ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2270

The Role of Medicinal Plants and Molecular Approaches in Enhancing Herbal Medicine Research and Biodiversity Conservation

Balasaheb Ughade[1]; Arvind Dhabe[2]; Sudhir Diwase[3*]

[1]Department of Botany, Amdar Shashikant Shinde Mahavidyalaya, Medha (MH), India
[2]Department of Botany, Dr. B. A. M. University, Aurangabad-431 004, India
[3]Department of Botany, Vasantrao Naik Mahavidyalaya, Aurangabad (MH)-431 003, India

Corresponding Author: Sudhir Diwase[3*]

Publication Date: 2025/06/26

Abstract: Medicinal plants have been integral to traditional health systems worldwide, offering essential therapeutic agents and contributing significantly to modern pharmaceutical development. This review explores the growing global demand for herbal medicines, the industrial uses of medicinal plants, and concerns surrounding their sustainable utilization. It discusses the complexity of medicinal plant identification, challenges in trade standardization, and the evolution of taxonomy from traditional morphological methods to advanced molecular techniques. The emergence of DNA barcoding has revolutionized species authentication, enabling accurate, efficient, and consistent identification, thereby supporting ecological, evolutionary, and conservation research. By integrating phylogenetic analyses and functional trait studies, DNA barcoding provides deeper insights into species assembly and environmental interactions. This review emphasizes the necessity for sustainable management practices, robust authentication systems, and continued research to safeguard medicinal plant diversity while meeting increasing industrial and ecological demands.

Keywords: *Medicinal Plants, Molecular Systematics, DNA Barcoding, Phylogenetic Analysis, Species Authentication.*

How to Cite: Babasaheb Ughade; Arvind Dhabe; Sudhir Diwase (2025) The Role of Medicinal Plants and Molecular Approaches in Enhancing Herbal Medicine Research and Biodiversity Conservation. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(4), 4495-4498**.** https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2270

I. INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants have long served as essential components of traditional healthcare systems worldwide, supporting the health needs of more than 3.3 billion people[1]. These plants offer a wealth of bioactive compounds that have historically contributed to pharmaceutical innovation alongside modern synthetic drug development[2].

Recognizing their role, UNESCO has highlighted the significance of traditional remedies in maintaining public health across developing nations (UNESCO, 1998). According to WHO, health encompasses complete physical, emotional, and social well-being, and medicinal plants significantly contribute to achieving these goals[3].

Countries such as China, India, Japan, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Thailand have rich traditions of herbal medicine. In China, traditional remedies account for 40% of pharmaceutical usage, while India and Japan also report substantial growth in herbal medicine sales, reflecting a global increase in herbal product demand[4].

II. MEDICINAL PLANTS AND THEIR PROPERTIES

Medicinal plants serve various industries, supplying raw materials for traditional medicines, nutraceuticals, plant protection agents, and pharmaceuticals. They remain major sources of vital compounds such as quinine and diosgenin. Herbal plants, including onion, also possess documented health benefits[5].

Estimates suggest that more than 250,000 plant species may have therapeutic properties. Herbal formulations like Triphala Churna and Shatavari Churna continue to hold cultural significance. However, growing global demand for medicinal plants has raised sustainability concerns, particularly for wild species under pressure[6].

India’s complex herbal industry includes approximately 9,000 licensed manufacturing units,

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2270

thousands of traders, and millions of primary producers. This intricate network, combined with inconsistent naming conventions and lack of centralized data, complicates resource management efforts[7].

Efforts by organizations like the National Medicinal Plants Board (NMPB) have sought to address these challenges by documenting species in trade and estimating annual consumption patterns[8].

III. DEMAND AND ESTIMATED USE OF HERBAL MEDICINES

Medicinal plants are valued for a range of pharmacological properties including antidiabetic, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anticancer, diuretic, hepatoprotective, and hypolipidemic activities. Their therapeutic potential continues to drive modern drug discovery and the development of complementary medicine.

Table 1 Demand and Estimated use of Herbal Medicines

Sector	Demand (Dry Weight MT)	Sector
Domestic Industry	1,95,000	Domestic Industry
Exports	1,34,500	Exports
Rural Households	1,67,500	Rural Households
Wastage	14,910	Wastage

Most herbal consumption is linked to traditional practices and self-medication by rural families[9]. Export volumes also saw substantial increases over previous decades.

3 Annual Commercial Value of Herbal Medicines

The commercial value of India’s herbal raw drug trade in 2014–2015 was estimated at 7,000 crore INR (>1 billion USD), marking a sevenfold rise from 2005–06 levels. Export revenues, in particular, expanded more than eightfold within a decade.

