ISSN No: -2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec1109

Application of Constructivist Learning Theories in 21st Century Classrooms

Md Dilnawaz[1]; Md Azad[2]; Md Dilnawaz[3]

[1]Ph.D. Scholar. (Education), Net, Manuu, College of Teacher Education, Darbhanga
[2]Ph.D. Scholar. (Education), Net, Manuu, College of Teacher Education, Darbhanga
[3]Ph.D. Scholar. (Education), Net, Manuu, College of Teacher Education, Darbhanga

Publication Date: 2025/12/25

Abstract: As we move into the 21st century classroom, teaching and learning objectives have shifted away from being just about getting information and to also include helping students to develop skills necessary to think critically and creatively, solve problems, and continue learning throughout their lives. Because of this shift in focus, constructivist learning theories have emerged as an effective and significant theoretical structure for contemporary educators. Constructivism is based on the concept that knowledge is not a static object but a dynamic process that the student constructs himself through his previous knowledge, personal experiences and social interaction. The study under review presents an analysis of the practical application of constructivist learning theories in 21st century classrooms, especially in the context of India's National Education Policy 2020 The study examines the basic principles, teaching strategies and assessment methods of constructivist teaching in the light of the theories of Jean Piaget, Leo Vygotsky and Jerome Bruner. Problem-based learning, inquiry-based teaching, collaborative learning, project-based learning and effective use of technology have been discussed as prominent applications of constructivist learning. The results of the study indicate that constructivist learning theories are fully aligned with the goals of learner-centric, competency-based and experiential learning proposed in NEP 2020. Constructivist teaching not only strengthens students' conceptual understanding and cognitive sustainability but also promotes intrinsic motivation, self-confidence and a sense of social responsibility in them. The paper concludes that if constructivist learning theories are made the main intellectual pillar of the implementation of NEP 2020, 21st century education can be made more meaningful, effective and future-proof.

Keywords: *Constructivist Learning, 21st Century Classrooms, National Education Policy 2020, Experiential Learning, Competency-Based Education, Collaborative Learning, Critical Thinking, Educational Technolog.*

How to Cite: Md Dilnawaz; Md Azad; Md Dilnawaz (2025) Application of Constructivist Learning Theories in 21st Century Classrooms. *International Journal of Innovative Science and ResearchTechnology,*10(12), 1554-1558. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec1109

I. INTRODUCTION

The challenges and need facing education in the 21st century have become much more complex in the past. The rapid growth of knowledge at the global level, the development of digital technology, social diversity and economic competition have forced the education system to develop intellectual autonomy, critical awareness and practical skills in learners rather than simply providing information. In such circumstances, traditional teaching methods, which were teacher-centered and based on memorization, are proving to be insufficient in preparing students according to contemporary requirements. This is why constructivist learning theories have gained a central position in modern educational thought. Constructivist theories are based on the concept that knowledge is not a static object but a dynamic and evolutionary process that the student constructs himself through his previous knowledge, personal experiences and social interaction (Piaget, 1972). According to this theory, the learning process is meaningful only when the student actively participates in it and integrates new information with his mental structure. Twenty-first century classrooms, where student-centered teaching, collaborative learning, and self-directed learning opportunities are provided, provide a highly conducive environment for the practical application of constructivist theories.

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) in India has emphasized the shift of the education system from the traditional examination-centered model to a learning-based, competency-centered, and experiential education. According to NEP 2020, the purpose of education is not merely to impart knowledge but to develop critical thinking, problem-solving skills, creativity, and lifelong learning in students (Government of India, 2020). All these objectives are intellectually and practically aligned with constructivist learning theories. The NEP 2020 gives central importance to concepts such as Experiential Learning, Inquiry-Based Learning, Discovery-Based Learning, and Collaborative

ISSN No: -2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec1109

Learning, which are practical expressions of the basic principles of constructivism. The policy emphasizes that students should be provided with opportunities to ask questions, explore, participate in group activities, and learn from real-life problems. This perspective reflects Vygotsky's social constructivist theory, according to which learning is effective through social interaction and language (Vygotsky, 1978).

Furthermore, the effective use of technology has been declared an integral part of education in NEP 2020, which has given new scope to constructivist education. Digital platforms, online learning, virtual laboratories, and educational apps provide students with opportunities for autonomous learning and collaborative learning. In this way, students are no longer mere consumers of information but play an active role in the construction of knowledge (Fosnot, 2013). The shared perspective of constructivist learning theories and NEP 2020 indicates that the role of the teacher has also changed in 21st century education. The teacher is no longer a mere provider of information but a guide and facilitator, helping students to think, question and construct their own understanding. As a result, students take responsibility for their own learning, which fosters intrinsic motivation and self-confidence (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Therefore, it can be said that constructivist learning theories in the context of NEP 2020 provide a strong intellectual and practical foundation for 21st century classrooms. The application of these theories not only makes the teaching process meaningful but also plays a significant role in making students aware, autonomous and active citizens. In this context, the present study presents a comprehensive analysis of the practical application of constructivist learning theories in 21st century classrooms.

