Volume 10, Issue 1, January – 2025 *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)*
ISSN No:-2456-2165 *https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14724973*
IJISRT25JAN583 *www.ijisrt.com*

An Analysis of the Stages of Keratoconus and Their Influence on Life Quality

[1]Nargish Tomar; [2*]Niranjan Kumar
[1]M.Optom. Scholar, [2*]Assistant Professor

Department of Optometry, School of Allied Health Sciences,
Galgotias University, Greater Noida, Plot No. 17A, GB. Nagar, India.

Publication Date: 2025/01/24

Abstract

Keratoconus is a progressive, non-inflammatory ectatic disorder of the cornea, characterized by progressive thinning and protrusion, resulting in a conical shape that leads to significant refractive and visual impairment. The condition typically manifests during adolescence or early adulthood and may progress throughout life if left untreated. While its precise etiology remains uncertain, keratoconus is widely considered to arise from a multifactorial interplay of genetic predisposition, environmental influences—such as persistent mechanical trauma from chronic eye rubbing—and systemic associations, including connective tissue disorders like Marfan syndrome.

This paper examines the progression of keratoconus across its clinical stages, detailing its transition from mild symptoms to advanced corneal deformation and visual dysfunction. The disease progresses through distinct stages, marked by increasing corneal thinning, steepening, and deterioration of optical quality. In its early phases, patients may present with mild refractive errors, but as the disease advances, they often experience significant visual disturbances, including blurred vision, irregular astigmatism, and, in severe cases, corneal scarring that can severely impair visual acuity. These progressive changes can profoundly impact patients' quality of life, often leading to psychological challenges such as frustration, anxiety, or depression.

Early diagnosis and prompt intervention are critical to mitigating disease progression and improving outcomes. Therapeutic strategies, including corneal cross-linking, custom-designed contact lenses, and surgical interventions, have demonstrated efficacy in stabilizing the corneal structure, enhancing vision, and preserving functional quality of life. However, the effective management of keratoconus is influenced by socioeconomic and cultural factors, which often dictate access to healthcare services. In resource-limited settings, delayed diagnosis and restricted availability of advanced treatments can exacerbate disease progression and its associated consequences.

This paper advocates for a multidimensional approach to keratoconus management, emphasizing the integration of medical therapies with psychological and social support systems. By addressing the diverse impacts of keratoconus across its clinical spectrum, this review aims to highlight strategies to optimize patient care and improve overall quality of life.

Keywords: *Keratoconus, Corneal Cross-Linking, Vision Impairment, Quality of Life [QoL],The National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire [NEI-VFQ],Keratoconus Outcomes Research Questionnaire [KORQ], etc.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus is a non-inflammatory, progressive corneal condition characterized by uneven astigmatism and vision impairment due to the cornea's thinning and conical protrusion. Vision gets distorted and blurred due to the cornea's inability to properly refract light caused by structural changes in the cornea. This condition is most common in teenage or early adulthood and can continue to the middle age.[1] Although the precise origin of keratoconus is yet unknown, it is thought to be multifactorial.

- Genetic predisposition: Genetic mutations that impact collagen synthesis, for example, have been linked to keratoconus, which frequently has a familial component.

- Environmental factors: There is an increased risk of getting keratoconous via chronic eye rubbing and more likely to conditions such as atopy[e.g., asthma, eczema].
- Biochemical anomalies: Research indicates that the cornea's thinning and weakening may be a result of oxidative stress and enzymatic abnormalities.
- Systemic conditions: Marfan syndrome and Ehlers-Danlos syndrome are two connective tissue disorders that are frequently linked to keratoconus.[2]

According to calculations, the prevalence of keratoconus grew from 0.03% in 2016 to 0.04% in 2019. Patients between the ages of 18 and 39 had the highest frequency of keratoconus, followed by those between the ages of 40 and 64; similar prevalence rates were noted in these age groups among Black individuals. Although the frequency was somewhat greater in females than in males, Black females had a much higher prevalence of keratoconus than did male people. The Black population has the highest observed prevalence of keratoconus, followed by the Hispanic group. With a cumulative economic burden of USD 3.8 billion, the average inflation-adjusted lifetime cost of keratoconus treatment was USD 28,766.69 in 2019.[1]

➢ *Understanding Keratoconus: Stages and Progression*

Understanding the stages of keratoconus, a degenerative corneal disease, is essential for managing and treating it appropriately. A combination of clinical symptoms, corneal thickness, corneal curvature, and visual acuity are usually used to classify keratoconus. These metrics are crucial for guiding decisions about therapies like contact lenses, corneal cross-linking, or surgical procedures like keratoplasty. They also assist clinicians in assessing the severity and course of the illness.

