

Improved Public Trust, Integrity and Good Governance with Respect to Corruption

Dr. John Motsamai Modise
South African Police Service

Phemelo Sheldon Modise
North-West University, Potchefstroom

Abstract:- The idea of good governance is the main topic of this article. It examines many concepts of good governance and methods for evaluating it. The concept of "governance" and "good governance" is introduced, along with how they relate to the global sustainable development goal. The following sections cover the measurement of good governance, the eight principles of good governance, corruption and poor governance, governance reforms, and anti-corruption. Since the articles are multi-disciplinary, they may simply be modified to fit various local and cultural settings while focusing on universal ideals and challenges. The article also aims to give readers the tools they need to implement and propagate these standards in daily life, the workplace, and society. It also aims to increase readers' ethical awareness and dedication to performing honorably from a theoretical perspective, to improve efficiency. Sustain interest and support the growth of critical thinking, problem-solving, and communication skills—all essential for ethical training. It is suggested that a variety of traits be had in order to accomplish these goals, with the ability to:

- Strike a balance between practical and general ethics;
- Make connections between morality and other world issues;
- Stress the value of morality and ethics in daily life;
- Place an emphasis on global ethics and universal principles while allowing for a variety of regional and cultural viewpoints.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to studies and discussions posted on the World Bank website, the idea of public sector governance has been crucial in determining countries' economic trajectories during the previous few decades. The discussion of governance and the fight against corruption have been closely related because of the detrimental effects that corruption has on governance. As a result, "good governance" and the fight against corruption are frequently linked, whereas corruption is frequently linked to "poor governance" (see UNESCAP, 20093). In addition, there is disagreement over how to define the complicated ideas of governance and corruption. In addition to conceptual issues, methodological issues also occur when attempting to assess the degree of good governance or the incidence and severity of corruption for the purpose of making policy decisions. Additionally, contradictory or insufficient empirical evidence has plagued recommendations to promote better governance or to lower corruption. As a result, there is still uncertainty regarding their efficacy and how to quantify governance and corruption despite

enormous investment in governance and anti-corruption instruments over the past few decades.

Various definitions of public sector governance are discussed, along with examples of good governance practices that can help stop or lessen corruption. Many disciplines, such as public administration, political science, ethics, law, and economics, can be incorporated into governance measures.

As a result, the Module covers pertinent ideas from a range of academic topics and disciplines. It offers a comprehensive viewpoint on the planning of State action and the justification for various State interventions that direct people in making moral decisions in public, private, and civil society organizations. The article's goal is not to be exhaustive; rather, it is to highlight the key aspects of the interaction between corruption and good governance and to examine the topics through thought-provoking instances. People who are interested in learning more about the value of morality and integrity in the public, private, and larger community.

II. PUBLIC TRUST IN GOVERNMENT MATTERS

Many academics have noted that trust is a multidimensional, nuanced, and somewhat ambiguous notion that is challenging to define and study (Cheema, 2010; Van der Meer, 2010). Because of this, the meaning of the word "trust" can take on many distinct colors (Grimmelikhuijsen, et al. 2013). So, it is not surprising that a wide range of people, including academics, journalists, funding organizations, and governments, have differing opinions on trust. Notwithstanding these varied perspectives on trust, scientists considered that it is a psychological condition characterized by a readiness to take risks in light of a trustee's intentions or actions that they can trust (Yang, 2006). The issue of trust can be examined from this perspective, which is fundamental and crucial. All other perspectives are merely its derivatives.

So, people' expectations of the nature, functioning, and interactions of government with the populace as well as the conduct of political figures, public officials, and citizens themselves are referred to as their level of trust in government (Cheema, 2010). Contrarily, Thomas (1998) argues that trust is founded more on beliefs than on expectations. He asserts that the term "expectations" implies that we are estimating the likelihood that people will take specific actions. So, the lowest type of trust is thought to be faith in the goodness of others. More specifically, trust in government refers to the degree of faith that people have in their government to "do the right thing," to behave honorably and in the best interests of the people (Barnes and

Gill, 2000). Generally speaking, trust refers to a willingness to rely on others to act on our behalf based on the conviction that they are capable of making wise choices and considering our interests (Houston and Harding, 2014:55).

For a democratic government to operate well, trust in it is regarded as essential. For public institutions to operate fairly and effectively, trust in the government is also important (Jung and Sea, 2012; Parker et al. 2008; Gordon, 2000). Public trust in the government is one of the most important characteristics that affects how competitive the government is, claim Jung and Sea (2012). In general, public trust in the government is crucial because it reflects the quality of the relationship between the people and their government (Porumbescu, 2015). A strong belief that trust in government serves as the system's glue and the oil that lubricates the policy machine is also something that one encounters (Van der Meer, 2009).

