# The Quantum Homunculus in Biology: How DNA and Biomolecules Bridge the Classical and Quantum Realms

Mohammad Ebrahimi[1]

[1]The Research Center for New Technologies in Life Science Engineering,
Institute of Bio-Signal and Immunculus, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran

Publication Date: 2025/05/13

**Abstract: Biological systems exhibit a remarkable duality, operating across quantum and classical regimes. This article introduces the concept of the Quantum Homunculus ("Quantuculus")—a proposed network of wave-like signals emitted by cells, with distinct frequency-amplitude signatures in health and disease. We discuss how biomolecules, particularly DNA, mediate this duality: at nanometer scales (e.g., 2 nm DNA width), quantum effects like coherent charge transfer and proton tunneling dominate, while chromosomal DNA (~10 cm) behaves classically due to rapid decoherence. The boundary between these regimes is defined by three factors: (1) environmental decoherence (photon emission, phonon scattering, spin relaxation), (2) thermal noise at 310K, and (3) molecular size. We argue that evolution exploits transient quantum states (femtosecond coherence in DNA repair, microsecond spin correlations in magnetoreception) where they confer functional advantages, while classical physics governs larger-scale processes. This framework rejects "quantum vitalism" but highlights nature's precision engineering at the quantum-classical interface, with implications for bioinspired technologies.**

***Keywords:*** *Quantum Homunculus, DNA, Classical Physics, Quantum Physics, Quantum-Classical Interface.*

**How to Cite:** Mohammad Ebrahimi (2025). The Quantum Homunculus in Biology: How DNA and Biomolecules Bridge the Classical and Quantum Realms. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(4), 3365-3369. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2073

## I. INTRODUCTION

We've identified a sophisticated network of constitutively expressed wave signals emitted by cells across various tissues. These signals—each with unique frequencies, amplitudes, and coupling properties—differ markedly between healthy and pathological states. To conceptualize this dynamic system, we've termed it the "Quantum Homunculus" (or "Quantuculus" for short). From a quantum perspective, we trace these signals from tissues and cells down to molecules and atoms. Yet a fundamental question arises: Where exactly does the boundary lie between the macroscopic (cells, tissue-level) and microscopic (quantum) realms in living systems. This threshold isn't merely philosophical—it's a physiological pivot point where classical biology and quantum dynamics intersect, shaping everything from cellular communication to disease mechanisms [1].

The universe is a vast tapestry that includes both living and non-living entities, all of which are fundamentally composed of two essential components: matter and energy. Matter is defined as the substance that occupies space and possesses mass, while energy represents the capacity to perform work or induce change. Understanding these fundamental components—along with the particles that constitute matter and the forces that govern their interactions—falls within the realm of particle physics. According to the kinetic theory of matter, all matter is composed of tiny particles known as atoms and molecules, which are in constant motion and separated by spaces. The amount of energy in the form of motion, or kinetic energy, within a system of particles determines its organization and state. This theory posits that the behavior of matter at a macroscopic level can be understood by examining the behavior of its constituent particles at a microscopic level.

In thermodynamics, the macroscopic properties of matter—such as solidity, fluidity, and radiation—are characterized by a few key observables like pressure, volume, mass, and temperature. These properties are typically considered to be constant over time. The foundational principles governing thermodynamics, known as the Laws of Thermodynamics, allow us to establish general relationships among these properties, regardless of the specific atomic structure of the matter involved.

All matter is fundamentally governed by quantum mechanics, with the behavior of atoms and subatomic particles defined by wavefunctions, superposition, and

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2073

entanglement. However, the observability of quantum effects depends critically on a molecule's size, its environmental conditions, and the relevant timescales. In biological systems, this interplay between quantum and classical regimes shapes molecular function, from enzymatic catalysis to cellular signaling. When a quantum system interacts with its environment (Quantum Decoherence), three primary processes typically occur: photon emission, where the system loses energy by releasing light particles; phonon scattering, where lattice vibrations or thermal energy disrupts the quantum state; and spin relaxation, where magnetic interactions cause the system's spin orientation to randomize. These processes collectively act like a constant background noise that progressively destroys quantum coherence. Photons emitted during quantum decoherence in biological systems typically fall within the infrared to visible range (∼300–700 nm), arising from energy transitions in biomolecules. While these photons have been hypothesized to act as secondary signaling mechanisms—such as in ultraweak photon emission (UPE) from mitochondrial reactive oxygen species or potential neural synchronization—current evidence remains limited and controversial [2-3].