IV. HIGH-DEMAND MEDICINAL SPECIES

Among 1,178 species surveyed, 242 were identified as having annual demand exceeding 100 tonnes. About 47% of these were collected from forests, emphasizing the heavy reliance on wild populations.

Cultivated species like *Aloe vera*, *Plantago ovata*, *Mentha piperita*, and *Senna alexandrina* contribute significantly to supply. Nonetheless, sustainability concerns persist, particularly for species where natural sourcing remains ambiguous[10].

V. COMPLEXITY IN CONSTITUENT IDENTIFICATION

The trade in herbal medicines is complicated by the use of diverse vernacular names and regional substitutes, making data standardization difficult. Surveys identified 1,178 botanically validated species belonging to 177 families, with Fabaceae, Asteraceae, and Lamiaceae being dominant.

Approximately 53% of medicinal plants are destructively harvested, raising significant conservation concerns[11].

VI. ADVANCES IN TAXONOMY AND MOLECULAR AUTHENTICATION

Traditional plant taxonomy traces back to Aristotle and early pharmacopoeias such as that by Emperor Shen Nung. The development of cladistics by Willi Hennig and numerical taxonomy by Sokal and Sneath revolutionized classification methods[12], [13].

Despite these advances, species identification remains challenging, particularly for incomplete samples. Molecular techniques now enable precise identification of small or degraded botanical materials.

VII. THE MOLECULAR ERA AND SYSTEMATICS

The discovery of DNA structure, PCR, and sequencing technologies paved the way for molecular systematics. DNA markers, being stable and phenotype-neutral, provided a new basis for species identification[14], [15], [16], [17].

Hebert et al. (2003a) pioneered DNA barcoding, establishing that short, standardized DNA sequences could reliably distinguish species. Subsequent research validated the use of markers such as rpoC1, rpoB, matK, trnH-psbA, and rbcL for plant identification [18].

VIII. DNA BARCODING AND ITS APPLICATIONS

DNA barcodes offer a standardized, efficient tool for species identification[19], [20]. They assist in taxonomic validation, biodiversity discovery, invasive species management, and quality assurance of herbal products.

Although initially slower to gain acceptance in botany compared to zoology, plant DNA barcoding eventually established robust standards based on rbcL, matK, trnH-psbA, and ITS regions (CBOL Plant Working Group, 2009).

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2270

IX. PLANT DNA BARCODING AND ECOLOGY

DNA barcoding has expanded into ecological studies, aiding in the understanding of species interactions, community assembly, and evolutionary processes Community-level barcode libraries, such as those developed for tropical forests, allow analysis of phylogenetic structures in ecological communities, linking evolutionary history with environmental adaptation. Phylogenetic clustering, random dispersion, or overdispersion patterns revealed by DNA barcoding help elucidate mechanisms like environmental filtering or competitive exclusion[21], [22].

X. FUNCTIONAL TRAITS AND COMMUNITY ASSEMBLY

While DNA barcodes do not directly reveal functional traits, they facilitate the construction of phylogenies that predict ecological behaviors[21], [22], [23]. Studies combining barcode-derived phylogenies with trait analyses have provided powerful insights into how communities are structured across environmental gradients.

Large-scale studies, such as those in Amazonian forests and Puerto Rican forests, demonstrated that both functional traits and evolutionary relationships shape species assembly.

XI. CONCLUSION

Medicinal plants continue to serve as vital resources for traditional therapies and modern drug discovery. However, the escalating demand for herbal products highlights urgent concerns regarding sustainability, authenticity, and quality assurance. Traditional taxonomic methods, though foundational, are insufficient to address current challenges in species identification and resource management. The advent of molecular systematics, particularly DNA barcoding, has provided a powerful, standardized approach to accurately identify species, monitor biodiversity, and understand ecological interactions. DNA barcoding bridges the gap between taxonomy and ecology, offering significant applications in conservation, forensic science, and commercial quality control. Moving forward, an integrated strategy combining molecular tools, traditional knowledge, and sustainable harvesting practices is essential to preserve medicinal plant diversity, support pharmaceutical advancements, and promote ecological resilience.

REFERENCES

[1]. A. Sofowora, E. Ogunbodede, and A. Onayade, “The role and place of medicinal plants in the strategies for disease prevention,” *Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 210–229, Aug. 2013, doi: 10.4314/ajtcam.v10i5.2.

[2]. N. Chaachouay and L. Zidane, “Plant-Derived Natural Products: A Source for Drug Discovery and Development,” *Drugs Drug Candidates*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 184–207, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.3390/ddc3010011.

