The Overlooked Element in Continuous Improvement: Why People ('MAN') Matter More than Ever in the 4Ms Framework

Olajide Henry Ebini
Texas A&M University Kingville

Abstract:- Across all industries, especially in the recent century, the 4Ms framework - Man, Machine, Material, and Method - is a fundamental component of Continuous Improvement (CI), providing an articulated means of improving operational effectiveness. Although the "Machine" and "Method" components have been transformed by Industry 4.0 technology, this study argues that the "Man" component is not just crucial but pivotal, even if it is often prone to underestimation. Through an analysis of essential human-centered elements such as organizational culture, skill development, leadership, and adaptability, this study emphasizes the workforce's indispensable contribution to maintaining CI initiatives in the face of automation. This article demonstrates how people-centric strategies are crucial to developing CI initiatives by providing a thorough examination of human-technology synergy. This allows organizations to scale new heights in creativity, resilience, and sustainable success in a rapidly changing digital ecosystem. The review demonstrates why organizations should prioritize human capital and human capital development, even as they invest in technology, positioning people at the center of and as active drivers of CI in Industry 4.0 and beyond.

Keywords:- Continuous Improvement, Industry 4.0, People-Centric Strategy, Organizational Culture, Workforce Development.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Overview of the 4Ms in Continuous Improvement

The European Union's introduction of "Industry 5.0" marks a pivotal shift from technology-driven progress to a human-centric approach that prioritizes the needs and interests of workers within the production process. By leveraging advanced technologies like digitalization and artificial intelligence, Industry 5.0 aims to enhance resilience and sustainability while adapting production systems to support workers better. This focus on people not only redefines traditional methods but also fosters a continuous improvement cycle that empowers employees and drives performance in an increasingly competitive landscape (Fonda, Edoardo & Meneghetti, 2022).

Continuous Improvement (CI), as is often referenced in the Western world, has its origin in the Japanese philosophy called "Kaizen. It alludes to the ideology of incremental and sustained progress in industry and practical living (Karkoszka & Szewieczet, 2007; Mohiuddin & Jabbar, 2015). Kaizen encompasses lean manufacturing, total quality management (TQM), employee involvement, and waste reduction, focusing on consistent, small innovations that drive measurable performance improvements. Continuous improvement has been a topic of discussion since the Industrial Revolution and the rise of global competition (Singh & Singh, 2015). A fundamental concept in continuous improvement (CI), consistent with maximizing the strategies and tools of lean management, the 4Ms framework - Man, Machine, Material, and Method - offers a methodical way to comprehend and deal with the factors that affect operational performance. Each element enhances an organization's capacity to recognize, evaluate, and successfully address problems, leading to increased productivity and higher-quality output (Shah & Ward, 2007).

➢ *Man*

This component emphasizes the human element by concentrating on the workforce's abilities, creativity, drive, and structural clarity. Maintaining productivity and reducing errors requires motivated, well-trained staff with defined tasks. Reducing inefficiencies and improving quality results can be achieved by filling in training or motivation gaps (Helmond, 2020).

➢ *Machine*

Machines and technology are vital for consistent output. Regular maintenance and timely upgrades ensure that equipment meets production demands without unexpected downtime. Outdated or poorly maintained machines can hinder productivity, making routine assessments key to CI success (Pattanaik & Kant, 2023).

➢ *Material*

Materials refer to the inputs used in production, including raw materials, consumables, and cash. Quality control and inventory management are crucial here; substandard materials or mismanaged inventories lead to defects and disruptions. Effective handling of materials reduces waste and supports a steady production flow (Bortolotti et al., 2015).

> *Method:*

The Method component encompasses processes and standard operating procedures (SOPs). Streamlined and well-documented methods allow for consistency and minimize waste, ensuring repeatable quality. Ongoing refinement of methods helps organizations adapt to new demands and maintain operational efficiency (Sharma et al., 2022).

The 4Ms framework not only enables root-cause analysis but also supports structured problem-solving. By focusing on these four pillars, organizations can adopt a holistic approach that addresses the interconnected nature of human resources, machinery, materials, and processes, fostering sustainable improvements in productivity and quality (Riasat, 2020). In the past CI models have tended to focus on Machine and Method, sometimes to the neglect of Man. Studies show that successful Continuous Improvement (CI) programs require good leadership, motivation, and teamwork in order to achieve the desired results. When human factors are neglected, employees become disinterested and the organization does not perform at its peak.

B. Rationale for Focusing on the 'Man' Component

Global economic challenges and a rapidly evolving market are pushing organizations, especially in manufacturing, to adopt strategies that boost quality, productivity, and cost efficiency to stay competitive. In the Industry 4.0 era, advanced technologies are prioritized for streamlining processes and reducing operational costs, but these alone do not guarantee sustainable success in today's complex manufacturing environment (Valamede & Akkari, 2020). Mohiuddin and Jabbar (2015) emphasize that employees are the driving force behind any system, contributing through collaboration, communication, and problem-solving. Their study of lean manufacturing in Japan reveals that successful companies like Toyota actively involve employees at all levels in suggesting and implementing improvements. This approach, embodied by the Toyota Way, goes beyond passive involvement; it empowers and requires employees to continuously enhance processes, embedding a culture of engagement and innovation where people are seen not merely as resources but as integral drivers of continuous improvement and operational excellence.