➢ *Grading of Keratoconus* [1]

Keratoconus is classified into different stages based on severity to guide diagnosis and treatment.

In Keratometric Classification, it is categorized as mild [central corneal power >45D], moderate [46D–52D], advanced [53D–59D], and severe [<59D].

Hom's Classification includes preclinical [no detectable signs], mild [mild thinning and scissoring reflex], moderate [thinning with poor visual quality but no scarring], and severe [severe thinning, unreliable refraction, and scarring].

Amsler-Krumeich Classification identifies Grade I [central K <48D, refraction >−5D, no scarring], Grade II [central K <53D, refraction >−8D, thickness >400 µm], Grade III [central K <55D, refraction >−10D, thickness >300 µm, no scars], and Grade IV [central K >55D, thickness >200 µm, with scarring]. These classifications assist in assessing the condition and planning treatment.

These systems provide different criteria based on corneal curvature, visual quality, corneal scarring, and other clinical signs.

II. UNDERSTANDING THE EFFECT OF KERATOCONUS ON DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF LIFE

➢ *Impact of Keratoconus on Vision and Functionality*

Reduced Visual Acuity: As the cornea gradually thins and enlarges, irregular astigmatism and myopia develop, resulting in distorted and blurry vision. The capacity to carry out basic daily chores like driving, reading, and utilizing digital gadgets is compromised by this. Patients frequently need their prescriptions for glasses or contact lenses adjusted because their corneas are changing in form. Furthermore, a patient's ability to effectively correct vision may be limited if they develop an intolerance to contact lenses.[3]Anxiety and despair can be brought on by the uncertainty around the course of a disease. Due to the chronic nature of the illness and its limitations, many patients experience frustration that can lower their self-esteem.Vision difficulties affect academic performance and work productivity. Patients frequently report feeling more alone as a result of their decreased participation in social activities.Patients and their families may have to pay a significant amount of money for the necessity of specialized contact lenses, possible surgical procedures [such as corneal cross-linking or corneal transplantation], and regular follow-up appointments.[4] Keratoconus interferes with important developmental and scholastic phases since it typically appears in adolescence or early adulthood. It might be difficult socially and mentally to use visual aids during these formative years, such as stiff contact lenses. Clinicians can diagnose patients earlier and provide more individualized treatments to improve their quality of life and delay the progression of their condition by knowing the substantial influence on QoL. This includes rehabilitation techniques, psychological support, and prompt interventions.[5] The multifaceted impact of keratoconus on patients can be evaluated with the help of standardized tools as the Keratoconus Outcomes Research Questionnaire [KORQ] and the National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire [NEI-VFQ]. These instruments assess the psychological and social effects of the disease in addition to the functional elements of eyesight.[6]

➢ *Quality of Life in Keratoconus*

Psychological and Emotional Impact: Studies indicate that the prevalence of psychiatric disorders, such as depression and anxiety, is higher among keratoconus patients compared to the general population. For instance, 37.2% of patients in a 2021 study had a psychiatric diagnosis, with 13.8% suffering from moderate-to-severe depression and 21.2% from moderate-to-severe anxiety.[7][8] This emotional toll is often tied to the progressive nature of the disease, which can make patients feel uncertain about their future, impacting their self-identity during adolescence and early adulthood.[8]

➢ *Impact on Daily Activities and Work*

Keratoconus significantly impacts daily activities and work, as seen in the findings of various studies. Patients report that their visual symptoms—such as blurred vision, pain, light sensitivity, and poor depth perception—interfere with activities like using computers, reading, and even self-care routines.[9][10] Moreover, the condition often leads to a

deterioration in emotional well-being and quality of life due to frustrations with the lack of effective treatment, fear of disease progression, and the inconveniences associated with corrective devices like contact lenses.[9][11]