A wide range of public policies, including responses to public health issues, laws, and the tax system, are more likely to be followed when the public is more trusted. Also, it promotes institutional credibility, social cohesiveness, and political involvement. Long-term social concerns like climate change, aging populations, and shifting labour markets must be addressed by governments with trust. Since trust and integrity are closely related, faith in institutions and the legitimacy of governmental choices can only increase when duties performed by the government are carried out with integrity.

The daily challenges of governing, including the persistent health and economic crises, the steadily rising inequality, population aging, technological advancements, and the existential threat of climate change, are made easier by public trust. In order to lower transaction costs in governance, society, and the economy and to assure policy compliance, institutions must have sufficiently high levels of institutional trust. Trust can encourage government spending on difficult reforms and programs that lead to greater results. In democracies, important democratic institutions and norms can be strengthened by fairly high levels of trust and healthy levels of public scrutiny.

Public trust is an equally significant by-product of governance, just as it plays a role as an input to governance by aiding or impeding the implementation of policy. Trust is a reflection of how people view their government and public institutions, as well as what they hope for from them. Of course, democratic administration does not necessarily lead to high levels of trust in public institutions. Since citizens in democratic systems - as opposed to those in autocratic ones - have considerably greater leeway to state that they do not trust their government, low levels of trust measured in democracies are, in fact, only attainable. Even the presence of critical opinions and helpful criticism might indicate a robust democracy. But in democratic nations, trust is still a crucial determinant of how people view the worth of and their relationships with governmental institutions. So, it is crucial from a policy-making viewpoint for democratic governments to consider both these inputs and outputs

holistically: how trust affects policy outcomes as well as how trust is affected by policy procedures.

III. PUBLIC INTERGRITY

Generally speaking, the fundamental principles and conduct norms anticipated of state personnel, whether in the civil service and in elected public office (Transparency International Plain language guide, 2009: 18). As breaking these common ethical standards drags public office into contempt, ethical behavior is crucial in public office. As soon as the public loses faith in public leaders' ability to uphold moral standards, democratic government becomes unstable. Government employees are given the power to carry out laws and regulations, manage state resources, and offer services to the general public. According to Transparency International, corruption is the misuse of authority that has been granted to serve personal interests (Transparency International Plain language guide, 2009:14). Establishing a culture of integrity in the public sector can be difficult because there are many chances and motivations for large-scale illicit gains due to the unique combination of state authority and significant discretionary power. The state, the present administration, their institution, and their public duties are all conflicting loyalties that officials must learn to manage effectively because they may conflict with or overlap with their own private interests (Whitton, 2009: - 2).

Furthermore, ethical concerns in the public sector go beyond time spent working for the government; more and more people are realizing that efforts should be made to stop the so-called revolving door from giving people unfair commercial advantages as well as undue influence over political decision-making. Given the diversity of public sector responsibilities, it is not unexpected that related corruption concerns range from small-scale bribery to full-scale state capture. The legislative and administrative framework of a nation, as well as the nature of the corruption challenge itself, substantially influence measures to promote integrity in the public sector. Typically, a combination of rigorous punitive measures with a "regulatory structure of rules, guidelines and enforcement" is an effective approach of reducing unethical practices in public service. 4 The following essential integrity mechanisms are usually covered by regulations addressing corruption issues in the public sector:

- Ethics codes
- Conflict of interest policies
- Assets declaration regimes

Public financial management reform, freedom of information laws, whistleblower protection policies, and human resource management procedures are some examples of broader good governance strategies for the public sector. (Transparency International has a topic guide on PFM) (recruit, promotion, dismissal). Considering that these actions are not a specialized anti- corruption tool, they are merely a cursory mention here.

Establishing clear standards for the highest political levels for their exemplary personal behavior, including their showing of a high standard of propriety in the performance of official tasks, is the best way for a nation to show its dedication to public honesty. Such demands typically take the form of guidelines and control systems intended to hold the political system accountable. But, because of the nature of politics, it can be difficult to come to an agreement on integrity standards for elected and appointed officials and, in particular, to enforce them. Due to the increased level of political polarization, this is likewise getting more and more challenging nowadays. If we want our citizens to succeed, our economy to grow, and our nations to face their most difficult challenges, public honesty and corruption must be one of our fundamental democratic ideals. Integrity makes the economy more productive, public sector more efficient institutions more trusted and society and economy more inclusive and more united.