Thus, while technological innovation is critical, a balanced focus on human-centered practices remains essential to drive continuous improvement effectively and meet dynamic consumer demands. Focusing on the 'Man' component in Continuous Improvement (CI) is increasingly vital, especially as automation and Industry 4.0 technologies reshape the workplace. Human participation remains essential for numerous reasons:

- Flexibility to Change: Amid swift technological advancements, it is crucial for workers to quickly adjust to organizational growth and its related requirements (Dirani, Abadi, Alizadeh, Barhate, Garza, Gunasekara, & Majzun, 2020). Studies indicate that teams demonstrating high flexibility can realize considerable

boosts in engagement and satisfaction, both of which are essential for maintaining continuous improvement efforts (Mohiuddin & Jabbar, 2015; Bortolotti et al., 2015; Helmold, 2020).

- Leadership Impact: Strong leadership plays a key role in fostering a culture that welcomes change and innovation. Leaders who showcase adaptable behaviors not only motivate their teams but also create environments where employees feel empowered to take part in CI initiatives. This type of leadership encourages a growth mindset, which is vital for navigating the complexities of today's business arena (Bortolotti et al., 2015).
- Cultural Interaction: The relationship between leadership and organizational culture greatly affects CI. A culture that emphasizes psychological safety and open dialogue allows employees to voice their ideas and concerns, thus promoting ongoing improvement. Leaders need to intentionally nurture such cultures to unleash the full potential of their workforce (Mohiuddin & Jabbar, 2015).

The objective of this study is to highlight the significance of leadership, culture, and flexibility within Continuous Improvement (CI) frameworks. By focusing on these aspects, organizations can bolster their ability for ongoing enhancement, ensuring that human resources are not just participants but active catalysts for innovation and efficiency in an increasingly automated world.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. The Evolution of Continuous Improvement and the Role of Human Factors: Historical Evolution of Automation in CI

The evolution of automation in Continuous Improvement (CI) initiated with the Industrial Revolution's transition from manual work to mechanized operations, boosting efficiency and standardization (Vinodh, Antony, Agrawal, & Douglas, 2021). Later industrial revolutions unveiled groundbreaking tools, ranging from programmable logic controllers (PLCs) and robotics to the current Industry 4.0 advancements—including cyber-physical systems, Big Data Analytics, the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and virtual simulations—which synergistically bring about unparalleled degrees of automation, immediate data evaluation, and predictive maintenance. This Fourth Industrial Revolution emphasizes the development of adaptable, tailored production methods, merging sophisticated data analytics and cloud technologies to pinpoint inefficiencies and apply ongoing, data-informed enhancements in manufacturing and other sectors (Valmede & Akkari, 2020).

The influences of automation in Continuous Improvement encompass:

- Operational Effectiveness: Automated frameworks diminish reliance on manual labor for repetitive duties, reducing human error and enabling workers to concentrate on higher-value tasks. This reallocation of resources streamlines workflows and expedites CI

initiatives, opening up avenues for more strategic resource utilization (Toppazzini, 2024).

- Data-Informed Decision Making: Automation produces vast amounts of data that bolster CI projects through thorough analysis, allowing organizations to uncover inefficiencies and precisely address improvements (Tatineni, 2024). This data-oriented methodology accelerates decision-making and fosters ongoing feedback mechanisms, increasing the agility of CI operations.
- Flexibility and Responsiveness: With automated solutions, organizations can swiftly adjust to fluctuations in demand or market dynamics by reconfiguring workflows and production processes with minimal disturbance. This nimbleness promotes continuous CI by enabling gradual enhancements aligned with shifting business requirements.
- Empowering Employees and Strategic Orientation: Automation redefines rather than replaces human roles, permitting employees to focus on innovation and problem resolution instead of mundane tasks. This transformation allows for a more strategic application of human skills in CI, nurturing a culture of ongoing, incremental advancements that harness both technological prowess and human creativity.

The integration of automation into CI has transformed traditional operational models, enhancing productivity, agility, and insight-driven decision-making (Suri, 2022). While automation streamlines processes, it also emphasizes the importance of human creativity and adaptability in sustaining CI. This synergistic approach—leveraging technology to empower human capabilities—will be essential for organizations striving for sustainable improvement in a highly competitive landscape.

B. Industry 4.0 and Human-Machine Synergy

The synergy between advanced technologies and human oversight is essential for navigating modern business complexities (Hitt, Keats & DeMarie, 1998). While automation streamlines operations and enhances efficiency, human attributes—like decision-making, innovation, and adaptability—remain indispensable to achieving organizational success because of their understanding of company goals, and vision, and their ownership and partnership in establishing the organizational culture. Some of the roles of Technology in Industry 4.0 are:

- Automation and Efficiency: Innovations like artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and robotics are revolutionizing manufacturing by automating repetitive tasks, refining workflows, and facilitating real-time data assessment. These technological improvements have enhanced output and accuracy across various sectors.
- Data Utilization: With immense capabilities for data gathering, businesses can exploit analytics for proactive maintenance, quality assurance, and customer understanding. This data-driven strategy promotes informed and adaptable decision-making, highlighting

the importance of technology in today's business landscape.