Keratoconus also has a substantial effect on careers. Many patients report difficulty in reading and participating in class, leading to disengagement from education and career limitations. Symptoms like eye strain and difficulties with tasks requiring fine detail, such as using screens, further hinder productivity. In severe cases, individuals may need to change careers due to declining vision.[9]These daily challenges highlight the broader need for therapies that improve not only visual outcomes but also overall quality of life for individuals with keratoconus.[9][10]

- *Coping Mechanisms in Early Stages*

Personality and Coping Mechanisms: Patients with keratoconus have been found to exhibit specific personality traits such as anxiety, compulsivity, and dependence, which may influence their ability to cope with the disease. This can exacerbate the emotional burden, as their personality may lead to heightened subjective feelings of loss and despair[12][13]

III. THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS AND THEIR EFFECTS ON LIFE QUALITY

Therapeutic interventions for keratoconus, including treatments like corneal cross-linking [CXL], contact lenses, and surgical options, significantly impact patients' quality of life [QoL]. Below are some key interventions and their combined effects: [11][12][13]

Corneal Cross-linking [CXL]: CXL is the most effective treatment for halting or slowing the progression of keratoconus. This intervention significantly improves vision-related quality of life [VRQoL] by stabilizing the corneal structure and reducing the rate of vision deterioration. Studies show that CXL increases patient satisfaction and reduces emotional stress by preventing further visual decline, ultimately leading to a more stable and predictable vision outcome.

Contact Lenses: Specially designed lenses, such as rigid gas-permeable [RGP] and scleral lenses, improve vision by compensating for the irregular corneal curvature associated with keratoconus. These lenses significantly improve visual function and reduce activity limitations, leading to better overall QoL. However, lens discomfort and complications like dry eyes can impact patients' emotional well-being, occasionally offsetting the visual improvements they provide.

Surgical Options: For advanced keratoconus, interventions like intrastromal corneal ring segments [Intacs] or corneal transplantation [keratoplasty] are used to restore vision and improve overall QoL. Surgical treatments often lead to enhanced physical functioning and reduced emotional role limitations, improving patients' capacity to engage in daily activities. However, patient satisfaction varies based on surgical outcomes, and post-surgical adjustments may introduce new challenges.

Psychiatric and Emotional Support: Addressing the emotional and psychological impacts of keratoconus is crucial alongside physical treatments. Studies indicate that patients who receive psychological support along with medical interventions report better overall life satisfaction and improved QoL. This highlights the importance of a holistic treatment approach that combines vision correction with emotional and psychological care.

By combining these physical treatments with emotional and psychological support, keratoconus patients can experience enhanced visual functioning and a more comprehensive improvement in quality of life.

IV. FACTORS INFLUENCING QUALITY OF LIFE IN KERATOCONUS PATIENTS

- *Role of Early Diagnosis and Intervention*

Early diagnosis is key in managing conditions like keratoconus. Catching the disease in its early stages allows for timely treatments that can slow its progression, helping to preserve vision. For instance, interventions such as corneal cross-linking can stabilize the cornea and prevent further damage before the condition worsens. This not only stops the decline in vision but can also reduce the need for more invasive treatments down the line, like corneal transplants. By intervening early, patients often experience better long-term outcomes and a significantly improved quality of life. [Castro-Luna G, Pérez-Rueda A. A predictive model for early diagnosis of keratoconus.[14][15]

- *Socioeconomic and Cultural Factors*

Access to healthcare and cultural perceptions play a critical role in how keratoconus and similar conditions are managed. In many parts of the world, especially in low-income areas, people often rely on optometrists for vision care and may not seek specialist treatment from an ophthalmologist until their vision has deteriorated significantly. This can be due to several reasons—lack of access to advanced diagnostic tools like corneal topography, the cost of healthcare, or simply not understanding the importance of early treatment. [15].

In some cases, patients may not even be aware of conditions like keratoconus until it's too late to prevent severe vision loss. Raising awareness about the need for early diagnosis and affordable healthcare access is crucial to improving outcomes, especially for underserved populations. Moreover, economic barriers and a belief that minor vision issues aren't urgent often prevent timely diagnosis and treatment, leading to avoidable vision loss. [15].

