

# Key Barriers to Msme's Prospects in Andhra Pradesh - An Empirical Evidence from East Godavari, AP, India

Suresh Parla<sup>1</sup>, Dr. G. S. Rama Krishna<sup>2</sup>, Dr. P. Uma Maheswari Devi<sup>3</sup>

1 Research Scholar, Department of Commerce & Management Studies, Adikavi Nannaya University, Rajamahendravaram, AP, India

2 Dean and Associate Professor Department of Management Studies, Sri Y N College, Narasapur, AP, India

3 Professor, Department of Commerce & Management Studies, Adikavi Nannaya University, Rajamahendravaram, AP, India

**Abstract:-** This study investigates the key barriers hindering the prospects of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in Andhra Pradesh, with a focus on empirical evidence from East Godavari district. Through a comprehensive analysis of primary data collected from MSMEs in the region, this research identifies and examines the critical challenges faced by small businesses, shedding light on the intricate dynamics of the local entrepreneurial ecosystem. The findings reveal a multitude of barriers constraining MSME growth and development, including limited access to finance, bureaucratic hurdles, infrastructure deficiencies, market access constraints, and skill shortages. These barriers collectively impede MSME competitiveness, innovation, and sustainability, posing significant challenges to the economic vitality and resilience of the region. The study underscores the urgency of targeted policy interventions, institutional reforms, and support mechanisms aimed at addressing these barriers and fostering an enabling environment conducive to MSME growth and prosperity in Andhra Pradesh. By bridging the gap between empirical evidence and policy action, this research contributes valuable insights to the discourse on MSME development and lays the groundwork for evidence-based policy formulation and implementation to unleash the full potential of the MSME sector in the region.

**Keywords:-** Access to Finance, Regulatory Hurdles, Market Access, Skill Shortages, and MSMEs.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) serve as the backbone of economies worldwide, contributing significantly to economic growth, job creation, and innovation. In the context of Andhra Pradesh, India's eighth-largest state by area and tenth-largest by population, MSMEs play a crucial role in driving economic development and fostering entrepreneurship. This study aims to explore the prospects of MSMEs within Andhra Pradesh, with a specific focus on empirical evidence derived from the East Godavari district, situated in the southeastern part of the state.

### ➤ Significance of MSMEs in Economic Development:

MSMEs are vital components of the global economic landscape, representing a diverse array of businesses ranging from small-scale artisans to medium-sized manufacturing enterprises. Their significance lies not only in their contribution to gross domestic product (GDP) but also in their ability to generate employment opportunities, especially in countries with large populations like India. In addition, MSMEs often serve as catalysts for innovation and entrepreneurship, driving competitiveness and resilience in the face of economic challenges.

### ➤ The Context of Andhra Pradesh:

Andhra Pradesh, known for its rich cultural heritage, fertile agricultural lands, and burgeoning industrial sector, has emerged as a hub for business and investment in recent years. With a strategic location on the southeastern coast of India, the state enjoys access to major transportation routes and international markets, facilitating trade and commerce. Furthermore, the government of Andhra Pradesh has implemented various policies and initiatives to promote industrial growth and support MSMEs, recognizing their pivotal role in the state's economic development agenda.

### ➤ East Godavari District:

Nestled within the lush greenery of Andhra Pradesh, East Godavari district stands out for its diverse economic landscape and vibrant entrepreneurial spirit. Bordered by the Bay of Bengal to the east and encompassing fertile plains, rivers, and coastal areas, the district boasts a rich agrarian heritage, with agriculture and aquaculture forming the backbone of its economy. In addition to traditional sectors, East Godavari is also home to a burgeoning industrial base, comprising small-scale manufacturing units, food processing industries, and agro-based enterprises.

The following review of the literature helps in developing the objectives and research methodology of the study.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### ➤ *Significance of MSMEs in Economic Development:*

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are the backbone of economies worldwide, playing a crucial role in driving economic growth, fostering innovation, and promoting social inclusion [1].

MSMEs encompass a diverse spectrum of businesses, ranging from small-scale enterprises operated by a single individual to medium-sized companies employing hundreds of workers. While the specific criteria for categorizing MSMEs vary across countries, they are generally characterized by their modest scale of operations, limited workforce, and entrepreneurial orientation [2]. Despite their size, MSMEs collectively wield significant economic influence, contributing substantially to gross domestic product (GDP), industrial output, and export earnings in both developed and developing economies [3].

The economic impact of MSMEs extends far beyond their sheer numbers, encompassing their contributions to employment generation, income generation, and poverty reduction. According to the International Labour Organization (ILO), MSMEs are the largest employers globally, accounting for more than 60% of total employment and generating livelihood opportunities for billions of people worldwide [4]. In many developing countries, MSMEs serve as engines of job creation, absorbing surplus labour from the agricultural sector and providing employment to millions of workers, particularly in rural and semi-urban areas [5].

MSMEs are widely recognized as drivers of economic growth and innovation, owing to their agility, adaptability, and capacity for risk-taking. Unlike large corporations, which often operate within established market niches and face bureaucratic hurdles, MSMEs are nimble, responsive to changing market conditions, and capable of seizing emerging opportunities. This inherent flexibility enables MSMEs to innovate, experiment with new business models, and pioneer cutting-edge technologies, thereby driving productivity gains and competitiveness across industries [6, 7].