➢ *Human Oversight: An Irreplaceable Component*

According to the study published by Sawhney et al., 2020, a collaborative model where both technology and human contributions are leveraged, giving oversight to humans is crucial for sustainable CI. They outline factors such as:

1. Decision-Making/Judgement: While automated systems are impressive, human discernment is essential for intricate, context-specific resolutions, and interpretation of complex data, particularly when ethical factors come into play. Humans provide valuable perspectives that ensure decisions align with corporate principles and long-term aspirations.

2. Innovation/Creativity: Human ingenuity propels innovation, a task that machines cannot duplicate. Workers play a crucial role in cultivating original concepts, creating novel offerings, and modifying services to meet changing market demands, thus driving sustainable growth.

3. Adaptability/Flexibility: Rapid shifts in technology necessitate a workforce capable of adjusting to new instruments and methodologies. Organizations that promote adaptability within teams tend to excel over rivals in fast-paced settings, underscoring the significance of human versatility in Industry 4.0.

➢ *Synergy Between Technology and Human Oversight*

Historically, studies have found confluence and synergistic points between technology and humans, demonstrating how such a collaborative system can explicitly raise optimization, enhance sustainability, and further promote the virtues and benefits of continuous improvement (Kattel et al., 2019; Kallis et al., 2009; Mahadevan, 2022).

- Collaborative Work Environments: Successful organizations leverage technology to complement, not replace, human skills. This approach enables employees to focus on higher-level tasks that require emotional intelligence and critical thinking, enhancing both productivity and job satisfaction.
- Adaptive Leadership: Leaders who encourage innovation and adaptability promote a culture of continuous improvement, resilience, and learning. By supporting experimentation and technological integration, adaptive leaders empower teams to navigate challenges effectively.
- Psychological Safety: Organizations that foster psychological safety create an environment where employees feel comfortable voicing concerns and proposing innovative solutions, enriching the synergy between technology and human oversight.

Summarily, the integration of technology with human insight is a powerful strategy for organizational resilience and success in Industry 4.0. This collaborative dynamic maximizes both efficiency and innovation, making it essential for navigating today's competitive business landscape.

III. HUMAN-CENTRIC ASPECTS CRITICAL TO CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

A. Strategic Leadership Approaches for Advancing CI Outcomes

Effective leadership plays a vital role in promoting Continuous Improvement (CI) by establishing a distinct vision, encouraging a supportive and psychologically secure atmosphere, and boosting employee involvement (Yating, bin Arshad, A. D. M. A. & Mengjiao, 2024). Leaders ensure that CI initiatives are in harmony with the organization's objectives, allowing teams to recognize how their contributions impact overall success (Morton, Michaelides, Roca, & Wagner, 2018). By exemplifying dedication, granting employees decision-making power, and fostering a culture of transparency, leaders motivate active engagement and build trust. Developing a culture of learning, acknowledging achievements, and wisely distributing resources further enhances ongoing development and innovation. A people-centered approach is critical for impactful CI since it highlights emotional safety and personal development, motivating employees to become involved and take responsibility for enhancement initiatives (Lepeley, Morales, Essens, Beutell, & Majluf, 2021). Leaders who advocate for change by tackling resistance and offering assistance nurture resilience and flexibility within the organization, ultimately integrating CI into the organizational fabric for enduring success.

B. The Influence of Organizational Culture on Continuous Improvement (CI) Success

Organizational culture plays an essential role in the success or failure of Continuous Improvement (CI) initiatives. Cultures that emphasize collaboration, adaptability, and open communication create an environment where CI efforts can thrive, while cultures that resist change may inhibit progress and innovation (Herzog, 2009). Examining the elements of culture that foster or hinder CI provides insights into how organizations can leverage culture for sustainable improvement (Fonda & Meneghetti, 2022). Cultural components that foster CI that have been identified in previous studies include:

➢ *Collaboration and Teamwork:*

Cultures that foster open communication empower employees to share insights, driving collective problem-solving and innovation. Regular team meetings and cross-functional discussions enable real-time feedback on CI progress, helping teams collaboratively address challenges. Research shows that open dialogue enhances employee buy-in and creates a shared sense of purpose in CI efforts. Prioritizing knowledge sharing also helps spread best practices organization-wide, enriching CI through diverse perspectives. Institutionalizing knowledge transfer—such as maintaining CI project databases or conducting review sessions—enhances collective CI competence, boosting efficiency and adaptability.

➢ *Adaptability:*

Adaptive cultures view change as opportunity, not disruption, and encourage teams to be proactive in CI. Change-adept leaders inspire flexibility, promoting a seamless integration of new processes that makes CI a routine part of work. Likewise, cultures that see setbacks as learning opportunities foster resilience and experimentation, both vital to CI success. Constructive feedback and post-project reviews enable teams to apply lessons learned to future CI projects, supporting continuous adaptation and improvement.