➢ *Theoretical Framework*

Constructive Learning Theories in the Context of NEP 2020

(Piaget + Vygotsky + Bruner)
↓
(Discovery, Inquiry, Collaboration)
↓

➢ *Educational Dimension of NEP 2020*

- Experiential Learning
- Competency-Based Education
- Technology Integration

↓

➢ *Role of Teacher*

(Facilitator / Mentor)
↓

➢ *Role of Students*

(Active, Self-directed Learner)
↓

➢ *21st Century Skills*

- Critical Thinking
- Problem Solving
- Creativity
- Lifelong Learning

The theoretical framework of the study under consideration integrates classical and modern theories of constructivist learning with the intellectual and practical requirements of India's National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020). This framework is based on the fundamental assumption that effective education in the 21st century is one that places the student at the center of the learning process, recognizes his/her prior knowledge, promotes social interaction, and provides opportunities for experiential and meaningful learning. These principles are common to both constructivist theories and NEP 2020.

According to constructivist learning theories, knowledge construction is an internal, gradual, and active process in which the student integrates new information with his/her existing mental structures (Piaget, 1972). In light of this theory, the purpose of education is not merely to complete the curriculum but to develop the ability to understand, analyze, and apply. NEP 2020 also takes this concept forward, calling competency-based education, experiential learning and critical thinking the main pillars of the education system (Government of India, 2020). Jean Piaget's individual constructivist theory provides the first foundation for this framework, according to which the learner forms knowledge structures based on his experiences and gives meaning to new information through assimilation and accommodation (Piaget, 1972). The emphasis on Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN) and conceptual understanding in NEP 2020 is actually an extension of this intellectual foundation, where the child is provided with opportunities to understand and explore rather than memorize.

The second strong foundation of this theoretical framework is Leo Vygotsky's social constructivist theory, which emphasizes that the process of learning cannot be complete without social interaction, language and cultural context (Vygotsky, 1978). Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) describes the extent to which a student can accomplish tasks that they cannot do alone with the help of a teacher, a peer, or learning resources. The emphasis on collaborative learning, peer learning, and multilingual classrooms in NEP 2020 is a practical expression of this social constructivist thought. The third important component of the theoretical framework is Bruner's theory of discovery-based learning, which states that effective learning occurs when students engage in self-discovery (Bruner, 1961). The inclusion of inquiry-based and discovery-based learning in NEP 2020 is directly aligned with this theory, which aims to develop students' capacity for inquiry, questioning, and logical reasoning.

ISSN No: -2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec1109

So, the framework also includes a redefinition of the roles of the teacher and the student. In both constructivist and NEP 2020 perspectives, the teacher emerges as a facilitator, mentor, and learning designer, who sets the learning environment, while the student becomes an active, autonomous, and responsible learner (Brooks & Brooks, 1999). The goal of this shift is to create intrinsic motivation and a lifelong learning orientation in students (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

II. FINDINGS

The findings of the study clearly indicate that the application of constructivist learning theories in 21st century classrooms not only makes the teaching process effective but also plays a significant role in achieving the main goals of the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020). Practical experiments and theoretical analysis of constructivist teaching reveal that when the student is made the center of the learning process, his intellectual engagement, learning speed and cognitive retention improve significantly, which is fully aligned with the learner-centric approach of NEP 2020.

The results of the study revealed that the conceptual understanding of students in constructivist learning-based classrooms is stronger than in the traditional rote system. Through problem-solving, inquiry-based activities, and project-based learning, students not only better understand curricular concepts but also gain the ability to relate them to practical life. This aspect effectively complements the objectives of competency-based education and experiential learning emphasized in NEP 2020.

Furthermore, the results also showed that constructivist teaching promotes critical thinking, creativity, and analytical skills in students, which are considered basic skills of the 21st century. NEP 2020 emphasizes making education a means of intellectual training rather than a mere information process, and constructivist learning theories provide a practical and effective way to achieve this goal. It can be concluded that constructivism can become the intellectual pillar of the NEP 2020 concept of education. The results also revealed an important point that students' intrinsic motivation increases significantly in constructivist classes. Since students are given the opportunity to question, give feedback and make decisions in the learning process, they accept education as a meaningful activity. The findings show a relationship between the teacher's role and the positive effect of constructivist teaching methods on their professional identity. Teachers shift from being only an information source to becoming a facilitator and guide as they create a positive learning environment and informally provide intellectual support to learners. Furthermore, the growth and development of educators are required by NEP 2020, and the implementation of constructivist teaching methodologies gives teachers the necessary groundwork for accomplishing this.