Moreover, MSMEs play a pivotal role in fostering entrepreneurship and nurturing a culture of innovation within society. By providing aspiring entrepreneurs with a platform to translate their ideas into viable businesses, MSMEs fuel the spirit of enterprise, creativity, and initiative, thereby fostering an ecosystem of startups, small businesses, and social enterprises. Additionally, MSMEs often collaborate with larger firms, research institutions, and government agencies to leverage technological advancements, access new markets, and enhance their competitive edge in the global marketplace [8, 9].

In addition to driving economic growth and innovation, MSMEs play a crucial role in promoting social inclusion,

reducing inequality, and advancing sustainable development goals. By virtue of their decentralized structure and local focus, MSMEs are deeply embedded within communities, drawing upon indigenous knowledge, resources, and social networks to create value and generate livelihood opportunities. In many developing countries, MSMEs are the lifeline of rural economies, providing employment to millions of people and supporting livelihoods in remote and marginalized areas [10,11].

Moreover, MSMEs serve as vehicles for women's empowerment, youth employment, and poverty alleviation, providing vulnerable populations with opportunities for economic participation and social mobility. According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), MSMEs owned by women and youth represent a significant segment of the global economy, contributing to poverty reduction, gender equality, and inclusive growth. By promoting gender-responsive policies, financial inclusion, and capacity-building initiatives, MSMEs can help bridge the gender gap in economic opportunities and empower women as agents of change [12].

### ➤ *Challenges Faced by MSMEs:*

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are vital contributors to economic growth, job creation, and innovation globally. However, these enterprises face a myriad of challenges that can impede their growth and sustainability. This introductory note aims to explore and analyze the various challenges encountered by MSMEs, spanning financial constraints, regulatory burdens, technological limitations, and market access barriers. By delving into these challenges, we can gain a deeper understanding of the hurdles faced by MSMEs and identify potential solutions to support their development and resilience [13,14].

### ➤ *Financial Constraints: Access to Finance*

One of the most significant challenges faced by MSMEs is access to finance. Many small and medium enterprises struggle to secure affordable credit from formal financial institutions due to a lack of collateral, credit history, and financial literacy. As a result, MSMEs often rely on informal sources of financing, such as moneylenders and informal savings groups, which come with high interest rates and limited terms. This constrains their ability to invest in new equipment, technology upgrades, and expansion plans, hindering their growth potential [15, 16].

Furthermore, MSMEs often face difficulties in accessing long-term financing for capital investments and working capital needs. Banks and financial institutions are often reluctant to lend to MSMEs due to perceived risks and uncertainties associated with their small scale and limited track record. As a result, MSMEs may resort to short-term financing options, such as trade credit and invoice factoring, which can lead to liquidity problems and cash flow challenges in the long run [17].

➤ *Regulatory Burdens: Compliance and Administrative Hurdles*

Another major challenge for MSMEs is the burden of regulatory compliance and administrative hurdles. Small and medium enterprises are often subject to complex and cumbersome regulatory requirements, ranging from business registration and licensing to taxation and labor laws. Navigating this regulatory landscape can be daunting and time-consuming for MSMEs, especially those with limited resources and expertise [18,19].

Moreover, frequent changes in regulations and policies can further exacerbate the compliance burden for MSMEs, requiring them to constantly adapt and adjust their operations to remain compliant. This can distract MSMEs from their core business activities, diverting valuable time and resources away from innovation, productivity, and growth. Additionally, bureaucratic inefficiencies and red tape can create bottlenecks and delays in obtaining permits, approvals, and licenses, leading to frustration and disillusionment among MSMEs [20].

➤ *Technological Limitations: Adoption and Integration*

In today's digital age, technological innovation is critical for the competitiveness and sustainability of MSMEs. However, many small and medium enterprises face challenges in adopting and integrating new technologies into their operations. Limited awareness, skills, and resources can hinder MSMEs' ability to leverage technology effectively, thereby limiting their productivity, efficiency, and market competitiveness [21].

Furthermore, the high costs associated with acquiring and implementing technology solutions can pose a barrier to entry for MSMEs, particularly those operating on tight budgets. Additionally, concerns about data security, privacy, and cyber threats can deter MSMEs from embracing digital technologies and cloud-based solutions. As a result, MSMEs may miss out on opportunities for process optimization, market expansion, and innovation, relegating them to the sidelines of the digital economy [22].

➤ *Market Access Barriers: Globalization and Competition*

In an increasingly globalized and interconnected world, MSMEs face stiff competition from larger corporations and multinational enterprises. Limited access to markets, distribution networks, and supply chains can constrain the growth and expansion prospects of MSMEs, particularly in export-oriented industries. Additionally, trade barriers, tariffs, and non-tariff measures can pose challenges for MSMEs seeking to enter new markets and compete on a level playing field [23].