➢ *Psychological Safety:*

Psychological safety allows employees to take calculated risks and share innovative ideas without fear of retribution, which is essential for continuous improvement and innovation. Empowering employees creates an environment where they feel safe to express their views, making them more likely to take ownership of CI efforts. Empowered employees contribute actively to CI decisions, enhancing project success through responsibility and commitment.

➢ *Cultural Barriers to CI*

• *Resistance to Change*
✓ Bureaucratic Constraints: Cultures rooted in rigid hierarchies and excessive bureaucracy can stifle innovation, as employees feel discouraged from proposing changes or engaging with CI initiatives. Research shows that top-down decision-making models can significantly hinder CI, as frontline employees may lack the autonomy needed to implement improvements.
✓ Fear of Failure: Cultures that penalize mistakes cultivate an aversion to risk-taking, hindering CI efforts that require experimentation and adaptation. Fear of failure can prevent employees from suggesting innovative changes, diminishing the organization's capacity for growth.

• *Lack of Engagement*
✓ Disconnect from Strategic Goals: When employees are unaware of how their work aligns with CI objectives, they may feel disengaged from improvement initiatives. Leaders play a key role in linking individual roles to broader CI goals to help employees see their contributions as integral to organizational success.
✓ Limited Employee Participation: In organizations where decision-making is centralized, frontline employees may feel excluded from the CI process. Limited participation from those directly involved in daily operations results in missed opportunities for improvement and a lack of insights that only these employees can provide.

Organizational culture significantly shapes the trajectory of Continuous Improvement initiatives. Cultures that foster collaboration, adaptability, and psychological safety empower employees to engage in CI, promoting innovative solutions and sustained operational excellence (Paredes-Saavedra et al., 2024). Conversely, cultures that discourage open communication or resist change can impede

CI progress. Ultimately, cultivating a supportive, improvement-oriented culture is indispensable for organizations aiming to achieve and sustain long-term CI success.

C. Knowledge Management and Adaptability

➤ *The Imperative of Skill Development and Adaptability in Continuous Improvement*

In today's fast-paced business landscape, Continuous Improvement (CI) initiatives increasingly hinge on systematic skill development, ongoing training, and workforce adaptability (Parween, S. 2024). To remain competitive, organizations must prioritize a learning culture and effective knowledge management, ensuring employees are equipped to navigate emerging technologies and evolving CI methodologies (Gope, Elia, & Passiante, 2018).

- *The Necessity of Ongoing Skill Development*
✓ Keeping Pace with Technological Advancements: As technology rapidly evolves, employees need relevant skills to maximize new tools like automation, AI, and data analytics. Regular, targeted training enables staff to utilize these innovations effectively, directly boosting CI productivity and efficiency.
✓ Building Employee Competence: Continuous skill development ensures employees meet shifting role demands, enhancing both individual and organizational performance. Skills honed through ongoing training allow employees to proactively contribute to CI initiatives, fostering a robust culture of improvement.
✓ Promoting a Learning Culture: Organizations emphasizing continuous learning create an environment where employees are motivated to seek knowledge. This learning culture is essential for sustaining CI efforts, as it cultivates initiative and a proactive approach to improvement.

- *Training Methods that Foster Adaptability*
✓ Personalized Learning: Tailoring learning to individual needs aligns training with employee strengths and goals, promoting engagement and retention. Analytics-driven personalized training paths offer a customized approach to developing skills most relevant to CI objectives.
✓ Interactive and Gamified Learning: Engaging training formats, such as gamification, enhances participation and motivation. Leaderboards, achievements, and rewards incentivize employees to engage deeply with CI-related content, embedding essential skills in an enjoyable manner.
✓ Practical On-the-Job Training: Hands-on learning through job shadowing and real-time application allows employees to reinforce new skills, making them immediately relevant to their roles and CI contributions. This method builds practical competence while directly benefiting CI initiatives.
✓ Collaborative Learning Platforms: Collaborative tools enable knowledge-sharing and team-based learning, integrating insights and best practices across departments. This approach fosters collective intelligence, which is vital for CI success in complex organizational settings.

- *Adaptation to New Methods and Technologies*
✓ Change Management: Effective change management strategies equip employees to embrace shifts in technology and processes, clearly communicating the benefits of change and offering structured support reduces resistance, fostering a more agile, CI-ready workforce.
✓ Safe Experimentation Spaces: Providing secure environments for trial and error, such as sandbox testing, encourages employees to familiarize themselves with new tools without fear of repercussions. This setup promotes innovation and confident CI engagement.
✓ Continuous Feedback Loops: Implementing feedback mechanisms ensures training programs remain relevant and effective. Regular check-ins guide employees' development and align learning with CI objectives, facilitating real-time adjustments and sustained improvement.

Ongoing skill development, training, and adaptability are essential pillars for successful Continuous Improvement. By fostering a culture of continuous learning and employing personalized, interactive, and collaborative training approaches, organizations can develop an agile, skilled workforce prepared to excel in CI efforts (Nahavandi, 2019). This focus not only advances individual competencies but also enhances organizational capacity for continuous, sustainable improvement.

