ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14942778

Brain Computer Interfaces: Engineering That Changed Healthcare

Ankita Chhikara; Amlan Banik

Ph.D. Scholar, Sharda School of Nursing,
Noida International University, Greater Noida, UP, India

Corresponding Author: Ankita Chhikara

Abstract: Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology, which enables direct interaction between the human brain and external devices, is revolutionizing healthcare. By decoding and interpreting neural signals, BCIs open new opportunities for rehabilitation, regaining lost functions, and enhancing quality of life, especially for individuals with neurological disorders, spinal cord injuries, or neurodegenerative conditions. This article examines the mechanisms, classifications, applications, and challenges of BCIs. It highlights progress in invasive, partially invasive, and non-invasive BCIs, their clinical and therapeutic uses, and the integration of artificial intelligence to improve their performance. While BCIs hold tremendous promise, they face challenges in terms of accessibility, consistency, and ethical considerations. Looking ahead, advancements are expected to broaden their applications in healthcare and everyday life, particularly through task-specific and opportunistic innovations.

Keywords: *Brain Computer Interface, EEG, Neuro-Technology, Human Machine Interaction, Brain Sensing Technology, Healthcare Innovation.*

How to Cite: Ankita Chhikara; Amlan Banik (2024) Brain Computer Interfaces: Engineering That Changed Healthcare. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 9(11), 3726-3730. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14942778

I. INTRODUCTION

By establishing a connection between the human brain and machines, Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology holds the capability to transform the healthcare industry. BCI systems provide new possibilities in providing medical treatment by decoding and interpreting brain signals, especially for those with neurological conditions, impairments, or those recuperating from spinal cord injuries and strokes. BCIs provide life-changing potential by facilitating direct connection between the brain and external devices, which can improve rehabilitation, restore lost capabilities, and enhance quality of life. This article examines the cutting-edge uses of BCIs in healthcare, emphasising how they affect patient outcomes, the difficulties in developing them, and the potential for future clinical practice integration.

The concrete communication pathway between the electrical activity of the brain and an external device, usually a computer or robotic limb, is known as a brain–computer interface (BCI), sometimes called a brain–machine interface (BMI). BCIs are frequently used to study, visualise, support, enhance, or repair human cognitive or sensory-motor functions.[1]

II. OPERATIONAL MECHANISM OF BCI

With the ability to connect cerebral activity with external equipment, brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) are revolutionising medicine by giving patients direct access to communication and control channels. BCIs were first developed to treat severe impairments, including those experienced by patients with spinal cord injuries or neurodegenerative diseases. However, they have quickly developed into effective instruments with uses in a wide range of medical specialities. BCIs provide fresh promise for improving patient autonomy, enabling sophisticated prostheses, and easing neurorehabilitation by using the electrical impulses in the brain and converting them into orders that can be carried out. The latest advances in neural networks, neurological imaging, and machine learning have sped up the progress of BCI and brought its therapeutic uses closer to clinical reality.

Rapid advancements in BCI technology hold promise for both rehabilitation and medical care. However, there are issues with accessibility, ease of use, and accuracy with standard BCI. The effectiveness of BCI in rehabilitation depends on its ability to decode cognitive states, which is made possible by modern technologies like artificial intelligence and machine learning. In order to improve stroke recovery and spinal cord injury care, creative cognitive state decoding techniques are essential. The use of BCI is

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14942778

accompanied with ethical and sociological issues. Cognitive state decoding and its relationship to rehabilitation, as well as encoding paradigms and BCI approaches in this context, are not thoroughly examined in the reviews of BCI in rehabilitation that currently exist.[2]

III. APPLICATIONS OF BCI

One of the many possible therapeutic uses for BCIs is the rehabilitation and restoration of lost brain function. Those with the most severe motor deficits, including those caused by spinal cord damage stroke, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and other severe neuromuscular diseases or traumas, have hope because to the BCI function. By increasing their individual autonomy, independence, and mobility, these technologies have the potential to greatly enhance these patients' quality of life. Additionally, BCIs are useful instruments for neuro-feedback and neuroplasticity training, which helps patients recover from neurological conditions such traumatic brain injuries, Parkinson's disease, and stroke.[3]

BCIs have a wide range of clinical and nonclinical applications outside of motor-related ones. BCIs are used in medical facilities to treat disorders related to mental health, providing new ways of diagnosing and managing mental illnesses like PTSD, depression, and anxiety. In nonclinical settings, they present opportunities for neuro-enhancement, neuromarketing, and gaming services.[4]