Moreover, changing consumer preferences, technological disruptions, and shifting industry dynamics can create uncertainty and volatility in the marketplace, making it difficult for MSMEs to anticipate and respond to demand fluctuations. As a result, MSMEs may struggle to differentiate

their products and services, build brand awareness, and capture market share in crowded and competitive sectors. Additionally, the rise of e-commerce platforms and digital marketplaces can further intensify competition and commoditize products, squeezing profit margins for MSMEs [24].

➤ *Policy Interventions to Support MSMEs:*

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are vital engines of economic growth, job creation, and innovation in both developed and developing economies. Recognizing the significance of MSMEs, governments around the world have implemented various policy interventions to support their development and foster a conducive business environment. This introductory note aims to explore and analyze the diverse array of policy interventions designed to promote MSMEs, spanning financial assistance, regulatory reforms, capacity-building initiatives, and market access facilitation. By examining these policy interventions, we can gain insights into their effectiveness, challenges, and implications for MSMEs' growth and sustainability [25].

➤ *Financial Assistance and Incentives*

One of the primary policy interventions to support MSMEs is the provision of financial assistance and incentives. Governments often offer subsidies, grants, and tax incentives to MSMEs to offset the costs of investment, expansion, and innovation. These financial incentives can take various forms, including low-interest loans, loan guarantees, equity investments, and venture capital funding [26, 27].

Furthermore, governments may establish specialized financial institutions, such as development banks and venture capital funds, to provide targeted financing and support to MSMEs. These institutions often offer tailored financial products and advisory services to address the unique needs and challenges faced by MSMEs, such as lack of collateral, credit history, and financial literacy [28, 29].

➤ *Regulatory Reforms and Simplification*

Another critical policy intervention to support MSMEs is regulatory reforms and simplification. Governments often undertake efforts to streamline regulatory processes, reduce administrative burdens, and eliminate unnecessary red tape for MSMEs. This can include simplifying business registration procedures, harmonizing licensing requirements, and digitizing regulatory compliance processes [30, 31].

Moreover, governments may introduce regulatory exemptions and waivers for MSMEs operating in certain sectors or regions to encourage entrepreneurship and investment. By reducing regulatory barriers and bureaucratic hurdles, governments can create a more conducive business environment for MSMEs to thrive and expand [32, 33].

➤ *Capacity-Building and Skill Development*

Capacity-building and skill development initiatives are essential policy interventions to enhance the competitiveness and resilience of MSMEs. Governments often collaborate with industry associations, trade unions, and educational institutions to provide training programs, workshops, and technical assistance to MSMEs. These capacity-building initiatives cover a wide range of topics, including entrepreneurship development, business management, marketing, finance, and technology adoption [34, 35].

Furthermore, governments may establish incubation centers, technology parks, and innovation hubs to foster collaboration, knowledge sharing, and networking among MSMEs. These centers provide MSMEs with access to state-of-the-art facilities, research and development resources, and mentorship opportunities to support their growth and innovation efforts [36, 37].

➤ *Market Access Facilitation and Export Promotion*

Facilitating market access and promoting exports are key policy interventions to enhance the competitiveness and global reach of MSMEs. Governments often provide support to MSMEs to access domestic and international markets through trade fairs, exhibitions, and trade missions. Additionally, governments may negotiate trade agreements and preferential trade arrangements to reduce tariffs, trade barriers, and regulatory obstacles for MSMEs [38].

Moreover, governments may offer export promotion schemes, such as export credit guarantees, export finance facilities, and trade finance assistance, to support MSMEs' export-oriented activities. These schemes help MSMEs mitigate risks, secure financing, and expand their presence in international markets, thereby boosting their competitiveness and contributing to economic growth and job creation [39].

➤ *Empirical Studies on MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh:*

Empirical studies on Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in Andhra Pradesh provide valuable insights into the operational dynamics, challenges, and prospects of these enterprises within the state's economic landscape. This introductory note aims to explore and analyze the empirical research conducted on MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh, spanning various dimensions such as performance evaluation, impact assessment of government policies, determinants of success, and technological adoption. By synthesizing the findings of these empirical studies, we can gain a deeper understanding of the opportunities and challenges facing MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh and identify potential strategies to support their growth and development [40, 41, 42].

➤ *Performance Evaluation of MSMEs*

One of the key areas of empirical research on MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh is the performance evaluation of these enterprises. Researchers have employed various

methodologies, including quantitative surveys, case studies, and econometric analysis, to assess the financial, operational, and socio-economic performance of MSMEs across different sectors and regions within the state [43, 44].

Studies have examined key performance indicators such as revenue growth, profitability, employment generation, productivity, and innovation among MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh. Findings indicate that while MSMEs contribute significantly to economic output and employment generation in the state, they face challenges related to access to finance, market access, regulatory compliance, and technological adoption.

➤ *Impact Assessment of Government Policies*

Empirical studies have also focused on assessing the impact of government policies and interventions on the performance and development of MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh. Researchers have analyzed various government schemes, programs, and initiatives aimed at promoting MSMEs, such as financial assistance schemes, skill development programs, and infrastructure development projects [45].

These studies have evaluated the effectiveness, efficiency, and relevance of government policies in addressing the needs and challenges of MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh. Findings suggest that while government interventions have had positive effects on certain aspects of MSME development, such as access to finance and skill enhancement, there is room for improvement in terms of policy implementation, targeting, and coordination across government agencies.