IV. THE ROLE OF THE HUMAN ELEMENT IN CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT (CI): ADDRESSING RESISTANCE, SKILL GAPS, AND ENGAGEMENT DEFICITS

Overlooking the human component in Continuous Improvement (CI) initiatives can lead to substantial challenges, potentially hindering overall organizational effectiveness (Anand, Ward, Tatikonda & Schilling, 2009). This analysis examines the consequences of neglecting human-centered strategies, focusing on resistance to change, skill deficiencies, and lack of engagement. Furthermore, brief case studies from industries in The US and The UK are provided to illustrate the impact of emphasizing technology over human engagement in CI processes.

A. Resistance to Change

Neglecting human-centered strategies frequently leads to resistance against new CI practices and technologies. When organizations implement changes without integrating employee perspectives or engaging them in decision-making, feelings of alienation and opposition often arise (Nadler, 1981). Employees who are not included in the adoption process may feel their roles are threatened, potentially leading to pushback or reduced productivity. For instance, in manufacturing, a company introducing automated machinery without preparing the workforce often experiences reluctance, job insecurity concerns, and technological apprehension among employees (Garson, 2018). Addressing this requires creating a **supportive environment** that prioritizes open communication, inclusive

change processes, and constructive training sessions. Including employees in transition discussions helps them see the value in CI initiatives, thereby reducing resistance.

B. Skill Gaps and Engagement Deficits

Skill deficiencies and a lack of involvement can significantly obstruct Continuous Improvement (CI) initiatives when organizations neglect to commit to ongoing education (Michela, Noori & Jha, 1996). Employees who do not possess the essential skills may find it challenging to embrace new technologies, leading to dissatisfaction and a lack of engagement. Skill shortages frequently emerge in environments where organizations presume that staff will adjust without systematic assistance or sufficient preparation, resulting in lowered morale, diminished productivity, and stagnation in CI efforts (Bowditch, Buono, & Stewart, 2007). For instance, in the healthcare sector, the rollout of new electronic health record (EHR) systems without proper training generates obstacles, as inadequately trained personnel struggle to operate the system, ultimately impacting patient care and the accuracy of data. Providing sufficient training and involvement through thorough programs is crucial. Organizations that implement focused and continuous training initiatives that correspond with the technical requirements of CI are more effective in addressing skill gaps and fostering confidence among their workforce (Nahavandi, 2019).

C. Case Studies: Industry Insights on the Human Element in CI

➢ Manufacturing

- **Toyota Motor Manufacturing (UK)**
- ✓ *Location*: Deeside, Wales, UK
- ✓ *Challenge*: During a shift to increased automation within the Toyota Production System, employees initially felt displaced, leading to resistance.
- ✓ *Outcome*: Toyota enhanced its training protocols and actively involved employees in decisions about new automation tools. This human-centered adjustment improved productivity and morale, demonstrating that employee engagement is crucial to CI success.

➢ Healthcare

- **Virginia Mason Medical Center**
- ✓ *Location*: Seattle, Washington, US
- ✓ *Challenge*: Initial CI efforts focused on Lean process improvements but failed to involve frontline staff sufficiently, resulting in disengagement and sluggish adoption.
- ✓ *Outcome*: By incorporating provider feedback and engaging staff in CI processes, the hospital improved patient satisfaction and operational efficiency. This case underscores the importance of inclusive, human-centered CI practices.

➢ Information Technology

- **IBM**
- ✓ *Location*: Armonk, New York, US
- ✓ *Challenge*: During IBM's shift to cloud computing, a lack of training led to a skills gap that hindered productivity and lowered morale.
- ✓ *Outcome*: IBM responded by implementing comprehensive training and establishing feedback loops to better understand and address employee challenges. This response improved cloud technology adoption and employee satisfaction, emphasizing the need for training to accompany CI-related changes.

➢ Medical Devices

- **Meridian Medical**
- ✓ *Location*: UK
- ✓ *Challenge*: When outsourcing assembly, the lack of alignment on quality standards led to delays and unmet quality expectations.
- ✓ *Outcome*: Providing quality assurance training for Meridian's team improved efficiency and communication, underscoring the impact of skill development in sustaining CI across collaborative ventures.

➢ Biotechnology

- **AstraZeneca**
- ✓ *Location*: Cambridge, UK
- ✓ *Challenge*: Rapid automation in drug development led to unanticipated skill gaps, with employees lacking training on new data analytics tools.
- ✓ *Outcome*: AstraZeneca addressed this by creating ongoing training programs focused on data and automation tools, fostering a continuous improvement culture within the workforce.

These cases illustrate that neglecting human-centered strategies can obstruct CI progress by fostering resistance, creating skill gaps, and reducing engagement. Organizations that prioritize employee involvement, skill development, and open communication create an environment conducive to CI success, balancing technological advances with the vital human element. Recognizing and addressing the human factor alongside automation is critical to sustaining effective CI initiatives, driving both immediate improvements and long-term organizational growth (Metwaly, 2024).