## II. QUANTUM PHENOMENA WITHIN LARGE, COMPLEX MOLECULES

At the smallest scales, quantum phenomena dominate. Electrons, protons, and even small molecules (e.g., $CO_2$, $H_2O$) exhibit wave-like behavior, as demonstrated by double-slit interference patterns in particles as large as $C_{60}$ buckyballs [4]. Covalent bonds (e.g., in enzyme-substrate interactions), electron transport (as in mitochondrial respiration chains), and proton tunneling (exemplified by alcohol dehydrogenase catalysis) are inherently quantum-mechanical processes. Yet as molecular systems grow in size and complexity, thermal noise and interactions with the surrounding environment cause rapid decoherence, collapsing fragile quantum states into classical behavior. Decoherence is the process that rapidly destroys quantum effects when a system interacts with its environment. Contrary to popular belief, this doesn't require human observation or lab equipment—it happens automatically whenever a quantum object (e.g., an electron) becomes entangled with countless particles in its surroundings (like air molecules or photons).

It seems that, at the subatomic level, everything behaves according to the rules of quantum mechanics, however, as we zoom out to look at larger molecules in living systems, this quantum weirdness rapidly disappears. Imagine a single electron floating in empty space - it can easily maintain its quantum properties because nothing disturbs it. Now picture that same electron inside a big, complex protein molecule within a cell. It's constantly jostled by: thermal vibrations - the natural heat energy that makes all molecules wiggle and shake, collisions with water molecules and other cellular components and also electrical interactions with nearby atoms. These environmental disturbances act like an annoying crowd constantly shouting at someone trying to perform a magic trick. The more complex the molecule, the faster its delicate quantum states get shouted down - a process called decoherence.

Small molecules (like retinal in our eyes) can use quantum effects for ultrafast light detection, medium systems (like photosynthetic proteins) maintain quantum coherence for just millionths of a billionth of a second and large structures (like entire cells) behave completely classically. The transition happens incredibly fast - often within femtoseconds (0.000000000000001 seconds). That's why life at our scale looks and feels classical, even though it's ultimately built on quantum foundations. Evolution has found clever ways to exploit these fleeting quantum moments in specific cases where they provide an advantage, but for most biological processes, good old classical physics does the job.

## III. SIZE MATTERS

While electrons or small molecules can maintain superposition and entanglement, larger biomolecules (e.g., proteins, DNA segments) typically behave classically due to their many degrees of freedom. However, exceptions exist—certain biological structures preserve quantum coherence in specialized contexts. For example, photosynthetic pigment-protein complexes (e.g., the Fenna-Matthews-Olson complex in green sulfur bacteria) exhibit femtosecond-scale quantum coherence during energy transfer. This process occurs unbelievably fast - within femtoseconds (quadrillionths of a second) - functions even at room temperature (unlike most quantum effects requiring extreme cold), and enables plants and bacteria to capture over 95% of sunlight. Similarly, enzymes like alcohol dehydrogenase exploit proton tunneling to accelerate chemical reactions, a quantum effect confined to their active sites [5-6].

- *DNA:*

At the nanometer scale of its 2 nm width, DNA exhibits potential quantum mechanical phenomena that could play significant biological roles. Theoretical models and experimental evidence suggest quantum effects like proton tunneling between base pairs and coherent energy transfer may occur within this confined space. In contrast, when we examine DNA at larger length scales - such as its full helical structure or chromosomal organization - its behavior becomes decidedly classical. Therefore, DNA's 2nm scale serves as a natural interface where quantum phenomena influence classical biological processes [7].

- *Protein:*

Proteins exhibit quantum effects at small scales but behave classically when larger. Small proteins like lysozyme (~4 nm) can harness quantum phenomena—such as proton tunneling in enzyme catalysis or quantum coherence in photosynthetic light-harvesting complexes. However, larger proteins and aggregates (e.g., collagen fibrils) operate purely classically due to decoherence [8].

**Lipids** operate predominantly as classical systems, with their ~5 nm bilayers following classical biophysical rules. However, subtle quantum effects may emerge in specialized cases—particularly proton tunneling during active transport across membranes and electron transfer within mitochondrial membranes (though these effects primarily involve embedded protein complexes like cytochromes rather than

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2073

the lipids themselves). This creates a fascinating interface where classical lipid structures enable quantum-assisted biological functions [9-10].

**Small biomolecules** exhibit both classical and quantum behaviors. Water molecules exploit quantum tunneling in proton hopping via the Grotthuss mechanism, while ions like $Na^+$ and $K^+$ primarily follow classical diffusion, though quantum effects may contribute to ion channel selectivity. This dual behavior highlights how fundamental biological processes operate across quantum and classical regimes [11].

