Environ Sci Technol. 2017;51:6634-6647. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b00423.

[8]. Campanale C, Massarelli C, Savino I, Locaputo V, Uricchio VF. A detailed review study on potential effects of microplastics and additives of concern on human health. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:1212. doi:10.3390/ijerph17041212.

[9]. Street ME, Bernasconi S. Microplastics, environment and child health. Ital J Pediatr. 2021;47:75. doi:10.1186/s13052-021-01034-3.

[10]. Chia RW, Lee JY, Kim H, Jang J. Microplastic and human health with focus on pediatric wellbeing: a review. J Hazard Mater Adv. 2024;16:100478.

[11]. Principi N, Esposito S. Plastic pollution and child health: a narrative review of micro- and nanoplastics, additives and developmental risks. Children. 2025.

[12]. Ragusa A, Svelato A, Santacroce C, et al. Plasticenta: first evidence of microplastics in human placenta. Environ Int. 2021;146:106274. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106274.

[13]. Braun T, Ehrlich L, Henrich W, et al. Detection of microplastic in human placenta and meconium in a clinical setting. Pharmaceutics. 2021;13:921. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13070921.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1425

[14]. Ragusa A, Notarstefano V, Svelato A, et al. Raman microspectroscopy detection and characterisation of microplastics in human breastmilk. Polymers. 2022;14:2700. doi:10.3390/polym14132700.
[15]. Leslie HA, van Velzen MJM, Brandsma SH, Vethaak AD, Garcia-Vallejo JJ, Lamoree MH. Discovery and quantification of plastic particle pollution in human blood. Environ Int. 2022;163:107199. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107199.
[16]. Marfella R, Prattichizzo F, Sardu C, et al. Microplastics and nanoplastics in atheromas and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med. 2024;390:900-910. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2309822.
[17]. Li D, Shi Y, Yang L, et al. Microplastic release from the degradation of polypropylene feeding bottles during infant formula preparation. Nat Food. 2020;1:746-754. doi:10.1038/s43016-020-00171-y.
[18]. Cox KD, Covernton GA, Davies HL, Dower JF, Juanes F, Dudas SE. Human consumption of microplastics. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53:7068-7074. doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b01517.
[19]. Vethaak AD, Legler J. Microplastics and human health. Science. 2021;371:672-674. doi:10.1126/science.abe5041.
[20]. [20] WHO. Microplastics in drinking-water. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
[21]. Ullah A, Pirzada M, Jahan S, et al. A review of the endocrine disrupting effects of micro and nano plastic and their associated chemicals in mammals. Front Endocrinol. 2023;13:1084236. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022.1084236.
[22]. Kiess W, Hoppmann J, Gesing J, Penke M, Körner A, Pfäffle R. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and child health. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021;35:101516. doi:10.1016/j.beem.2021.101516.
[23]. Gore AC, Chappell VA, Fenton SE, et al. EDC-2: the Endocrine Society's second scientific statement on endocrine-disrupting chemicals. Endocr Rev. 2015;36:E1-E150. doi:10.1210/er.2015-1010.
[24]. Trasande L, Zoeller RT, Hass U, et al. Estimating burden and disease costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European Union. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100:1245-1255. doi:10.1210/jc.2014-4324.
[25]. Philippat C, Heude B, Botton J, et al. Prenatal exposure to select phthalates and phenols and associations with fetal and postnatal growth. Environ Health Perspect. 2014;122:422-428. doi:10.1289/ehp.1307174.
[26]. Golestanzadeh M, Riahi R, Kelishadi R. Association of phthalate exposure with precocious and delayed pubertal timing in girls and boys: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Sci Process Impacts. 2020;22:873-894.
[27]. Radke EG, Braun JM, Meeker JD, Cooper GS. Phthalate exposure and male reproductive outcomes: a systematic review of the human epidemiological evidence. Environ Int. 2018;121:764-793. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2018.07.029.
[28]. Johnson PI, Koustas E, Vesterinen HM, et al. Application of the Navigation Guide systematic review methodology to the evidence for developmental and reproductive toxicity of triclosan. Environ Int. 2016;92-93:716-728.
[29]. Lam J, Lanphear BP, Bellinger D, et al. Developmental PBDE exposure and IQ/ADHD in childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Health Perspect. 2017;125:086001. doi:10.1289/EHP1632.
[30]. Braun JM. Early-life exposure to EDCs: role in childhood obesity and neurodevelopment. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017;13:161-173. doi:10.1038/nrendo.2016.186.
[31]. Trasande L, Shaffer RM, Sathyanarayana S. Food additives and child health. Pediatrics. 2018;142:e20181410. doi:10.1542/peds.2018-1410.
[32]. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Health effects of PFAS exposure. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2022.
[33]. European Environment Agency. Emerging chemical risks in Europe—PFAS. Copenhagen: EEA; 2024.
[34]. Landrigan PJ, Raps H, Cropper M, et al. The Minderoo-Monaco Commission on plastics and human health. Ann Glob Health. 2023;89:23. doi:10.5334/aogh.4056.
[35]. Thompson RC, Moore CJ, vom Saal FS, Swan SH. Plastics, the environment and human health: current consensus and future trends. Philos Trans R Soc B. 2009;364:2153-2166. doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0053.
[36]. Muncke J, Andersson AM, Backhaus T, et al. Impacts of food contact chemicals on human health: a consensus statement. Environ Health. 2020;19:25. doi:10.1186/s12940-020-0572-5.
[37]. Zimmermann L, Dierkes G, Ternes TA, Völker C, Wagner M. Benchmarking the in vitro toxicity and chemical composition of plastic consumer products. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53:11467-11477. doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b02293.
[38]. Persson L, Carney Almroth BM, Collins CD, et al. Outside the safe operating space of the planetary boundary for novel entities. Environ Sci Technol. 2022;56:1510-1521. doi:10.1021/acs.est.1c04158.
[39]. United Nations Children's Fund. Children’s environmental health: why children need special protection. New York: UNICEF; 2024.
[40]. World Health Organization. Throwing away our health: the impacts of solid waste on human health. Geneva: WHO; 2025.