V. A PEOPLE-CENTRIC CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT (CI) STRATEGY IN THE ERA OF INDUSTRY 4.0: INTEGRATING LEAN, AGILE, AND PDCA

As organizations adapt to Industry 4.0, the emphasis on technology-driven Continuous Improvement (CI) strategies must integrate Lean and Agile methodologies, the PDCA (Plan-Do-Check-Act) cycle, and tools to evaluate the human impact within CI processes (Larsson & Wollin, 2020). A people-centric CI approach fosters a balance between technological advances and workforce engagement, driving sustainable growth.

A. Lean and Agile Methodologies: Core of People-Centric CI

➤ *Lean Principles and Continuous Feedback*

Lean methodologies optimize efficiency by identifying and eliminating waste, emphasizing continuous feedback from all levels of the organization. Regular assessments, often conducted in Agile frameworks through daily stand-ups or feedback sessions, allow team members to address immediate workflow challenges and incorporate incremental improvements into CI processes (Camara & Marinho, 2024). This continuous feedback cycle not only improves processes but also strengthens team cohesion.

➤ *Agile Practices for Iterative Development*

Agile methodologies, with their iterative development and collaboration focus, allow cross-functional teams to adapt CI efforts to evolving needs. Each iteration or sprint produces work segments that stakeholders review, enabling real-time feedback and adjustments to ensure alignment with strategic goals. Agile empowers teams by decentralizing decision-making, encouraging ownership over processes, and nurturing a responsive work culture that sustains CI (Bhardwaj & Mahida, 2024).

Example: Atlassian's Agile adoption in software development demonstrates this approach. Through iterative sprints and continuous retrospectives, Atlassian teams respond quickly to user feedback, ensuring product improvements while empowering team members to innovate and adapt.

B. Implementing the PDCA Cycle to Support Human-Centered CI

The PDCA cycle provides a structured, adaptable framework that integrates employee feedback at every stage of CI.

➤ Plan**:** Teams identify improvement areas, drawing from employee insights and data-driven analysis. Involving employees early encourages buy-in and aligns planned changes with their experiences.

➤ Do**:** Teams implement small-scale trials of new approaches, allowing limited-risk experimentation. This phase fosters a hands-on environment that promotes learning without significant disruption.

➤ Check**:** Post-implementation, teams evaluate outcomes relative to expected results. Engaging employees in this assessment encourages a learning culture and identifies practical areas for enhancement.

➤ Act**:** Teams adopt successful changes or refine strategies based on feedback. This continuous loop ensures responsiveness to real-world conditions, building a workforce resilient to change and committed to ongoing improvement.

• *Example*: Manufacturing organizations applying PDCA frequently involve frontline employees in the evaluation and improvement phases, enhancing engagement and ownership. The Institute of Continuous Improvement, for instance, highlights how manufacturing companies use PDCA to improve workflows, integrate employee insights, and build a foundation for iterative CI.

C. Tools for Measuring Human Impact in CI

To assess human contributions to CI, a combination of qualitative and quantitative tools is essential:

➤ Retrospectives: Agile retrospectives provide structured opportunities for teams to reflect on both project performance and team dynamics. These fosters open dialogue on successes and challenges, which can inform team adjustments and identify training needs.

➤ Impact Assessment: Data from retrospectives help organizations analyze team collaboration effectiveness and individual contributions, providing actionable insights for CI enhancements.

➤ Performance Metrics: Metrics focused on both team and individual contributions offer insights into how human factors influence CI outcomes. Metrics such as productivity changes post-CI implementations provide quantitative measures of impact.

➤ Qualitative and Quantitative Employee Engagement Scores: Engagement scores and satisfaction surveys gauge how well human elements are integrated into CI, while productivity or quality metrics can reveal improvements directly linked to workforce engagement.

• *Example*: In the technology sector, companies conduct retrospectives not only to discuss project deliverables but also to assess interpersonal dynamics and collaborative efficiency. This approach allows teams to address both technical and social factors that influence CI.

Developing a people-centric CI strategy within Industry 4.0 involves leveraging Lean and Agile methodologies to create a culture of continuous feedback and team empowerment (Uppal, 2021). The PDCA cycle introduces a learning-centric approach, allowing for gradual, iterative changes based on employee input. Complemented by qualitative and quantitative tools like retrospectives and engagement surveys, organizations can measure the human impact on CI, ensuring alignment between technological advancements and workforce contributions (Metwaly, 2024). This balanced, people-first approach fosters resilient, engaged teams prepared to sustain CI efforts effectively.

VI. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

A. Summary of Key Findings

The analysis reinforces the centrality of the human component within the 4Ms (Man, Machine, Material, Method) framework for Continuous Improvement (CI). As automation and advanced technologies increasingly shape CI practices, it is evident that human factors—adaptability, creativity, and decision-making—are indispensable in sustaining these initiatives (Wang, Zheng, Yin, Shih & Wang, 2022). The integration of skilled and motivated employees within CI efforts enhances not only operational efficiency but also adaptability, fostering a balanced approach where both technological and human elements contribute significantly to success (Kaggwa et al., 2024). Effective CI thus requires a commitment to skill development, employee engagement, and leadership that prioritizes open communication and inclusive decision-making (Ly, 2024).