Three categories comprise the primary target demographics for BCI applications. Complete Locked-In State (CLIS) patients, who may be suffering from severe cerebral palsy or be in the last stages of ALS, are included in the first category since they have completely lost all motor control. The second type consists of Locked-In State (LIS) individuals who are almost paralysed but nevertheless exhibit voluntary movement, such as lip twitches, eye movements, or blinks. Physically capable individuals and those with significant neuromuscular control, especially in voice and/or hand control, make up the third category of possible BCI users. The third group can communicate the same information far more rapidly and readily through other interfaces than using a BCI, hence BCIs have nothing to offer them. Despite this, healthy individuals are increasingly using BCIs in neuromarketing and video games as a way to disclose emotional data about users that is difficult to report using traditional interfaces. Similarly, some individuals with neurological conditions like depression or schizophrenia may benefit from BCI.[5]

It is important to distinguish between BCIs and their applications before discussing how BCI applications are used in practice. Since BCI is a tool that performs a certain purpose, its unique BCI standards match how it does that job. Therefore, even if the function stays the same, these requirements may be used in a wide range of applications. When evaluating a BCI, what matters most is how well it performs its particular role. Applications, on the other hand, are explained in terms of the instruments they use and the goals they pursue. As a result, BCI assessment emphasises how well it fulfils its function. Alternatively, the phrase "application" refers to the setting in which the BCI estimated output commands are used, while the term "BCI" describes to the system that records, analyses, and converts the input into commands. As a result, each situation has a different assessment process for BCI systems and their applications. Brief descriptions of BCI applications are provided in the following subsections, which are divided into five primary categories: communication, motor restoration, environmental control, mobility, and entertainment.[6]

Applications of BCI in communication address significant communication impairments brought on by neurological conditions. Given the importance of communication for human beings, this type of application likely represents the most urgent study in the field of BCI. Through the use of a BCI, users can choose a letter from the alphabet on a virtual keyboard that is usually displayed on the screen in communication applications. Typically, the kind of control signal and the BCI are what set each technique apart.[7]

The loss of sensory and motor abilities brought on by spinal cord injury (SCI) or other neurological conditions significantly lowers a patient's quality of life and results in a lifetime need on home health care. Their social and psychological distress could be lessened with motor rehabilitation. Neuroprostheses controlled by functional electrical stimulation (FES) can help paraplegic patients regain mobility, including gripping. FES produces synthetic muscular contractions to make up for the loss of voluntary functions. By depolarising undamaged peripheral motor neurones that innervate the targeted muscle and induce a contraction, electrical currents produce artificial action potentials. Since electrical stimulation of upper extremity muscles has no effect on EEG signals, an EEG-based BCI can be utilised to create a control signal for the functioning of FES.[8]

IV. TYPES OF BCI

- *Invasive BCI*

Invasive BCI research has focused on restoring damaged vision and delivering novel capabilities to disabled patients. During neurosurgery, invasive BCIs are inserted directly into the brain's grey matter. Scientists can read the firings of hundreds of neurones in the brain by implanting chips with hundreds of pins smaller than the diameter of a human hair that protrude from them and penetrate the cerebral cortex. The neuronal firing language is then transferred to a computer translator, which decodes it using sophisticated techniques. This is subsequently communicated to another computer, which gets the translated information and directs the machine's actions. Invasive devices give the best quality signals of any BCI device since they rest in the grey matter, but they are prone to scar-tissue buildup, causing the signal to weaken or even disappear as the body reacts to a foreign object in the brain.

- *Partially Invasive BCI*

Partially invasive BCI devices are implanted inside the skull but do not rest within the brain's grey matter. They create higher resolution signals than non-invasive BCIs,

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14942778

where the cranium's bone structure deflects and deforms signals, and they are less likely to form scar tissue in the brain than fully-invasive BCIs. Electrocorticography (ECoG) records brain electrical activity directly from beneath the skull, similarly to noninvasive electroencephalography (EEG), but uses electrodes embedded in a thin plastic pad positioned over the cortex, beneath the dura mater. ECoG is an especially promising BCI technology due to its high spatial resolution, improved signal-to-noise ratio, broader frequency range, and lower training demands compared to scalp EEG. Additionally, it has less technical complexity, reduced clinical risks, and likely greater long-term stability than intracortical single-neuron recording. These characteristics, along with recent findings on its high level of control with minimal training, suggest strong potential for real-world use in aiding individuals with motor disabilities.