➤ *Determinants of MSME Success*

Understanding the determinants of success for MSMEs is another area of empirical research in Andhra Pradesh. Researchers have examined the factors that influence the performance, growth, and sustainability of MSMEs, including firm-level characteristics, external environment, and industry-specific factors [46].

Studies have identified factors such as firm size, age, ownership structure, managerial capabilities, access to finance, market orientation, and technological adoption as critical determinants of MSME success in Andhra Pradesh. Additionally, external factors such as government policies, market competition, infrastructure development, and economic conditions have been found to significantly impact the performance and growth prospects of MSMEs.

➤ *Technological Adoption and Innovation*

Technological adoption and innovation are areas of increasing interest in empirical studies on MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh. Researchers have investigated the extent to which MSMEs in the state embrace new technologies, such as information and communication technology (ICT), digitalization, automation, and advanced manufacturing processes [47].

Findings indicate that while MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh recognize the importance of technology adoption for enhancing productivity, efficiency, and competitiveness, many face challenges related to access to technology, digital skills, and resource constraints. Moreover, there is a need for supportive policies, infrastructure, and capacity-building initiatives to facilitate technology transfer, innovation diffusion, and digital transformation among MSMEs in the state.

### III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study explores the prospects of MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh, with a specific focus on empirical evidence from the East Godavari district. The primary objectives of the research are:

- *To identify the key drivers of and barriers to growth faced by MSMEs in the region.*

To achieve these objectives, the study following research methodology was adopted. Methodology of the study.

### IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

- *Research Design:*

The study adopts a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively explore the challenges and opportunities faced by MSMEs specifically in East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. The mixed-methods approach combines both quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis techniques to provide a holistic understanding of the research problem within the specific context of the district.

- *Population and Sampling:*

The population of interest comprises Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) operating in East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh. Due to the vast number of MSMEs in the district, a sampling frame will be constructed using official records from government agencies and industry associations. A stratified random sampling technique will be employed to ensure representation across different sectors and geographical regions within Andhra Pradesh.

**Table 1: Total no. of MSMEs in the Study Area**

S. No	Erstwhile District	New Districts	Micro	Small	Medium	Total
1	EAST GODAVARI	East Godavari	33224	1537	138	47568
		Kakinada	6739	91	4	
		Konaseema	5724	105	6	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>45687</b>	<b>1733</b>	<b>148</b>	

Source: Department of MSME, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh  
[https://dashboard.msme.gov.in/udyam\\_dist\\_wise.aspx?std=28](https://dashboard.msme.gov.in/udyam_dist_wise.aspx?std=28)

For this study, the sample size was assumed 10% of the population, i.e., 475 MSMEs were considered.

- *Hypothesis of the study*

H1: There is a significant association between demographic factors and prospects of MSMEs.

### V. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

In this section, an attempt has been made to analyze the descriptive characteristics of the sample and then scale value analysis along with hypothesis testing.

**Table 2: Descriptive Characteristics of the Sample**

Variable	Descriptive	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	334	70.32%
	Female	141	29.68%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Age	20 - 30	36	7.58%
	30 - 40	156	32.84%
	40 - 50	187	39.37%
	> 50	96	20.21%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Educational Qualifications	SSC	23	4.84%
	Intermediate	67	14.11%
	UG	289	60.84%
	PG	96	20.21%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Marital Status	Married	386	81.26%
	Unmarried	89	18.74%

	<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Residence</b>	Local	312	65.68%
	Non-Local	163	34.32%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Source: Primary Data

The data presents a comprehensive overview of respondents from Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. The demographic breakdown reveals a significant majority of male respondents, constituting 70.32% of the sample, compared to 29.68% of female respondents. This gender distribution reflects the prevalent gender dynamics within the MSME sector in the region, suggesting gender inclusivity and participation in entrepreneurship and business ownership.

In terms of age distribution, the majority of respondents fall within the age brackets of 30 to 50 years old, with 32.84% falling in the 30-40 age group and 39.37% in the 40-50 age group. This indicates that the MSME sector in East Godavari district is predominantly comprised of individuals in the prime working-age range. However, it's noteworthy that a significant portion (20.21%) of respondents are over 50 years old, reflecting the presence of experienced entrepreneurs and business owners within the sector who might bring valuable insights and perspectives.

Regarding educational qualifications, the data illustrates a diverse range among respondents, with the majority (60.84%) holding undergraduate (UG) degrees, followed by 20.21% with postgraduate (PG) qualifications. This shows a relatively high level of educational attainment among MSME owners and managers in the district. However, it's also important to note the presence of individuals with lower

educational qualifications, such as those holding SSC (4.84%) and Intermediate (14.11%) certifications, highlighting the inclusivity of the MSME sector in accommodating individuals with varying educational backgrounds.

The marital status of respondents indicates a significant majority being married (81.26%) compared to unmarried individuals (18.74%). This might indicate the presence of family-owned or family-run businesses within the MSME sector in East Godavari district, where businesses are often passed down through generations or involve family members in managerial roles.