- *Psychological Support and Rehabilitation*

The psychological and emotional toll of vision loss can be profound, especially in progressive diseases like keratoconus. Anxiety, depression, and feelings of helplessness are common, particularly when patients face uncertainty about how their vision will change. This makes psychological

support and counseling an essential part of treatment. By providing mental health support alongside medical interventions, patients can better cope with the emotional challenges of living with a chronic eye condition. [16]

In addition to counseling, visual rehabilitation—such as vision therapy and fitting specialized lenses—can greatly improve a patient's daily life. Having a supportive environment, including access to social groups or therapy, helps patients maintain their independence and boosts their quality of life. Combining medical, psychological, and social support helps ensure better overall outcomes for those with keratoconus [15] [16]

Table 1. Shows Different Studies Association with Keratoconus on the Quality of Life.

Author [Year]	Functional Limitation	Psychological Impact	Quality of Life [QoL]	Quantitative Data / Scores
Moschos et al. [2018]	Challenges in reading, driving, and recognizing faces; reliance on rigid lenses.	Mild/moderate depression: 75%; severe depression: 12.5% [PHQ-9].	Significant reduction in QoL in KC patients, particularly in vision-related domains.	**Depression [PHQ-9]**: Severe: 12.5%; mild/moderate: 75%. Significant QoL differences compared to controls.[17]
Bak-Nielsen et al. [2019]	Significant visual limitations, especially for tasks requiring good acuity.	Depression risk increased by 108% in KC patients post-diagnosis compared to controls.	Reduced QoL, especially in advanced KC stages.	**Odds Ratio [OR]** for depression post-diagnosis: 2.08. QoL: Worse with increasing severity of KC.[18]
Aslan et al. [2021]	Difficulty with night vision, driving, and occupational tasks.	Anxiety: 41%; Depression: 13.8% [BDI-21].	QoL reductions due to decreased participation in daily tasks and reliance on corrective lenses.	**Depression [BDI-21]**: Moderate to severe: 13.8%. Functional scores significantly lower compared to controls.[19]
Lin et al. [2021]	Limited functional impairments in early stages; significant challenges in severe cases	Depression found to be protective in some cases [OR = 0.58].	Mild QoL impairment in early KC stages; severe impairments in advanced cases.	**QoL**: Declines with KC progression. **Depression Odds**: 0.58 [protective association noted].[20]
Xu et al. [2012]	Minor visual limitations reported, with emphasis on mild KC stages.	No significant association between KC and depression in multivariate analysis.	Unclear QoL reduction; significant associations between QoL and vision impairment noted in univariate analysis.	**OR for Depression [Multivariate]**: Not significant. **QoL**: Minimal reported impairment in early stages.[21]
Woodward et al. [2016]	Challenges increase with severity, affecting vision-critical tasks like reading and driving	No significant association between KC and depression noted.	QoL minimally affected in mild KC cases; significant impairments reported with advanced KC.	**OR for Depression**: Not significant. **QoL**: Mild impairments in early stages; severe limitations in advanced stages.[22]

V. DISCUSSION

Keratoconus is a complex condition with both visual and psychological consequences that affect patients' daily lives significantly. The early detection of keratoconus plays a crucial role in determining the treatment approach and overall prognosis. As seen in the grading systems [Keratometric, Hom's, and Amsler-Krumeich], the classification of the disease is essential for managing its progression and deciding on appropriate therapeutic interventions. Mild cases may only require corrective lenses, while more advanced cases might need surgical solutions such as corneal transplantation or corneal cross-linking [CXL]. These treatments not only stabilize the cornea but also improve patients' quality of life [QoL] by slowing disease progression.

Table 2: A classification Table Used to Explain the Impact of Keratoconus on an Individual's Life.

Stage of Keratoconus	Visual Symptoms	Functional Limitations	Psychological Impact	Impact on Quality of Life
Early	Mild blurry or distorted vision; often mistaken for refractive errors.	Slightly limited in activities requiring sharp vision.	Low; mild frustration from needing corrective lenses.	Mild impact; may affect visual comfort but is manageable.