IV. GOOD GOVERNANCE

Citizens definitely expect their government to uphold their interests and protect their human and democratic rights. Citizens demand that their government be held responsible for all of its deeds, for what it does, and for upholding its obligations. As a result, the state's and governments historical and customary roles have changed (Farazmand 2004a:1). In the last 20 years or so, the following concepts of governance have become increasingly well-known and employed, according to Farazmand:

"Good governance," "enterprise government," "competitive government," "market-like government," "economic governance," "social and political governance," "enabling governance," "participatory governance," "regulatory governance," "interventionist governance," or "steering government" as opposed to "rowing government" are a few terms that come to mind (Farazmand 2004a, b:3).

In particular, the idea of "good government" has drawn both harsh criticism and admiration from all across the world. Who benefits from good governance and who suffers from bad governance? (Farazmand, 2017, 2012). However, the idea of good governance mainly emphasizes the interaction between the state, the business sector, and civil society. The three elements, according to Farazmand (2004a, b), neglect a major force, namely international actors. Hence, this shortcoming and other issues with the idea of good governance have prompted the introduction of a different and more inclusive paradigm, known as the concept of "sound governance" (Farazmand, 2017, 2012, 2004a, b).

According to Farazmand, there are a number of reasons why the word "sound governance" is preferred to "excellent governance" (2004a, b). First of all, it encompasses aspects of both global and international governance and is more complete than any other notion we have previously discussed. Second, it incorporates not only the cognitive, technical, and normative aspects of good governance. Finally, the idea of "sound governance"

possesses qualities of "quality governance" that are superior to "good governance" and are regarded as "sound" from a technical, professional, organizational, managerial, political, democratic, and economic standpoint. Fourth, good governance is sensitive to international norms, rules, and systems and in line with constitutional ideals. Hence, in an increasingly complex, diversified, and dynamic national and international context, sound governance is inclusive and encourages involvement and engagement (Farazmand, 2017). Yet, it appears that the idea of good governance is not yet widely accepted in Ethiopia. Notwithstanding its flaws, good governance has been applied as a way to address the most pressing issues that the current administration is experiencing.

Development groups on a national and worldwide scale frequently utilize the term "good governance" today. Its intent and scope, though, are not always clear. Although this adaptability permits a contextual application of the term, practical challenges may arise due to the lack of conceptual clarity. In other circumstances, good governance has become into a generalized catchphrase without a clear definition or content (Johnston, 2002: 7). According to Johnston (2002: 1-2), "legitimate, accountable, and effective ways of attaining and employing public power and resources in the pursuit of generally recognized societal goals" are the hallmarks of good governance. This term embodies relationships between the state and society as well as among citizens, and it connects good governance with the rule of law, transparency, and accountability. Similar to this, Rose-Ackerman (2016: 1) asserts that "all types of institutional arrangements that promote both good substantive "Good governance" is defined to encompass outcomes and public legitimacy. Moreover, impartiality (Rothstein and Varraich, 2017), ethical universalism (Mungiu-Pippidi, 2015), and open-access laws are all indicators of good administration (North, Wallis and Weingast, 2009). Core characteristics of good governance include transparency, integrity, lawfulness, sound policy, participation, accountability, responsiveness, and the absence of corruption, according to the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). The key question for evaluating good governance is: Are the institutions of governance effectively guaranteeing the right to health, adequate housing, sufficient food, quality education, justice, and personal security.

According to the World Bank, good governance refers to the customs and institutions that control how power is vested in a nation. This includes 1) the procedure for choosing, overseeing, and changing governments; 2) the government's ability to formulate and effectively implement sound policies; and 3) the respect of citizens and the state for the institutions that regulate their interactions with one another on a social and economic level (Kaufmann, Kraay, and Zoido-Lobaton, 1999). One of the most popular definitions of good governance, this one serves as the foundation for the World Bank's widely used globally. However, this broad definition has come under fire for conflating policy content (sound policies), procedural requirements (rule of law), and public perceptions (respect), as well as for referring to both institutions that grant access to political power and those that exercise and implement

laws and policies (Rothstein and Teorell, 2008). The inclusion of "sound policies" in the definition begs the question of whether international experts, who tend to be economists, can be trusted to understand what "sound policies" are. Consider whether retirement, healthcare, and education should be covered by the government, the private sector, or both. How and to what extent financial institutions should be governed. It goes without saying that some political entities or facets of politics are more significant than others when assessing the effectiveness of a government (Rothstein and Teorell, 2008).