B. Implications for Future CI in Industry 4.0 and Beyond

Looking forward, as CI strategies continue to evolve with Industry 4.0, organizations must prioritize human-centric approaches to support long-term sustainability and adaptability. Emphasizing the human element in CI—through inclusive change management, ongoing training, and psychological safety—will enable organizations to navigate rapid technological changes more effectively. The balanced approach within the 4Ms framework, integrating both technological tools and human insight, ensures that CI initiatives remain agile and resilient, positioning organizations to achieve and sustain high levels of operational excellence and innovation in the evolving digital landscape.

REFERENCES

[1]. Al-Najjar, B. (1996). Total quality maintenance. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 2*(3), 4–20. doi:10.1108/13552519610130413

[2]. Anand, G., Ward, P. T., Tatikonda, M. V., & Schilling, D. A. (2009). Dynamic capabilities through continuous improvement infrastructure. *Journal of operations management*, *27*(6), 444-461.

[3]. Bhardwaj, A. K., & Mahida, A. (2024). Cultivating High-Performance Agile Teams: Strategies for Assembling and Empowering Effective Groups. In *Quantum Computing and Supply Chain Management: A New Era of Optimization* (pp. 331-347). IGI Global.

[4]. Bortolotti, T., Boscari, S., & Daneses, P. (2015). Successful Lean Implementation: Organizational Culture and Soft Lean Practices. *International Journal of Production Economics. 160*(4): 182–201.

[5]. Bowditch, J. L., Buono, A. F., & Stewart, M. M. (2007). *A primer on organizational behavior*. John Wiley & Sons.

[6]. Camara, R., & Marinho, M. (2024). Agile tailoring in distributed large-scale environments using agile frameworks: A Systematic Literature Review. *CLEI electronic journal*, *27*(1), 8-1.

[7]. Dirani, K. M., Abadi, M., Alizadeh, A., Barhate, B., Garza, R. C., Gunasekara, N., ... & Majzun, Z. (2020). Leadership competencies and the essential role of human resource development in times of crisis: a response to Covid-19 pandemic. *Human resource development international*, *23*(4), 380-394.

[8]. ElAwady M, AlHamad H, Valappil S, et al. Age-Friendly Health Systems 4Ms: Implementing Medication Management in Hamad Medical Corporation, Qatar. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing. 2024*;50(6):6-9. doi:10.3928/00989134-20240502-03

[9]. Fonda, Edoardo, and Antonella Meneghetti. 2022. "The Human-Centric SMED" Sustainability 14, no. 1: 514. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010514

[10]. Garcia, J.A.M., Val, M.P.D. and Martin, T.B. (2008), "Longitudinal study of the results of continuous improvement in an industrial company", *Team Performance Management,* Vol. 14 Nos 1/2, pp. 56-69.

[11]. Garson, S. G. (2018). Exploring the experiences of automation on lower-level employees in an automotive assembling plant (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University).

[12]. Gope, S., Elia, G., & Passiante, G. (2018). The effect of HRM practices on knowledge management capacity: a comparative study in Indian IT industry. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *22*(3), 649-677.

[13]. Helmold, M. (2020). The Human Side of Lean Management. In Lean Management and Kaizen: Fundamentals from Cases and Examples in Operations and Supply Chain Management, (pp. 123-129). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[14]. Herzog, P. (2009). Open and closed innovation: Different cultures for different strategies. Springer Science & Business Media.

[15]. Hitt, M. A., Keats, B. W., & DeMarie, S. M. (1998). Navigating in the new competitive landscape: Building strategic flexibility and competitive advantage in the 21st century. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *12*(4), 22-42.

[16]. Kaggwa, S., Eleogu, T. F., Okonkwo, F., Farayola, O. A., Uwaoma, P. U., & Akinoso, A. (2024). AI in decision making: transforming business strategies. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, *10*(12), 423-444.

[17]. Kallis, G., Kiparsky, M., & Norgaard, R. (2009). Collaborative governance and adaptive management: Lessons from California's CALFED Water Program. Environmental Science & Policy, 12(6), 631–643. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2009.07.002

[18]. Karkoszka, T. and Szewieczet, D. (2007), "Risk of The Processes In The Aspect Of Quality, Natural Environment and Occupational Safety", *Journal of Achievements in Material and Manufacturing Engineering,* Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 539-542.

[19]. Kattel, R., Lember, V., & Tõnurist, P. (2019). Collaborative innovation and human-machine networks. *Public Management Review, 22*(11), 1652–1673. doi:10.1080/14719037.2019.1645

[20]. Larsson, J., & Wollin, J. (2020). Industry 4.0 and Lean–Possibilities, Challenges and Risk for Continuous Improvement: *An explorative study of success factors for Industry 4.0 implementation.*

[21]. Lepeley, M. T., Morales, O., Essens, P., Beutell, N., & Majluf, N. (2021). *Human Centered Organizational Culture*. Routledge.