- *Environmental Decoherence:*

Quantum effects are typically fragile and short-lived, requiring carefully controlled conditions to persist. In artificial quantum systems like superconducting qubits, researchers must isolate the system from environmental noise and cool it to temperatures near absolute zero (–273°C) to maintain coherence. These extreme conditions minimize thermal vibrations and electromagnetic interference that would otherwise destroy delicate quantum states within femtoseconds ($10^{-15}$ seconds) [12]. In stark contrast, biological systems operate in warm, aqueous, and highly dynamic environments—conditions that should, according to conventional quantum theory, cause immediate decoherence. Yet mounting evidence suggests that evolution has engineered certain biomolecular structures to exploit transient quantum effects before environmental noise disrupts them. This phenomenon, often termed "quantum biology," challenges traditional assumptions about the boundaries between quantum and classical physics in living systems.

A compelling example is avian magnetoreception, where migratory birds navigate using Earth's weak magnetic field (~50 μT). The leading hypothesis proposes that cryptochrome proteins in their retinas host spin-correlated radical pairs—quantum-entangled electron pairs whose coherence is influenced by geomagnetic fields [13]. Remarkably, these radical pairs remain coherent for microseconds ($10^{-6}$ s), far longer than expected given the surrounding cellular noise. Theoretical and experimental studies suggest that evolutionary optimization of protein structure (e.g., shielding radical pairs from decoherence-inducing interactions) enables this feat [14].

## IV. TIMESCALES DETERMINE FUNCTIONALITY: FROM DNA DYNAMICS TO CELLULAR PROCESSES

In DNA, electronic excitations—such as those induced by UV radiation—decohere extremely rapidly, typically within femtoseconds ($10^{-15}$ seconds). This rapid decoherence makes long-range quantum coherence (e.g., wave-like electron delocalization across multiple base pairs) highly unlikely in genetic processes like replication or transcription [15]. However, at these ultrashort timescales, two quantum mechanisms might still be relevant:

- *Quantum Vibrational Modes*

DNA base pairs vibrate at 1–100 THz, detected via ultrafast spectroscopy. High-frequency vibrations could facilitate energy dissipation or repair of damaged DNA. DNA base pairs vibrate at trillions of times per second (like ultra-fast piano strings), and these vibrations may help DNA shake off damage (e.g., from UV) by rapidly moving energy to repair sites [16]. Generally, the way large molecules (like proteins or DNA) interact with terahertz (THz) radiation-a type of low-energy electromagnetic wave-depends on slow, coordinated movements of groups of atoms within the molecule. These group movements aren't random; they're synchronized shifts that depend on the molecule's overall shape and structure. Because these vibrations involve many atoms working together, they act like a "fingerprint" of the molecule's 3D arrangement. By studying how THz waves interact with these vibrations, scientists can detect changes in the molecule's shape (like when it folds or unfolds), which is crucial for understanding how biological molecules function in processes like disease or drug interactions.

- *Charge Transfer*

When atoms in a molecule are close enough for their electron clouds to overlap, electrons can "jump" between them using a quantum effect called tunneling. This spreads the electrical charge across several neighboring parts of the molecule. Even after this quantum-based spread, regular (non-quantum) electron movement can carry the charge further, like a relay race. Together, these processes allow charges to travel efficiently through molecules-first via quantum leaps, then through classical "hops"-which is crucial for processes like energy transfer in DNA or proteins. Short-lived quantum coherence might assist in hole transport (electron vacancies moving through π-stacked bases), potentially aiding damage detection. For example, when UV light damages a DNA base, the resulting 'hole' (missing electron) could quantum-tunnel across 3–4 base pairs like a wave—allowing repair proteins to locate the lesion faster than by random diffusion. This 'quantum shortcut' would be especially useful in bulky lesions (e.g., thymine dimers), where rapid detection prevents mutations. [17]. These effects are fleeting but could enhance the efficiency of DNA repair enzymes (e.g., photolyase) by directing energy or charge to lesion sites before thermal noise randomizes the process.

Meanwhile, according to current scientific evidence, quantum tunneling and charge transfer processes in DNA are proposed to play a significant role in epigenetics. Studies suggest that quantum charge transfer mediated by overlapping orbitals along DNA bases can cause charge delocalization, which influences DNA's structural and chemical properties without altering its sequence. This quantum behavior can affect how DNA is recognized and modified by proteins, potentially guiding epigenetic changes such as DNA methylation at specific sites like CpG dinucleotides [18].