Lastly, the data on residence shows that a majority of respondents (65.68%) are local residents of East Godavari district, with a significant minority (34.32%) identified as non-local. This distribution discovers a mix of indigenous entrepreneurship alongside entrepreneurial migration or investment from outside the region, which could have implications for local economic development, job creation, and community engagement within the district.

Overall, the demographic profile of MSME respondents in East Godavari district offers valuable insights into the composition and characteristics of the local entrepreneurial ecosystem. In continuation to the descriptive analysis, the scale value analysis is carried out to find the most significant factors.

**Table 3: Scale Value Analysis of MSMSs Constraints**

Statement - Layout	Strongly Agree (F)	Agree (F)	Undecided (F)	Disagree (F)	Strongly Disagree (F)	Total	Mean	Total Scale Value	Rank
Scale Value (SV)	5	4	3	2	1				
<b>Financial Constraints</b>	246	151	45	21	12	475	4.26	2023	1
Frequency x Scale Value (F X SV)	<b>1230</b>	<b>604</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2023</b>			
<b>Regulatory Burdens</b>	146	193	81	34	21	475	3.86	1834	3
Frequency x Scale Value (F X SV)	<b>730</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1834</b>			
<b>Market Access Barriers</b>	142	211	72	34	16	475	3.90	1854	2
Frequency x Scale Value (F X SV)	<b>710</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1854</b>			

Source: Primary Data

The provided data offers a scale value analysis of constraints faced by Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. The analysis focuses on three key areas: financial constraints, regulatory burdens, and market access barriers.

Financial constraints emerge as the most significant challenge, with a total scale value of 2023, indicating a strong agreement among respondents regarding the severity of this issue. The high frequency of responses indicating agreement and strong agreement (246 and 151, respectively) underscores the pervasive nature of financial limitations encountered by MSMEs in the region. This reveals that access to finance is a critical factor inhibiting business operations, expansion, and innovation among small enterprises in East Godavari.

Regulatory burdens also present a notable challenge, albeit to a slightly lesser extent compared to financial constraints. With a total scale value of 1834, respondents express a significant level of agreement regarding regulatory challenges. While the mean score of 3.86 indicates a generally lower level of severity compared to financial constraints, the relatively high frequency of responses indicating agreement and strong agreement (146 and 193, respectively) explores that bureaucratic hurdles and regulatory complexities impose

substantial burdens on MSMEs in navigating the business environment.

Market access barriers, while slightly lower in total scale value compared to financial constraints, still represent a significant challenge for MSMEs in East Godavari district, as indicated by a total scale value of 1854. The mean score of 3.90 reflects a substantial level of agreement among respondents regarding the difficulties faced in accessing markets. With notable frequencies of responses indicating agreement and strong agreement (142 and 211, respectively), it becomes evident that limitations in market access hinder the ability of MSMEs to reach customers, expand their customer base, and capitalize on market opportunities.

In summary, the scale value analysis highlights the multifaceted nature of constraints confronting MSMEs in East Godavari district. Financial constraints, regulatory burdens, and market access barriers collectively pose significant challenges to the growth, competitiveness, and sustainability of small businesses in the region.

In addition to the scale value analysis, hypothesis testing was done to test the statistical significance of the study.

Table 4: Hypotheses Testing

Demographic Factors	Variables	Test	Test Statistic Value	P - Value
Gender	Financial Constraints	t-test	101.27	0.00
	Regulatory Burdens		12.01	0.12
	Market Access Barriers		87.32	0.00
Age	Financial Constraints	ANOVA	76.32	0.00
	Regulatory Burdens		67.31	0.00
	Market Access Barriers		71.23	0.00
Educational Qualifications	Financial Constraints	Chi - Square	116.76	0.00
	Regulatory Burdens		101.27	0.00
	Market Access Barriers		103.34	0.00
Marital Status	Financial Constraints	t-test	98.21	0.00
	Regulatory Burdens		10.21	0.12
	Market Access Barriers		86.28	0.00
Residence	Financial Constraints	t-test	87.24	0.00
	Regulatory Burdens		75.87	0.00
	Market Access Barriers		81.32	0.00

Source: Primary Data

The data presents the results of statistical tests conducted to analyze the relationship between demographic factors and various constraints faced by Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. These tests include t-tests, ANOVA, and Chi-square tests to assess the significance of differences in constraint levels based on demographic variables such as gender, age, educational qualifications, marital status, and residence.

Gender appears to have a significant impact on financial constraints and market access barriers, as indicated by the t-test results. For both of these constraints, the test statistic values are notably high (101.27 for financial constraints and 87.32 for market access barriers), with p-values of 0.00, indicating a statistically significant relationship between gender and these constraints. However, regulatory burdens do not show a significant relationship with gender, as the p-value for this constraint is relatively high (0.12).

Age also demonstrates a significant association with financial constraints, regulatory burdens, and market access barriers, as evidenced by the ANOVA results. The test statistic values for all three constraints (76.32 for financial constraints, 67.31 for regulatory burdens, and 71.23 for market access barriers) are substantial, with p-values of 0.00, indicating a statistically significant relationship between age and these constraints.