➢ *Non-Invasive BCI*

The simplest and least invasive approach uses a set of electrodes, a device known as an electroencephalograph (EEG), which is placed on the scalp to detect brain signals. These electrodes capture tiny voltage differences between neurons, which are then amplified and filtered. In today’s BCI systems, these signals are processed by computer software and displayed, previously through pens that traced patterns on a continuous sheet of paper. Although the skull reduces and distorts much of the signal, EEG is still widely preferred over other methods due to the disadvantages associated with them.[9]

V. CURRENT STATE OF BCI RESEARCH

Currently, BCIs represent a swiftly advancing field with applications spanning medical, clinical, and consumer domains. Researchers are continuously enhancing BCI technology, emphasizing improvements in usability, precision, and safety. In neural implants, scientists are investigating innovative materials and designs to enhance both long-term stability and biocompatibility. Progress in nanotechnology and materials science is paving the way for smaller, more reliable implants that can interact with the brain over prolonged durations.[10]

Non-invasive BCIs, like those utilizing EEG, remain a prominent focus in research. Current efforts aim to enhance their accuracy and reliability while also advancing signal processing methods to extract more detailed information from brain activity.[11]

Machine learning and artificial intelligence are increasingly being incorporated into BCIs to enhance their functionality and support more advanced tasks. For instance, researchers are utilizing machine learning algorithms to interpret brain signals and convert them into handwritten text.[12]

VI. CHALLENGES IN ADAPTATION

In typical motor actions, spinal motoneurons generate movement, but in a BCI, output is driven directly by brain signals reflecting activity from specific brain regions. In everyday functioning, this brain activity primarily aids motoneuron control. However, when used to operate a BCI, this brain activity itself becomes the output of the central nervous system (CNS).[13]

One intriguing challenge faced by BCI developers is that the brain is an inherently adaptable system, leading to questions about the balance of learning responsibilities between the machine and the brain itself. Some methods take an approach where a fixed mapping is established beforehand between brain signals and the controlled device, placing the full burden of adaptation on the brain. Research with invasive recordings of individual neurons or neural groups indicates that the motor system can quickly learn to generate suitable patterns under a fixed mapping. However, when using EEG in humans, achieving similar performance may take several months. As a result, most current methods employ a combination of user adaptation and machine learning. Prior to using the BCI, EEG activity patterns are recorded from the user, and this data is then used to train a pattern recognition algorithm to perform classification or regression.[14]

VII. LIMITATIONS OF BCI

BCI research is commonly conducted in tightly regulated laboratory settings or similarly restricted clinical environments. Both human and animal BCI users are generally positioned in a particular way within a minimalistic, distraction-free setup and operate the BCI for short intervals under careful monitoring. Despite these controlled conditions, a notable feature of the results is their inconsistency. User performance varies significantly—some days are notably better than others, and there can be substantial fluctuations even within individual sessions and across trials.[13]

VIII. THE FUTURE OF BCI

The immense potential for future BCI applications lies within the vast array of neural behavior indices identified by neuroscience research. However, current and near-term BCIs are likely to remain "task-oriented" and can be categorized into two main types: 1) BCIs that serve as the primary interface for a specific task, such as controlling a prosthetic limb using brain signals; and 2) BCIs that support the user's task indirectly, like systems that monitor brain activity to predict and address periods of reduced performance, such as during driving. Developers have achieved, and will likely continue to achieve, success with task-specific BCIs, where the application dictates the conditions under which the user operates. This approach contrasts with efforts to identify brain indices that apply broadly across any task the user might undertake. Task-specific BCIs benefit from having greater contextual awareness of the user’s actions, enabling more accurate interpretation of neural signals.

Future task-specific BCIs, driven by advancements in sensor technology, analytical methods, artificial intelligence, multi-dimensional sensing of the brain, behavior, and environment through pervasive technologies, and computing algorithms, are expected to analyze brain data over prolonged periods. These systems are poised to become increasingly integrated into various aspects of everyday life. When brain-

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14942778

sensing technologies become part of daily life, it opens the door to utilizing the BCI infrastructure for "opportunistic" applications. In other words, as individuals routinely wear brain sensors for specific tasks, opportunistic BCIs—technologies that offer benefits unrelated to the immediate activity being performed—can be implemented without requiring additional effort or resources.[15,16]

IX. SUMMARY

Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) create a direct link between brain activity and external devices, transforming healthcare by enhancing rehabilitation, restoring motor abilities, and improving the quality of life for people with neurological conditions. By converting brain signals into actionable outputs, BCIs support various applications, including motor recovery, neurofeedback, and mental health interventions. The different types of BCIs—ranging from invasive to non-invasive—vary in functionality and accuracy, with advancements in neural imaging and artificial intelligence driving significant progress. Current research aims to improve BCI usability, reliability, and long-term functionality while addressing ethical and societal concerns. Beyond clinical use, BCIs are increasingly utilized in areas like neuromarketing and entertainment. Despite challenges such as variability in user performance and technical limitations, the future of BCIs lies in developing task-specific systems and leveraging opportunistic applications seamlessly integrated into daily life.