Moreover, quantum effects like chirality-induced spin selectivity may further influence DNA-protein interactions and epigenetic regulation. These findings have led to the emerging hypothesis of a "quantum physics layer" in epigenetics, where quantum phenomena contribute to the regulation of gene expression and cellular function alongside classical biochemical mechanisms.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2073

Quantum effects are typically associated with subatomic particles. However, under specific conditions, these phenomena can emerge at macroscopic scales within biological systems. While rare and highly context-dependent, several well-documented examples demonstrate how nature exploits quantum mechanics to enhance functionality. Here, we examine the evidence for macroscopic quantum effects in biology, their mechanistic basis, and why they remain exceptions rather than universal features of living systems.

## V. CONCLUSION

DNA and other biomolecules inside body uniquely overlap the quantum-classical boundary due to their hierarchical structural organization. At the nanometer scale (2 nm width of the DNA double helix), quantum phenomena dominate: electrons and protons exist in delocalized states governed by Schrödinger's wave equation, enabling phenomena like coherent charge transfer through π-stacked base pairs [17] and proton tunneling in hydrogen bonds that may influence mutation rates [19]. These quantum effects operate on femtosecond timescales and are particularly evident in processes such as photoexcitation and electron transport [20]. However, when integrated into full chromosomes (reaching 10 cm in length), DNA behaves as a classical polymer, with thermal noise at physiological temperatures rapidly decohering any quantum states [21]. This size-dependent duality allows DNA to function as a biological interface - exploiting quantum effects for ultrafast processes like damage recognition while maintaining classical stability for genetic storage and expression.

However, quantum phenomena in biology are not strictly confined to sub-nanometer scales, with growing evidence suggesting their influence on larger biological systems.

Photosynthetic complexes show quantum coherence that extends beyond single molecules, allowing energy to move efficiently across tiny distances measured in nanometers. Although this quantum behavior happens at the very small, molecular scale, the combined effect helps plants and other organisms convert energy on a much larger, visible scale [22].

Enzymes use quantum tunneling-where protons and electrons pass through energy barriers-to speed up important chemical reactions in metabolism. Although these tunneling events happen at the tiny molecular level, they have a direct effect on larger biological functions, such as cellular respiration, which is essential for the energy supply of the entire organism.

Recent research suggests that quantum entanglement can exist not just in tiny particles, but also in much larger systems—if those connections are not completely separate from each other, but instead are linked together in complex ways. This is important because, in living things, many parts are connected and interact all the time. Scientists have found that by looking at how much things in a large system can change or fluctuate, they can spot signs of quantum behavior—even in big groups, not just in tiny particles. This goes against the old idea that, as things get bigger, they always start to behave in a simple, classical way. In biology, because everything is so connected, these quantum effects might actually help living things process information or respond to their environment in ways we didn't expect. So, quantum effects might play a bigger role in life and health than we used to think [23].

There is growing theoretical support that quantum-scale interactions from ionizing radiation can trigger non-targeted effects (NTEs), such as bystander responses, in biological tissues—effectively linking nanoscale quantum events to outcomes at the level of whole organisms. When certain cells in human tissue are directly hit by ionizing radiation, they experience quantum-scale interactions—such as the ionization and excitation of molecules at the atomic level. However, not only the irradiated cells are affected. These cells can send chemical or electrical signals to neighboring, non-irradiated cells, causing those bystander cells to also exhibit responses such as DNA damage, changes in gene expression, or even cell death. This means that the initial quantum event (the interaction of radiation with molecules in a single cell) can trigger a cascade of effects that spread across larger tissue regions, impacting the health and function of the organism as a whole [24].

Current scientific evidence supports the conclusion that quantum phenomena are critical for processes observed at the classical (macroscopic) scale in biology. These quantum interactions at the molecular and nanometer scales set the stage for, and directly influence, the properties and behaviors of larger biological structures and systems. For example, the 2 nm scale of DNA allows for quantum events like proton tunneling between base pairs, which can lead to mutations or affect genetic stability. As DNA is organized into larger helical structures and chromosomes, these quantum-driven changes propagate upward, ultimately impacting cellular and organismal function. This demonstrates that the quantum-to-classical transition in biology is not just a theoretical boundary but a functional bridge-where quantum events at the nanoscale have consequences at the macroscopic, physiological level [22].

In summary, quantum phenomena in biology extend beyond sub-nanometer scales through their integration into larger functional systems, with theoretical and experimental advances supporting their role in macroscopic physiological processes. However, direct observational evidence in natural conditions remains an active area of research.