In relation to assessment, constructivist approaches integrate assessment with the actual process of learning instead of just using it as a grading system. Learners use self-assessment, peer-assessment, and performance-based assessment to monitor their own learning behaviour, thus using it to enhance their learning experience. The constructivist approach aligns with the NEP 2020 assessment reforms by focusing on assessing the learner's understanding and skill set and not rote memorization. In conclusion, the importance of using constructivist learning theory as the foundation for instruction, curriculum development, and assessment reform during the implementation process of NEP 2020 will lead to substantial improvements in the overall educational quality. Therefore, it is important for educational institutions to provide educators with training in constructivist teaching, manage class sizes and promote the effective use of technology so that the principles of constructivism can be implemented in practice. Ultimately, it can be concluded that constructivist learning theories and NEP 2020 are not parallel to each other but provide an integrated educational framework. Their collaboration paves the way for meaningful, sustainable and future-proof education in 21st century classrooms.

III. DISCUSSION

➢ *Constructive Learning Theories*

(Piaget – Cognitive, Vygotsky – Social, Bruner – Discovery)
↓

➢ *Active Teaching Strategies*

- Inquiry-Based Learning
- Collaborative Learning
- Experiential Learning

↓

➢ *Policy Dimension of NEP 2020*

- Learner-Centric Education
- Competency-Based Learning
- Assessment Reforms
- Technology Integration

↓

➢ *New Role of Teacher*

Mentor / Facilitator / Learning Designer
↓

➢ *Diagnostic Change*

Formative & Performance-Based Assessment
↓

➢ *Educational Findings of 21st Century Skills*

- Critical Thinking
- Creativity
- Problem Solving
- Lifelong Learning
- Social Responsibility

ISSN No: -2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec1109

The discussion of the study under review revolves around the fundamental point that the application of constructivist learning theories in 21st century classrooms has become not just a pedagogical experiment but an intellectual and practical necessity, especially in the context that the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) seeks to move the Indian education system away from a rote, examination-centered education towards a meaningful, experiential, and competency-centered education. The findings of this study make it clear that constructivism and NEP 2020 are not mutually exclusive but complementary. The discussion reveals that constructivist learning theories, as presented by Piaget and Vygotsky, are remarkably compatible with today's educational requirements. According to Piaget, learning is an internal and developmental process in which the student integrates new information with his or her pre-existing mental structures, while Vygotsky links learning to social interaction and cultural context. The emphasis on experiential learning, collaborative learning and inquiry-based pedagogy in NEP 2020 is in fact a policy endorsement of these constructivist principles (Piaget, 1972; Vygotsky, 1978). The discussion also highlights that the learner-centric approach of NEP 2020 cannot be implemented without constructivist teaching. Unless students are given the opportunity to question, explore and construct their own understanding, neither critical thinking nor problem-solving skills can flourish. The results of this study prove that students in constructivist groups are not only more active but also their academic engagement is deeper and longer-lasting, which strengthens the concept of quality education in NEP 2020.

Furthermore, the discussion also makes it clear that assessment reforms will remain a mere administrative change without the application of constructivist learning. NEP 2020 calls for reforms in assessment methods rather than rote examinations, and formative assessment such as self-assessment, peer assessment, and performance-based assessment provide a practical basis for these reforms. In this way, assessment becomes part of the learning process, not a means to an end. The discussion on the role of teachers shows that the new identity of the teacher outlined in NEP 2020 is actually a requirement for constructivist teaching. The role of the teacher changes from that of a provider of information to that of a mentor, facilitator, and learning designer. It is evident from the evidence discussed in this report that although there are similarities between constructivist education and the NEP 2020, the implementation of constructivist practices and implementing NEP 2020 is not automatic. It requires the sustained professional growth of teachers, the institutional commitment to support that growth, and the curriculum flexibility to allow the use of constructivist techniques in the classroom. The implementation of NEP 2020 will be largely dependent on this aspect of professional development. The evidence discussed in this report demonstrates that constructivist education and the NEP 2020 have an important relationship regarding social justice and inclusion. The collaborative nature of learning together with having a classroom that allows for the use of multiple languages and technology allows for students from varying cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds to have equal access to learning. In addition to providing students with quality education, constructivist education contributes to the achievement of equity and access. In conclusion, constructivist education and NEP 2020 have a common goal of developing intellectually independent, socially responsible, and practically skillful learners. The use of constructivism as an effective means of achieving this goal is evidenced through the implementation of these practices within the classroom.

IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concludes that the application of constructivist learning theories in 21st century classrooms is not just a trendy teaching trend but an indispensable requirement of contemporary educational requirements. Constructivism, which views knowledge as a dynamic, experiential and socially constructed process, assumes greater importance in today's educational environment when education is expected to develop critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving skills and a lifelong learning orientation in students. The goals and educational vision of the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) clearly support that future education should be student-centered, competency-based and meaningful, and all these elements are fundamental to constructivist learning theories. The study clearly shows that through constructivist teaching, students' conceptual understanding is strengthened and they learn to apply the curriculum content rather than just memorizing it. Methods such as problem-solving, inquiry-based activities, project-based learning and collaborative learning make students active participants, which are practical manifestations of experiential learning and competency-based education emphasized in NEP 2020. Moreover, students' intrinsic motivation, self-confidence and commitment to learning increase in constructivist groups, which reinforces the holistic and humanistic aspect of education.

An important conclusion of the study is that the educational reforms proposed in NEP 2020, especially changes in assessment, curriculum and teaching methods, cannot be effective unless the constructivist approach is implemented at the group level. Formative and performance-based assessment, instead of a rote examination system, can be successful only when the basic philosophy of teaching is also constructivist. In this context, constructivism emerges as an intellectual and practical pillar for the implementation of NEP 2020. Based on these findings, some important recommendations are made. First, it is essential for educational policy makers and curriculum development institutions to clearly incorporate the principles of constructivist learning under NEP 2020 into the curriculum, teaching materials and teaching guidelines, so that the implementation of this policy is not just documented but also visible at the classroom level. Second, special attention should be paid to constructivist teaching, research and experimental methods, and formative assessment in teacher professional training programs, as the role of the teacher is central to this entire process. Third, it is essential to establish a foster, questioning, debating, and thinking atmosphere in educational institutions. Moreover, a constructivist-learning

ISSN No: -2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec1109

supporting environment should also be provided, which includes a sufficient size of the classroom, provision of education resources, and a suitable opportunity to make use of information and communication technologies. This will be supported by the recommended digital infrastructure by NEP 2020. Fourth, reforms should be made in the assessment system that can test the understanding, skills and practical abilities of students. Methods such as self-assessment, peer assessment, portfolio and project-based assessment are in line with the assessment vision of NEP 2020 and should be made a permanent part of the teaching process.

In conclusion, it can be said that constructivist learning theories and the National Education Policy 2020 represent a shared educational vision, which aims to produce learners who are not only academically strong but also socially responsible, morally aware and practically competent. If constructivism is made the core intellectual framework for the implementation of NEP 2020, the Indian education system can effectively meet the global challenges of the 21st century.

REFERENCES

[1]. Brooks, J. G., & Brooks, M. G. (1999). *In search of understanding: The case for constructivist classrooms*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD). https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED431762

[2]. Bruner, J. S. (1961). The act of discovery. *Harvard Educational Review, 31*(1), 21–32. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=1412275

[3]. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*(1), 54–67. https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020

[4]. Fosnot, C. T. (2013). *Constructivism: Theory, perspectives, and practice* (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2804528

[5]. Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf Education Ministry of India

[6]. Dey, N. (2022). *National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on transforming education: A critical analysis of recommendations on school, teacher and higher education*. *Journal of Indian Education, 48*(1), 187–200. Retrieved from https://ejournals.ncert.gov.in/index.php/jie/article/view/3170 ejournals.ncert.gov.in

[7]. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*(1), 54–67. https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020

[8]. Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

[9]. Kasinathan, G. (2021). *National Education Policy 2020: Imagining digital technologies as a resource to achieve educational aims*. *Voices of Teachers and Teacher Educators, 10*(2), 20–28. Retrieved from https://ejournals.ncert.gov.in/index.php/vtte/article/view/1748 ejournals.ncert.gov.in

[10]. Alokya, A. (2025). *From theory to practice: Reflections on implementing constructivist mathematics pedagogy in a rural Indian classroom*. *International Education and Research Journal, 11*(09). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17321768 ierj.in

[11]. Piaget, J. (1972). *The psychology of the child*. Basic Books. https://cogot.top/index.php?key=vs2wijhbhmfjc0nnic4f&clid=1024808253026214369&pric=0.000358&zoneid={10217735}&osver={win11}&brows=chrome&campid={9944511}

[12]. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=803661

[13]. UNESCO. (2009). Policy guidelines on inclusion in education. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000177849 irt.shodhsagar.com