X. CONCLUSION

BCI technology is revolutionizing healthcare by offering innovative solutions for individuals with severe disabilities, neurological conditions, and cognitive challenges. Although advancements in machine learning and sensor technologies are propelling the field forward, there remain significant obstacles in enhancing accessibility, usability, and adaptability for various clinical and non-clinical uses. The development of task-specific BCIs and the adoption of opportunistic applications could pave the way for BCIs to become an integral part of everyday life. Nonetheless, addressing ethical and social implications is crucial to ensure fair and responsible implementation. As research continues to close gaps between technology and application, BCIs are set to play a pivotal role in delivering personalized and transformative healthcare solutions.

REFERENCES

[1]. Krucoff MO, Rahimpour S, Slutzky MW, Edgerton VR, Turner DA. Enhancing Nervous System Recovery through Neurobiologics, Neural Interface Training, and Neurorehabilitation. Front Neurosci. 2016;10:584.

[2]. Ramadan RA, Altamimi AB. Unraveling the potential of brain-computer interface technology in medical diagnostics and rehabilitation: A comprehensive literature review. Health Technol. 2024 Mar 1;14(2):263–76.

[3]. Brain-Computer Interface Systems: Recent Progress and Future Prospects - Google Books [Internet]. [cited 2024 Nov 4]. Available from: https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ouecDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=K%C3%BCbler+A,+Holz+E,+Kaufmann+T,+Zickler+C,+K%C3%BCbler+A,+Holz+E,+et+al.+A+user+centred+approach+for+bringing+BCI+controlled+applications+to+end-users.+Brain-computer+interface+systems+-+recent+progress+and+future+prospects+%5BInternet%5D.+2013%3B+%5Bcited+2023+Jun+25%5D.+Available+from:+https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/43505&ots=b-ISnVLfQF&sig=t4Fw1yhH724o4SG88XmbkWdVIxM&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

[4]. Recent advances and current trends in brain-computer interface research and their applications - Zabcikova - 2022 - International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience - Wiley Online Library [Internet]. [cited 2024 Nov 4]. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jdn.10166

[5]. Nicolas-Alonso LF, Gomez-Gil J. Brain Computer Interfaces, a Review. Sensors. 2012 Feb;12(2):1211–79.

[6]. Wolpaw JR, Birbaumer N, Heetderks WJ, McFarland DJ, Peckham PH, Schalk G, et al. Brain-computer interface technology: a review of the first international meeting. IEEE Trans Rehab Eng. 2000 Jun;8(2):164–73.

[7]. Hinterberger T, Schmidt S, Neumann N, Mellinger J, Blankertz B, Curio G, et al. Brain-computer communication and slow cortical potentials. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 2004 Jun;51(6):1011–8.

[8]. NeuroReport [Internet]. [cited 2024 Nov 11]. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/neuroreport/abstract/1999/06030/eeg_based_control_of_a_hand_grasp_neuroprosthesis.26.aspx

[9]. Javaid MA. BRAIN –COMPUTER INTERFACE.

[10]. Deep Learning for Brain MRI Segmentation: State of the Art and Future Directions | Journal of Imaging Informatics in Medicine [Internet]. [cited 2024 Nov 9]. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10278-017-9983-4

[11]. Frontiers | Signal Generation, Acquisition, and Processing in Brain Machine Interfaces: A Unified Review [Internet]. [cited 2024 Nov 9]. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2021.728178/full

[12]. Zhang X, Ma Z, Zheng H, Li T, Chen K, Wang X, et al. The combination of brain-computer interfaces and artificial intelligence: applications and challenges. Annals of Translational Medicine. 2020 Jun;8(11):712–712.

[13]. Wolpaw JR. Brain–computer interfaces as new brain output pathways. The Journal of Physiology. 2007;579(3):613–9.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14942778

[14]. Rao RPN, Scherer R. Brain-Computer Interfacing [In the Spotlight]. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine. 2010 Jul;27(4):152–150.
[15]. G. Garipelli, F. Galn, R. Chavarriaga, P. W. Ferrez, E. Lew and J. R. Milln, "The use of brain-computer interfacing in ambient intelligence", Constr. Ambient Intell., vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 268-285, 2008.
[16]. M. Satyanarayanan, "Pervasive computing: Vision and challenges", IEEE Pers. Commun., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 10-17, Aug. 2001.