Similarly, Keefer (2004: 5) cautions against using broad definitions that include any inquiries into how groups of people rule themselves as the study of governance, as this would encompass all subfields of political science. This is also consistent with Grindle's criticisms that the phrase has come to mean so many "positive" things that it has essentially devolved into a catch-all list of add-ons. So, in order to attain good governance, development professionals and government officials "continue to face large lists of 'things that must be done,' with no direction about how to pick and select among them as priority" (2007: 571). Furthermore, Grindle contends that because of its strongly normative tenor, the prospect of achieving good governance can be overwhelming, particularly for developing nations. Additionally, the term fails to distinguish between different institutional particularities and more fundamental principles that can accomplish the same goals.

Development groups on a national and worldwide scale frequently utilize the term "good governance" today. Its intent and scope, though, are not always clear. Although this adaptability permits a contextual application of the term, practical challenges may arise due to the lack of conceptual clarity. In other circumstances, good governance has become into a generalized catchphrase without a clear definition or content (Johnston, 2002:7). According to Johnston (2002:1-2), "legitimate, accountable, and effective ways of attaining and employing public power and resources in the pursuit of widely recognized social goals" are the hallmarks of good governance. This term embodies relationships between the state and society as well as among citizens, and it connects good governance with the rule of law, transparency, and accountability. Rose-Ackerman (2016:1) asserts that "all types of institutional structures that promote both good substantive outcomes and public legitimacy" are what she refers to as "good institutional structures" in her definition of good governance. Moreover, impartiality (Rothstein and Varraich, 2017), ethical universalism (Mungiu-Pippidi, 2015), and open-access laws are all indicators of good administration (North, Wallis and Weingast, 2009).

The key question for evaluating good governance, according to the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), is whether the institutions of governance effectively guarantee the right to health, adequate housing, enough food, quality education, justice, and personal security. Transparency, integrity, legality, sound policy, involvement, accountability, responsiveness, and the absence of corruption and

wrongdoing are fundamental components of effective governance. See, respectively. According to the World Bank, good governance refers to the customs and institutions that define how power is exercised in a nation. This includes 1) the procedure for choosing, overseeing, and changing governments; 2) the government's ability to formulate and effectively implement sound policies; and 3) the respect of citizens and the state for the institutions that regulate their interactions with one another on a social and economic level (Kaufmann, Kraay, and Zoido-Lobaton, 1999). The World Bank's widely used Global Governance Indicators⁹, which are detailed here, are based on one of the most commonly used criteria of good governance.

However, this broad definition has come under fire for conflating policy content (sound policies), procedural requirements (rule of law), and public perceptions (respect), as well as for referring to both institutions that grant access to political power and those that exercise and implement laws and policies (Rothstein and Teorell, 2008). The inclusion of "sound policies" in the definition begs the question of whether international experts, who tend to be economists, can be trusted to understand what "sound policies" are. Should pensions, health care, and education, for instance, be privately or publically supported, or should they be a combination of both? How and to what extent should financial institutions be governed? It goes without saying that some political entities or facets of politics are more significant than others when assessing the effectiveness of a government (Rothstein and Teorell, 2008).

Similarly, Keefer (2004: 5) cautions against using general definitions that include all inquiries into how groups of people rule themselves in order to avoid covering all of political science. This is also consistent with Grindle's criticisms that the phrase has come to mean so many "positive" things that it has essentially devolved into a catch-all list of add-ons. So, in order to attain good governance, development professionals and government officials "continue to face large lists of 'things that must be done,' with no direction about how to pick and select among them as priority" (2007: 571). Furthermore, Grindle contends that because of its strongly normative tenor, the prospect of achieving good governance can be overwhelming, particularly for developing nations. Additionally, the term fails to distinguish between different institutional particularities and more fundamental principles that can accomplish the same goals.

V. GOOD GOVERNANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

In order to achieve sustainable development and human well-being, good governance is thought to be essential. In contrast to democracy, empirical research demonstrates that excellent governance has significant positive effects on indicators of social trust, life satisfaction, peace, and political legitimacy (Ghosh and Siddique, 2015; Rose-Ackerman, 2016; Rothstein and Teorell, 2008). Additionally, studies demonstrate that good governance enhances life evaluations, either directly because people are happier when they live in an environment with good

governance (Ott, 2010) or indirectly because good governance helps people achieve higher levels of other things that are directly related to their well-being. In particular, this relates to the prevention of corruption, which has been shown to have both direct and indirect effects on wellbeing. It has frequently been demonstrated that a lack of corruption improves both public and private sector productivity, which in turn fosters economic growth. There is evidence that people are happier even without having more money when there is a higher level of general and specific trust (Mungiu-Pippidi, 2015). For instance, Helliwell and colleagues (2018) discovered that improvements in the caliber of how government services are delivered have a beneficial impact on how well residents perceive their lives.