Intermediate	Noticeable increase in corneal steepening, astigmatism, and vision distortion.	Difficulty with reading, screen use, driving; impacts work.	Moderate anxiety and stress over progressing vision loss.	Significant; vision correction less effective, limiting daily tasks.
Advanced	Severe visual impairment with visible corneal deformation.	Dependence on others for activities; potential job changes.	High; increased risk of depression and feelings of isolation.	Severe; marked reduction in independence and social engagement.

Moreover, keratoconus is often associated with emotional stress, anxiety, and depression. The uncertainty surrounding vision loss, especially in the prime years of life, adds a significant psychological burden. This underlines the need for not just medical interventions but also psychological support. Many patients face difficulties in their education, career, and social life due to visual impairments, leading to isolation and frustration. Addressing these issues through mental health support, specialized lenses, and rehabilitation programs can alleviate some of the emotional stress.

The economic burden of keratoconus Is another key factor in managing this condition. Specialized treatments, frequent eye examinations, and the need for custom-made contact lenses result in high medical costs for patients. Furthermore, accessibility to timely diagnosis and treatment is limited in underserved populations, making early intervention more difficult. Socioeconomic factors such as access to healthcare and awareness about the disease also play a role in disease progression and outcomes, with underprivileged individuals at a higher risk of delayed treatment and severe vision loss.

Review highlights the importance of early intervention, particularly with treatments like CXL, which has been shown to slow or halt the progression of keratoconus. Timely management can prevent the need for more invasive and expensive treatments, such as corneal transplants, later on. Additionally, coping mechanisms, both physical and emotional, are essential for patients in managing the disease. Specialized contact lenses, surgical interventions, and counseling help improve not only the visual aspects but also the psychological well-being of patients.

VI. CONCLUSION

Keratoconus significantly impacts both the physical and emotional well-being of patients, particularly due to its progression during critical phases of life. Early diagnosis and treatment are paramount in preventing severe vision loss and improving long-term outcomes. Treatments like corneal cross-linking offer promising results in stabilizing the condition, while specialized contact lenses and surgical options help restore functional vision in advanced cases.

However, the disease's effects extend beyond the physical—keratoconus often takes a psychological toll, causing anxiety, depression, and social isolation. Thus, a comprehensive treatment approach that combines medical intervention with psychological support is vital. Addressing the economic burden and ensuring equitable access to care are equally important in managing this condition effectively.

In conclusion, managing keratoconus requires a multifaceted approach, with early diagnosis, timely intervention, and psychological support being key components. By considering the full scope of its impact on patients' lives, healthcare professionals can offer more personalized and holistic care, helping patients maintain their quality of life despite the challenges posed by the disease.

Research on keratoconus reveals several gaps, including limited understanding of its genetic and environmental causes, insufficient focus on the psychosocial impact, and a lack of long-term outcome studies for current treatments. Disparities in access to care, especially in low-resource settings, and the absence of standardized quality-of-life assessment tools hinder consistent evaluation. Pediatric keratoconus and comprehensive rehabilitation programs are underexplored, as are economic evaluations of the disease's burden. Addressing these areas can enhance understanding, treatment, and equitable management of keratoconus.

REFRENCES

[1]. Rabinowitz YS. Keratoconus. Survey of ophthalmology. 1998 Jan 1;42[4]:297-319.

[2]. Hashemi, Hassan MD; Heydarian, Samira PhD; Hooshmand, Elham MSc; Saatchi, Mohammad PhD; Yekta, Abbasali PhD; Aghamirsalim, Mohamadreza MD; Valadkhan, Mehrnaz M.Sc; Mortazavi, Mehdi MD; Hashemi, Alireza MD; Khabazkhoob, Mehdi PhD. The Prevalence and Risk Factors for Keratoconus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cornea 39[2]:p 263-270, February 2020. | DOI: 10.1097/ICO.0000000000002150

[3]. Singh RB, Parmar UP, Jhanji V. Prevalence and economic burden of keratoconus in the United States. American Journal of Ophthalmology. 2024 Mar 1;259:71-8.

[4]. Kymes SM, Walline JJ, Zadnik K, Gordon MO, Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Keratoconus [CLEK] Study Group. Quality of life in keratoconus. American journal of ophthalmology. 2004 Oct 1;138[4]:527-35.