[22]. Ly, B. (2024). Inclusion leadership and employee work engagement: The role of organizational commitment in Cambodian public organization. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 29(1), 44-52.

[23]. Mahadevan, A. (2022). Building an Adaptive Culture where Collaborative Teaching Teams Leverage Data to Improve Student Achievement and Wellbeing.

[24]. Metwaly, A. (2024). A Design-Led Approach to Driving Successful R&D Transformations.

[25]. Michela, J. L., Noori, H., & Jha, S. (1996). The dynamics of continuous improvement. *International Journal of Quality Science*, 1(1), 19-47.

[26]. Mohiuddin A. K. M. and Jabbar J. H. A. (2015). Performance Improvement of Local Automotive Industry by Adopting JMM. *International Conference on Mechanical Engineering 2015* (ICME 2015) 18-20 December, 2015. Paper ID: 342

[27]. Morton, S., Michaelides, R., Roca, T., & Wagner, H. (2018). Increasing employee engagement in organizational citizenship behaviors within continuous improvement programs in manufacturing: The HR link. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 66(4), 650-662.

[28]. Nadler, D. A. (1981). Managing organizational change: An integrative perspective. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 17(2), 191-211.

[29]. Nahavandi, Saeid. 2019. "Industry 5.0—A Human-Centric Solution" Sustainability 11, no. 16: 4371. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164371

[30]. Oliveira, J., Sá, J. C., & Fernandes, A. (2017). Continuous improvement through "Lean Tools": An application in a mechanical company. Procedia Manufacturing, 13, 1082–1089. doi:10.1016/j.promfg.2017.09.139

[31]. Paredes-Saavedra, M., Vallejos, M., Huancahuire-Vega, S., Morales-García, W. C., & Geraldo-Campos, L. A. (2024). Work Team Effectiveness: Importance of Organizational Culture, Work Climate, Leadership, Creative Synergy, and Emotional Intelligence in University Employees. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(11), 280.

[32]. Parween, S. (2024). The Significance of Employee Training and Development on Enhancing Workforce Efficiency.

[33]. Pattanaik L. N., Kant, R. (2023). Optimization of a multi-objective lean assembly problem with reconfigurable machine cells. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: *Journal of Engineering Manufacture* 237(6-7):1060-1071. doi:10.1177/09544054221111895

[34]. Riasat, Q. (2020). *World Class Manufacturing and Its applications (Lean Manufacturing)* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

[35]. Sawhney, R., Treviño-Martinez, S., de Anda, E. M., Tortorella, G. L., & Pourkhalili, O. (2020). A conceptual people-centric framework for sustainable operational excellence. *Open Journal of Business and Management, 8*(3), 1034-1058.

[36]. Shah, R., & Ward, P. (2007). Defining and Developing Measures of Lean Production. *Journal of Operations Management 25*: 785–805.

[37]. Sharma, M., Mishra, K., & Selvam, J. (2022). Identifying the Possible Measures to Minimize Material Waste Using Lean Construction. Available at SSRN 4200395.

[38]. Singh, J., & Singh, H. (2015). Continuous improvement philosophy – literature review and directions. Benchmarking: *An International Journal, 22*(1), 75–119. doi:10.1108/bij-06-2012-0038

[39]. Suri, V. K. (2022). *Functional Automation and Digital Transformation*. Dorrance Publishing.

[40]. Tatineni, S. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence with DevOps: Advanced Techniques, Predictive Analytics, and Automation for Real-Time Optimization and Security in Modern Software Development. Libertatem Media Private Limited.

[41]. Toppazzini, K. (2024). *The New Lean: The Modern Approach to Continuous Improvement*. CRC Press.

[42]. Tzeng H-M, Franks HE, Passy E. Facilitators and Barriers to Implementing the 4Ms Framework of Age-Friendly Health Systems: A Scoping Review. Nursing Reports. 2024; 14(2):913-930. https://doi.org/10.3390/nursrep14020070

[43]. Uppal, R. (2021). *A First Principles Based Strategy for Deploying People Centric Lean in Service Industry Systemically Improving People and Process Everyday* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Akron).

[44]. Valamede, L. S. and Akkari A. C. S. (2020). Lean 4.0: A New Holistic Approach for the Integration of Lean Manufacturing Tools and Digital Technologies. *International Journal of Mathematical, Engineering and Management Sciences,* Vol. 5, No. 5, 851-868, 2020. https://doi.org/10.33889/IJMEMS.2020.5.5.066

[45]. Vinodh, S., Antony, J., Agrawal, R., & Douglas, J. A. (2021). Integration of continuous improvement strategies with Industry 4.0: a systematic review and agenda for further research. *The TQM Journal*, 33(2), 441-472.

[46]. Wang, B., Zheng, P., Yin, Y., Shih, A., & Wang, L. (2022). Toward human-centric smart manufacturing: A human-cyber-physical systems (HCPS) perspective. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 63, 471-490.

[47]. Yating, L., bin Arshad, A. D. M. A., & Mengjiao, Z. (2024). A Review of the Impact of Leadership Styles on Employee Innovation Performance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(1).