As a result, implementing contemporary ideas of good governance is essential to achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals¹¹ (SDGs). Aiming to "promote peaceful and inclusive communities for sustainable development, guarantee access to justice for all, and establish effective, accountable, and inclusive institutions at all levels," Goal 16 of the SDGs (or SDG 16) is very important. Additional SDGs, such as SDG 10, which calls for encouraging the social, economic, and political inclusion of all people, are also closely related to good governance. More generally, effective governance is necessary for the achievement of all Goals. In the end, sustainable development necessitates that those in positions of authority respect human rights and fight to eradicate poverty, alleviate hunger, provide their population with high-quality healthcare and education, ensure gender equality, lower inequality, and other issues.

VI. THE FOUNDATIONS OF GOOD GOVERNANCE

Fighting corruption is closely related to having good government. As a result, certain fundamental tenets of good governance are also tenets of anti-corruption. The literature associates effective political systems with the following characteristics: 1) participation; 2) adherence to the rule of law; 3) transparency; 4) responsiveness; 5) consensus-oriented; 6) equity and inclusiveness; 7) effectiveness and efficiency; and 8) accountability (Rothstein and Teorell, 2008; UN, 2009/13).

A. Participation

The opportunity for active participation by all societal segments in the decision-making process for all pertinent topics is referred to as participation. Environments that are conducive to participation promote the timely and proper dissemination of important information so that all parties involved can freely express their opinions. See Linares for an illustration of access and citizen engagement indicators (2016). Article 13 of UNCAC¹⁴ mandates all States parties to "promote the active participation of individuals and groups outside the public sector, such as civil society, non-governmental organizations and community-based organizations, in the prevention of and the fight against corruption," which is significant in the context of the fight against corruption.

B. Rule of law

Rule of law is the use of stated rules to exercise governmental power that reflect widely accepted social principles, eschew particularism, and have widespread public support (Johnston, 2002). It denotes the existence of legal frameworks, the maintenance of law and order, the independence and effectiveness of the justice system, the enforcement of contracts and property rights, the application of human rights standards, and the existence of constitutional restraints on the executive's power. Moreover, laws must be fairly and impartially applied while still being sensitive to societal requirements. It should be highlighted that almost any state, especially corrupt and oppressive ones, has the power to pass and enforce laws that do not uphold the aforementioned conditions. Genuine rule of law, on the other hand, is the result of intricate and ingrained social processes and necessitates the collaboration of the state and society. A distinction between "rule of law" and "rule by law" is made by Fukuyama (2013). Rule by law is when the executive uses the law and the bureaucracy as a tool of power, whereas "rule of law" is when the executive is subject to the same laws as the rest of society. When all these factors are together, the UN defines the rule of law as:

"Principle of governance according to which all individuals, institutions, and organizations, both public and private, including the State itself, are answerable to laws that are made publicly, equally enforced, and independently decided upon while adhering to international human rights norms and standards. It also necessitates taking steps to ensure adherence to the legal and procedural transparency, legal clarity, equality before the law, accountability to the law, justice in the application of the law, separation of powers, and participation in decision-making principles (United Nations, 2004).

C. Transparency

Transparency is the ability for concerned people of society to review how those in authority make decisions. The foundation of transparency is collaboration: information must be made available by officials, and there must be individuals and organizations with good motives and chances to use the information. A free, competitive, responsible press, an independent court, and an active, critical civil society are important examples of this (Johnston, 2002). Regulations and procedures must be transparent and easy to understand, including who is involved, what happens when, and how decisions are reached. One of the most crucial guiding principles in the battle against corruption is transparency. Article 10 of UNCAC¹⁷ mandates that States Parties take the appropriate steps to increase openness in their public institutions in this regard. For choices and their implementation to be easily monitored, transparency necessitates large resources and a system that allows for the free flow of pertinent information to stakeholders in an intelligible manner.