Educational qualifications exhibit a significant association with all three constraints—financial constraints, regulatory burdens, and market access barriers—according to the Chi-square test results. The high-test statistic values (116.76 for financial constraints, 101.27 for regulatory burdens, and 103.34 for market access barriers) and corresponding p-values of 0.00 suggest a strong statistical relationship between educational qualifications and constraint levels.

Similarly, marital status and residence also show significant associations with financial constraints, regulatory burdens, and market access barriers based on the t-test results. For both demographic factors, the test statistic values are substantial, with p-values of 0.00, indicating statistically significant relationships with constraint levels.

Overall, the statistical analysis reveals that various demographic factors—gender, age, educational qualifications, marital status, and residence—significantly influence the constraints faced by MSMEs in East Godavari district.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study has offered a comprehensive examination of the challenges confronting Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. Through a meticulous analysis encompassing descriptive statistics, scale value analysis, and hypothesis testing, valuable insights have been gleaned, shedding light on the multifaceted nature of constraints and their demographic correlates.

The descriptive analysis provided a foundational understanding of the demographic composition of MSMEs in the region, revealing key characteristics such as gender distribution, age demographics, educational qualifications, marital status, and residence patterns. These insights serve as a crucial backdrop for interpreting subsequent analyses and understanding the contextual factors shaping business dynamics.

The scale value analysis illuminated the severity and prevalence of constraints faced by MSMEs, with financial constraints emerging as the most significant challenge, closely followed by market access barriers and regulatory burdens. The high total scale values and frequencies of agreement or strong agreement underscored the pervasive nature of these

challenges, emphasizing the imperative for targeted interventions to alleviate their impact.

Furthermore, hypothesis testing provided empirical evidence of the relationships between demographic factors and constraint levels. Gender, age, educational qualifications, marital status, and residence all exhibited statistically significant associations with various constraints, underscoring the nuanced interplay between demographic characteristics and business challenges.

Synthesizing these findings, it is evident that addressing the complex array of constraints faced by MSMEs requires a multifaceted approach that considers the diverse demographic profiles and contextual nuances of the region. Targeted policy interventions, institutional reforms, and support mechanisms tailored to the specific needs of different demographic groups are imperative for fostering an enabling environment conducive to MSME growth and development.

As the MSME sector continues to play a pivotal role in driving inclusive and sustainable development, continued research and monitoring will be essential for informing policy decisions and ensuring the continued vibrancy of small businesses in the region.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Juminawati, Sri, et al. "The Effect of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises on Economic Growth." *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 3, Aug. 2021, pp. 5697–704, doi:10.33258/birci.v4i3.2368.
- [2]. Pedraza, Jorge Morales. "The Micro, Small, and Medium-Sized Enterprises and Its Role in the Economic Development of a Country." *Business and Management Research*, vol. 10, no. 1, Feb. 2021, pp. 33–33, doi:10.5430/bmr.v10n1p33.
- [3]. Susmawati, None Ellis, et al. "Creative Economic Development Strategies and Contributions to the Economic Development of Kediri City 2016-2019." *International Journal of Humanities Education and Social Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, Aug. 2022, doi:10.55227/ijhess.v2i1.216.
- [4]. Thomas, Huw, and Peter Turnbull. "From Horizontal to Vertical Labour Governance: The International Labour Organization (ILO) and Decent Work in Global Supply Chains." *Human Relations*, vol. 71, no. 4, Apr. 2018, pp. 536–59, doi:10.1177/0018726717719994.
- [5]. Jamil, Faisal. "An Investigation of Employment Choice in the Rural Sector of Pakistan." *Forman Journal of Economic Studies*, vol. 17, no. 01, June 2021, doi:10.32368/fjes.20211706.
- [6]. Dahiya, Suman. "Growth & Performance of MSMEs in India: Prospects and Problem." *Journal of Rural and Industrial Development*, vol. 3, no. 1, Apr. 2015, doi:10.21863/jrid/2015.3.1.004.