[3]. T. Schramme, “Health as Complete Well-Being: The WHO Definition and Beyond,” *Public Health Ethics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 210–218, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1093/phe/phad017.

[4]. S. Astutik, J. Pretzsch, and J. Ndzifon Kimengsi, “Asian Medicinal Plants’ Production and Utilization Potentials: A Review,” *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 19, p. 5483, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11195483.

[5]. V. Khilare *et al.*, “Multiple tests on saffron find new adulterant materials and reveal that Ist grade saffron is rare in the market,” *Food Chem.*, vol. 272, pp. 635–642, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.089.

[6]. M. Ekor, “The growing use of herbal medicines: issues relating to adverse reactions and challenges in monitoring safety,” *Front. Pharmacol.*, vol. 4, 2014, doi: 10.3389/fphar.2013.00177.

[7]. A. R. Munappy, J. Bosch, H. H. Olsson, A. Arpteg, and B. Brinne, “Data management for production quality deep learning models: Challenges and solutions,” *J. Syst. Softw.*, vol. 191, p. 111359, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2022.111359.

[8]. M. K. Dadhich *et al.*, “Contributions of the National Medicinal Plants Board (NMPB), Ministry of Ayush in the medicinal plants sector - An appraisal,” *Int. J. Ayurveda Res.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 62–75, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.4103/ijar.ijar_59_24.

[9]. N. Wiwatkunupakarn *et al.*, “The use of herbal medicine for hypertension in rural and urban Thailand: a cross sectional study,” *J. Herb. Med.*, vol. 47, p. 100916, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.hermed.2024.100916.

[10]. S. Ramamoorthy, I. Buot, and R. Chandrasekaran, Eds., *Plant Genetic Resources, Inventory, Collection and Conservation*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2022. doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-7699-4.

[11]. R. Cahyaningsih, J. Magos Brehm, and N. Maxted, “Setting the priority medicinal plants for conservation in Indonesia,” *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 2019–2050, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10722-021-01115-6.

[12]. D. Nalage *et al.*, “Assessment of the status of Spodoptera species (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae: Armyworm) in India through DNA barcoding technique,” *J. Threat. Taxa*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 25528–25535, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.11609/jott.8983.16.7.25528-25535.

[13]. N. Kranke, “How Phenograms and Cladograms Became Molecular Phylogenetic Trees,” *J. Hist. Biol.*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 423–443, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10739-024-09782-8.

[14]. D. Nalage *et al.*, “The impact of environmental toxins on the animal gut microbiome and their potential to contribute to disease,” *Elsevier*, vol. 3, no. C, Oct. 2023.

[15]. R. Patil, R. Satpute, and D. Nalage, “Plant microbiomes and their role in plant health,” *Microenviron. Microecol. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 2, 2023, doi: 10.53388/MMR2023002.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2270

[16]. D. Nalage *et al.*, "Bacterial phyla: microbiota of kingdom animalia," *Acad. Biol.*, vol. 2, no. 4, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.20935/AcadBiol7423.
[17]. R. Patil, A. Biradar, and D. Nalage, "Soil microbiome analysis," *Microenviron. Microecol. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 7, 2023, doi: 10.53388/MMR2023007.
[18]. C. Xiong *et al.*, "Evaluation of Four Commonly Used DNA Barcoding Loci for Ardisia Species Identification," *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 13, p. 860778, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.860778.
[19]. A. Tiknaik *et al.*, "Refutation of media reports on introduction of the red bellied piranha and potential impacts on aquatic biodiversity in India," *Mitochondrial DNA Part A*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 643–650, May 2019, doi: 10.1080/24701394.2019.1611798.
[20]. R. Suryawanshi, A. Tathe, M. Salunke, D. Nalage, and A. Kalyankar, "DNA BARCODING ANALYSIS OF BRACKISH WATER SHRIMPS FROM CHILIKA LAGOON," Oct. 2020, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.11470556.
[21]. T. Sontakke, A. Biradar, and D. Nalage, "The role of genetics in determining resistance to coccidiosis in goats a review of current research and future directions," *Mol. Biol. Rep.*, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 6171–6175, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11033-023-08520-3.
[22]. T. Sontakke, K. T. Patil, D. Nalage, and N. S. Desale, "Observation of Coccidia (apicomplexa:eimeriidae) from Goat (Capra hircus) Family-Bovidaefrom Osmanabad District, (M.S.) India," *I J R B A T*, no. Special-17, pp. 306–3012, 2021, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7418013.
[23]. D. Nalage *et al.*, "Confuting status of Ompok hypothalamus (Bleeker, 1846) in Indonesia and World," Dec. 20, 2024. doi: 10.22541/au.173470024.46409853/v1.