[5]. Kandel H, Pesudovs K, Nguyen V, Chen JY, Poon A, Mills R, Watson SL. Patient-reported outcomes in keratoconus: a Save Sight keratoconus registry study. Cornea. 2023 May 1;42[5]:590-7.

[6]. Khadka J, Schoneveld PG, Pesudovs K. Development of a keratoconus-specific questionnaire using Rasch

analysis. Optometry and Vision Science. 2017 Mar 1;94[3]:395-403.
[7]. Florek S, Gościniewicz P, Suszka M, Mrukwa-Kominek E, Pudlo R. Psychological and Psychiatric Characteristics of People with Keratoconus. Reports. 2024 Aug 3;7[3]:67
[8]. Yildiz M, Turhan SA, Yargı B, Ergün S, Örnek E, Baz F, Toker AE. Psychiatric morbidity of patients with keratoconus: a cross-sectional study. Journal of psychosomatic research. 2021 Apr 1;143:110384 {turkey}
[9]. Fan L, Kandel H, Watson SL. Impacts of keratoconus on quality of life: a qualitative study. Eye. 2024 Jul 23:1-9
[10]. Gothwal VK, Reddy SP, Fathima A, Bharani S, Sumalini R, Bagga DK, Sudharman PM. Assessment of the impact of keratoconus on vision-related quality of life. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2013 Apr 1;54[4]:2902-10.
[11]. Panthier C, Moran S, Bourges JL. Evaluation of vision-related quality of life in keratoconus patients, and associated impact of keratoconus severity indicators. Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology. 2020 Jul;258:1459-68.
[12]. Florek S, Gościniewicz P, Suszka M, Mrukwa-Kominek E, Pudlo R. Psychological and Psychiatric Characteristics of People with Keratoconus. Reports. 2024 Aug 3;7[3]:67.
[13]. Lalgudi VG. Commentary: Assessment of subjective functional and emotional compromise in Keratoconus patients: Significance, confounders, and future. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2021 Sep 1;69[9]:2435-6.
[14]. Castro-Luna G, Pérez-Rueda A. A predictive model for early diagnosis of keratoconus. BMC ophthalmology. 2020 Dec;20:1-9
[15]. Dudeja L, Chauhan T, Vohra S. Sequence of events leading to diagnosis of keratoconus and its impact on quality of life. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2021 Dec 1;69[12]:3478-81.
[16]. Pérez JF, Marcos AV, Peña FJ. Early diagnosis of keratoconus: what difference is it making?. British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2014 Nov 1;98[11]:1465-6
[17]. Moschos MM, Gouliopoulos NS, Kalogeropoulos C, Androudi S, Kitsos G, Ladas D, Tsatsos M, Chatziralli I. Psychological aspects and depression in patients with symptomatic keratoconus. Journal of Ophthalmology. 2018;2018[1]:7314308.
[18]. Bak-Nielsen S, Ramlau-Hansen CH, Ivarsen A, Plana-Ripoll O, Hjortdal J. Incidence and prevalence of keratoconus in Denmark–an update. Acta ophthalmologica. 2019 Dec;97[8]:752-5.
[19]. Aslan MG, Besenek M, Akgoz H, Satılmaz MF, Hocaoglu C. Evaluation of personality features and mental state of keratoconus patients. Beyoglu Eye Journal. 2021;6[4]:272.
[20]. Lin KK, Lee JS, Hou CH, Chen WM, Hsiao CH, Chen YW, Yeh CT, See LC. The sociodemographic and risk factors for keratoconus: nationwide matched case-control study in Taiwan, 1998-2015. American journal of ophthalmology. 2021 Mar 1;223:140-8.
[21]. Xu L, Wang YX, Guo Y, You QS, Jonas JB, Beijing Eye Study Group. Prevalence and associations of steep cornea/keratoconus in Greater Beijing. The Beijing Eye Study. PloS one. 2012 Jul 6;7[7]:e39313.
[22]. Woodward MA, Blachley TS, Stein JD. The association between sociodemographic factors, common systemic diseases, and keratoconus: an analysis of a nationwide heath care claims database. Ophthalmology. 2016 Mar 1;123[3]:457-65.