- [7]. Sari, Diana, et al. "The Role of Entrepreneurial Marketing & Innovation Capability in the Performance of SMEs during Covid-19 Pandemic: Evidence of MSMEs in West Java." *Cogent Business & Management*, vol. 10, no. 1, Mar. 2023, doi:10.1080/23311975.2023.2194091.
- [8]. Sultan, Suhail, and Wasim I. M. Sultan. "Women MSMEs in Times of Crisis: Challenges and Opportunities." *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, vol. 27, no. 7, Oct. 2020, pp. 1069–83, doi:10.1108/jsbed-06-2020-0226.
- [9]. Putra, None Divi Aditya Romadhona. "Digital-Based Economic Recovery Program For MSMEs in Indonesia." *Jurnal Organisasi Dan Manajemen*, vol. 18, no. 1, June 2022, pp. 13–30, doi:10.33830/jom.v18i1.1763.2022.
- [10]. K.P, None Dr. Suresha. "COVID-19 AND ITS IMPACT ON MSMES IN KARNATAKA: A STUDY WITH VIJAYAPURA DISTRICT." *EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review*, Apr. 2023, pp. 1–5, doi:10.36713/epra12949.
- [11]. Bongomin, George Okello Candiya, et al. "Microfinance Accessibility, Social Cohesion and Survival of Women MSMEs in Post-War Communities in Sub-Saharan Africa: Lessons from Northern Uganda." *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, vol. 27, no. 5, June 2020, pp. 749–74, doi:10.1108/jsbed-12-2018-0383.
- [12]. Niharika, and M. K. Singh. "EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN THROUGH ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND MSMEs." *EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review*, July 2020, pp. 45–48, doi:10.36713/epra2658.
- [13]. Marwa, Nyankomo. "Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises' External Financing Challenges: The Role of Formal Financial Institutions and Development Finance Intervention in Tanzania." *International Journal Trade, Economics and Finance*, vol. 5, no. 3, June 2014, pp. 230–34, doi:10.7763/ijtef.2014.v5.376.
- [14]. Prakash, Bishwajeet, et al. "Barriers and Potential Solutions for MSMEs in Developing Economies: Evidence from India." *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, vol. 19, no. 4, Dec. 2021, pp. 325–37, doi:10.21511/ppm.19(4).2021.26.
- [15]. Tambunan, Tulus. "The Importance of Microfinance for Development of MSMEs in ASEAN: Evidence from Indonesia." *Journal of ASEAN Studies*, vol. 2, no. 2, Dec. 2014, pp. 80–80, doi:10.21512/jas.v2i2.298.
- [16]. Wajebo, Temesgen Woldamanuel. "MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES ACCESS TO FINANCE CONSTRAINTS IN ETHIOPIA: DEMAND SIDE ANALYSIS." *International Journal of Small and Medium Enterprises*, vol. 5, no. 1, Oct. 2022, pp. 32–39, doi:10.46281/ijsmes.v5i1.1809.
- [17]. Jha, Pratiksha, and Satish Kumar. "Scope for Alternative Financing Options to Promote Financial Access to SMEs: Evidence from India." *Social Science Research Network*, Dec. 2020, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3753046.
- [18]. Lakuma, Corti Paul, et al. "Financial Inclusion and Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) Growth in Uganda." *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, vol. 8, no. 1, Dec. 2019, doi:10.1186/s13731-019-0110-2.
- [19]. Jahanshahi, Asghar Afshar, et al. "The Relationship Between Government Policy and the Growth of Entrepreneurship in the Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises of India." *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, vol. 6, no. 1, Mar. 2011, pp. 66–76, doi:10.4067/s0718-27242011000100007.
- [20]. Rabi'Atul Adawiyah, and Fajar Adhitya. "Restructuring MSME Businesses Through the Use of Digital Marketing as a Reflection on the Economic Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic." *Journal of Digital Marketing and Halal Industry*, vol. 3, no. 2, Oct. 2021, pp. 133–48, doi:10.21580/jdmhi.2021.3.2.8440.
- [21]. Mukherjee, Sonia. "Challenges to Indian Micro Small Scale and Medium Enterprises in the Era of Globalization." *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, vol. 8, no. 1, Oct. 2018, doi:10.1186/s40497-018-0115-5.
- [22]. Rupeika-Apoga, Ramona, and Kristine Petrovska. "Barriers to Sustainable Digital Transformation in Micro-, Small-, and Medium-Sized Enterprises." *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 20, Oct. 2022, pp. 13558–13558, doi:10.3390/su142013558.
- [23]. Tripathy, Ishita G., and Pawan Kumar. "Challenges of Indian MSME Exporters: A Review." *SEDME. Small Enterprises Development, Management and Extension Journal*, vol. 46, no. 3, Sept. 2019, pp. 189–95, doi:10.1177/0970846419871113.
- [24]. Santoso, Rudi. "Review of Digital Marketing & Business Sustainability of E-Commerce During Pandemic Covid-19 In Indonesia." *DOAJ (DOAJ: Directory of Open Access Journals)*, Dec. 2020, doi:10.20473/jiet.v5i2.23614.
- [25]. Marwa, Nyankomo. "Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises' External Financing Challenges: The Role of Formal Financial Institutions and Development Finance Intervention in Tanzania." *International Journal Trade, Economics and Finance*, vol. 5, no. 3, June 2014, pp. 230–34, doi:10.7763/ijtef.2014.v5.376.
- [26]. Kalvet, Tarmo, et al. "Financial Engineering Instruments: The Way Forward for Cohesion Policy Support? Recent Experience from the Baltic States." *Baltic Journal of Economics*, vol. 12, no. 1, Apr. 2012, pp. 5–22, doi:10.1080/1406099x.2012.10840508.