## REFERENCES

[1]. Ebrahimi M (2025) Homunculus Models in Neuroscience, Immunology, and Quantum Biology: Insights into Cellular Signaling and Communication. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology. 10 (2): 1-9.

[2]. Weiss U (1999) Quantum Dissipative Systems. World Scientific Publishing Company. 2nd edition. ISBN-10, 9810240929.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25apr2073


[3]. Zurek, WH (2003) Decoherence, Einselection, And The Quantum Origins Of The Classical. Reviews Of Modern Physics. 75: 715-775.

[4]. Hornberger K, Gerlich S, Haslinger P, Nimmrichter S, and Markus Arndt M (2011) "Colloquium: Quantum interference of clusters and molecules." Reviews of Modern Physics. 84(1): 1-20.

[5]. Delgado F, Enríquez M (2023) Quantum Entanglement and State-Transference in Fenna-Matthews-Olson Complexes: A Post-Experimental Simulation Analysis in the Computational Biology Domain. Int J Mol Sci. 29;24: 1-31.

[6]. Sutcliffe MJ, and Scrutton NS (2000) Enzymology takes a quantum leap forward. Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci. 358(1766): 367–386.

[7]. Aroche RR, OrtizGarcía YM, Martínez Arellano M and Leal AR (2024) DNA as a perfect quantum computer based on the quantumphysics principles. Scientifc Reports. 14,1636: 1-22.

[8]. Brookes JC (2017) Quantum effects in biology: golden rule in enzymes, olfaction, photosynthesis and magnetodetection. Proc Math Phys Eng Sci. 473:1-28.

[9]. Pomes R, & Roux B (2002) "Molecular Mechanism of H+ Conduction in the Single-File Water Chain of the Gramicidin Channel." Biophys. J. 82(5): 2304-2316.

[10]. Ball P (2011) The Dawn of Quantum Biology. Nature, 474(7351): 272-274.

[11]. Marx D (2006) Proon Transfer 200 Years after von Grotthuss: Insights from Ab Initio Simulations. ChemPhysChem. 7(9): 1848-1870.

[12]. Devoret MH, & Schoelkopf RJ (2013). Superconducting circuits for quantum information: An outlook. Science. 339(6124): 1169-1174.

[13]. Hore PJ, & Mouritsen H (2022) The Radical-Pair Mechanism of Magnetoreception. Annual Review of Biophysics. 45: 299-344.

[14]. Kattnig DR, Evans EW, Déjean V, Dodson CA, Wallace MI, Mackenzie SR et al. (2016) Chemical amplification of magnetic field effects relevant to avian magnetoreception. Nature Chemistry. 8(4): 384-391.

[15]. Middleton CT, Harpe KDL, Su C, Law YK, Crespo-Hernández CE, Kohler B (2009) DNA Excited-State Dynamics: From Single Bases to the Double Helix. Annual Review of Physical Chemistry. 60: 217-239.

[16]. Lande ADL, Babcock NS, Rezáč J, Lévy B, Sanders BC, Salahub DR (2016) Quantum Effects in Biological Electron Transfer. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. 14(17):5902-18.

[17]. Grozema FC, & Siebbeles LDA (2011) Charge Transport in DNA: From Mechanism to Mutation. Advances in Chemical Physics. 146: 1-37.

[18]. Siebert1 R, Ammerpohl O, Rossini M, Herb D, Rau S, Plenio MB, Jelezko F and Joachim A (2023)A quantum physics layer of epigenetics: a hypothesis deduced from charge transfer and chirality-induced spin selectivity of DNA. . Clinical Epigenetics,15:1-7.

[19]. Löwdin PO (1963) Proton tunneling in DNA and its biological implications. Rev. Mod. Phys. 35: 724–732.

[20]. Genereux JC & Barton JK (2010) Mechanisms for DNA Charge Transport. Chemical Reviews. 110(3): 1642-1662.

[21]. Tegmark M (2000) Why the brain is probably not a quantum computer. Information Sciences. 128(3-4): 155-179.

[22]. Marais A, Adams B, Ringsmuth AK, Ferretti M, Gruber JM, Hendrikx R, Schuld M, et al (2018) The future of quantum biology. J. R. Soc. Interface15: 1-14.

[23]. Gallego M, and Dakić B (2021) Macroscopically Nonlocal Quantum Correlations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 127:1-12.

[24]. Matarèse BFE, Rusin A, Seymour C, Mothersill C (2023) Quantum Biology and the Potential Role of Entanglement and Tunneling in Non-Targeted Effects of Ionizing Radiation: A Review and Proposed Model. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24(1): 1-42.