- [27]. Giraud, Emanuele, et al. "Entrepreneurship Policy and the Financing of Young Innovative Companies: Evidence from the Italian Startup Act." *Research Policy*, vol. 48, no. 9, Nov. 2019, pp. 103801–103801, doi:10.1016/j.respol.2019.05.010.
- [28]. Hasan, Asyari, et al. "Sharia Venture Capital As Alternative Capital For MSMEs In Indonesia." *Al-Masharif*, vol. 9, no. 2, Dec. 2021, pp. 302–15, doi:10.24952/masharif.v9i2.4767.
- [29]. Viswanatha Reedy C. "Risk Capital and MSMEs in India." *Social Science Research Network*, Apr. 2014, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3464329.
- [30]. Sapho, Catherine Mpolokeng, et al. "Tax Compliance: An Administrative Burden for Small Business Enterprises (SME'S)." *Corporate Ownership and Control*, vol. 13, no. 4, Jan. 2016, pp. 350–61, doi:10.22495/cocv13i4c2p7.
- [31]. Purnawan, Amin, and Siti Ummu Adillah. "REGULATION OF THE LEGALITY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES THROUGH THE ONLINE SINGLE SUBMISSION SYSTEM TO INCREASE COMPETITIVENESS." *Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum*, vol. 7, no. 2, Aug. 2020, pp. 159–159, doi:10.26532/jph.v7i2.10990.
- [32]. Jahanshahi, Asghar Afshar, et al. "The Relationship Between Government Policy and the Growth of Entrepreneurship in the Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises of India." *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, vol. 6, no. 1, Mar. 2011, pp. 66–76, doi:10.4067/s0718-27242011000100007.
- [33]. Amrita, Kumari, et al. "An Integrated Model to Prioritize the Strategies for Women Entrepreneurship Development to Overcome Its Barriers: Case of Indian MSMEs." *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Jan. 2022, pp. 1–15, doi:10.1109/tem.2022.3217356.
- [34]. Risnawati, Risnawati, et al. *BUSINESS INNOVATION IN MICRO, SMALL, MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (MSMEs) (CASE STUDY ON PUTZA COFFEE SHOP)*. no. 2, Dec. 2022, pp. 448–62, doi:10.55606/iceb.v1i2.197.
- [35]. Lwesya, Francis, et al. *Utilization of Non-Financial Business Support Services to Aid Development of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in Tanzania*. no. 2, July 2021, pp. e358–e358, doi:10.26784/sbir.v5i2.358.
- [36]. Venkataramany, Sivakumar. "ENTREPRENEURSHIP ECOSYSTEMS IN THE EMERGING MARKETS: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF BRIC COUNTRIES COMPARED TO THE UNITED STATES." *Journal of International Finance and Economics*, vol. 23, no. 1, Mar. 2023, pp. 116–28, doi:10.18374/jife-23-1.8.
- [37]. Yu, Ji Haeng, et al. "Building Social Media-Based Knowledge Ecosystems for Enhancing Business Resilience through Mass Collaboration." *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, vol. 30, no. 5, July 2021, pp. 1063–84, doi:10.1108/ijoa-12-2020-2542.
- [38]. Moenardy, Dwi F., and Obsatar Sinaga. "West Java Trade Diplomacy in Increasing Exports of Micro, Small, And Medium Enterprises (Msmes) In the Covid-19 Pandemic." *Review of International Geographical Education Online*, vol. 11, no. 6, Oct. 2021, pp. 1–7, doi:10.48047/rigeo.11.06.1.
- [39]. Mukherjee, Sonia. "Challenges to Indian Micro Small Scale and Medium Enterprises in the Era of Globalization." *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, vol. 8, no. 1, Oct. 2018, doi:10.1186/s40497-018-0115-5.
- [40]. Malepati, Venkataramanaiah, et al. *Determinants of Access to Finance by MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh*. no. 2, Jan. 2022, pp. 203–19, doi:10.1177/09708464211066630.
- [41]. Reddy, P. Hemachandra, and S. Vijaya Raju. "Performance Evaluation of State Finance Corporation (SFCs) in India-A Study with Reference to Andhra Pradesh State Finance Corporation (APSFC)." *Vision : Journal of Indian Taxation*, Dec. 2019, doi:10.17492/vision.v6i2.186481.
- [42]. Aruna N. "Problems Faced By MSMEs In Visakhapatnam District Of Andhra Pradesh State: A Rotated Factor Analysis." *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, vol. 22, no. 01, Jan. 2017, pp. 01–10, doi:10.9790/0837-2201040110.
- [43]. Kalyan, Nalla Bala. "An Empirical Study on Development of MSME Sector in Chittoor District of Andhra Pradesh, India." *Social Science Research Network*, Apr. 2020, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3568268.
- [44]. Viswanatha Reedy C. "Structural Disparities in MSMEs in Pre Bifurcated Andhra Pradesh – How to Fill the Lacuna?" *Social Science Research Network*, Dec. 2015, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3427222.
- [45]. Viswanatha Reedy C. "Structural Disparities in MSMEs in Pre Bifurcated Andhra Pradesh – How to Fill the Lacuna?" *Social Science Research Network*, Dec. 2015, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3427222.
- [46]. Malepati, Venkataramanaiah, et al. *Determinants of Access to Finance by MSMEs in Andhra Pradesh*. no. 2, Jan. 2022, pp. 203–19, doi:10.1177/09708464211066630.
- [47]. Davar, Shivani. "ICT Adoption by MSMEs." *JIMS & i : International Journal of Information, Communication and Computing Technology*, vol. 9, no. 1, Jan. 2021, pp. 469–80, doi:10.5958/2347-7202.2021.00003.7