D. Responsiveness

Institutions and procedures that are responsive conveniently serve all stakeholders in a timely and suitable manner to safeguard the interests of all residents. In addition, responsiveness includes gender responsiveness and the inclusion of both genders in governance. Built-in discriminatory practices that affect ethnic or minority groups are also identified and addressed. Selective decentralization is one strategy to increase responsiveness since local governments are reportedly better at understanding the needs of their constituents and can respond to those demands more quickly, which could lead to increased citizen involvement in decision-making. By establishing timeframes for each stage in obtaining frontline services, hotlines, and employees devoted to rapidly receiving and addressing complaints and grievances, citizen charters and facilitation laws can help boost responsiveness. For comparative reasons, responsiveness is challenging to gauge, especially on an international scale. See De Silva for a framework tailored to the healthcare industry to demonstrate responsiveness (n.d.).

E. Consensus orientation

Consensus approach makes ensuring that the current structures work in society's best interests. Given that every action or policy is likely to have an impact on several social groups in unique and frequently conflicting ways, this may be one of the most challenging rules to adhere to. As a result, many perspectives must be considered. A strong, objective, and adaptable mediation framework is necessary to reach a compromise in order to serve the interests of the entire society. The legal right of citizens to petition government officials concerning policy, public hearings, referendums, forums for discussion, and consultation procedures are a few examples of ways to try to reach agreement or at least compromise.

F. Equity and inclusiveness

There is equity and inclusivity when everyone has the chance to enhance or maintain their wellbeing. This indicates that no one feels alienated, disenfranchised, or left behind and that all members of society, particularly the most vulnerable, are taken into account when formulating policies. The suffering of the poor, marginalized, and in need must receive preferential attention in order for there to be good governance. This is in line with Rawls' ideas of fairness, which state that the most unfortunate members of society must be treated fairly. Rawls (1971) asserted that social and economic policy should meet two requirements: first, that positions and offices are available to everyone under conditions of just equality of opportunity; and second, that they primarily benefit the most disadvantaged sections of society (the difference principle).

G. Government effectiveness and efficiency

Governmental processes and institutions are successful and efficient when they employ available resources wisely to achieve outcomes that satisfy societal requirements. In order to be effective and efficient, the government must improve the standardization and quality of the delivery of public services, professionalize the bureaucracy, concentrate its efforts on the most important tasks, and do away with any

overlaps or redundancies in its operations. In order to deliver public services, organizations must quickly and effectively meet citizens' needs. To do this, they must streamline processes, cut red tape, use appropriate technology when practical, and collaborate with other government organizations to avoid duplicative information requirements. Good governance is arguably predicated on a normative responsibility to use resources and authority in a morally upright and competent way that upholds integrity and maximizes public values and goods.

H. Accountability

Every person or group is accountable for their actions, especially when those actions have an impact on the public interest. This is the foundation of accountability. In order for decision-makers in the public, the business sector, and civil society organizations to answer to the public as well as to institutional stakeholders, it refers to the answerability or responsibility for one's actions. According to the idea that formal checks and balances may and should be incorporated into any constitutional system, accountability is partially a matter of institutional design (Johnston, 2002). One of the key goals of the UN Convention against Corruption is to promote accountability, which is essential for preventing corruption (see article 1 of UNCAC). Accountability also requires political vigor in that "people, interest groups, civil society, the courts, the press, and opposition parties must insist that those in power follow legitimate directives and justify their actions" and "those demanding accountability must be confident that they can do so safely, that officials will respond honestly, and that social needs and demands are taken seriously" (Johnston, 2002: 3-4). Often a distinction is drawn between vertical accountability and horizontal accountability (checks and balances within the public sector) (accountability of governments towards their citizens).

VII. FRAUD AND POOR GOVERNANCE

The delivery of public services including healthcare, education, and law enforcement is hampered by ineffective institutions. People can attempt to receive these services in other ways if public officials do not operate as the bureaucrats that they are expected to be. People can typically use governmental services in a lot of countries without having to pay any kind of bribe, but not all countries can make that claim. It is also useless to discuss the media's role in advancing good governance and influencing perceptions of the standard of governance at the world, national, and local levels. The ideas of corruption and effective government are causally related in both directions and are mutually reinforcing. If appropriate governance structures and principles are lacking, corruption opportunities are increased. In turn, corruption can hinder the implementation and enforcement of good governance ideas and mechanisms. Transparency, accountability, and rule of law violations seem to be most frequently linked with corruption. Corruption and poor governance are security issues that ultimately threaten democracy, the rule of law, and economic growth.

A substantial corpus of research documents the detrimental effects of poor governance, primarily manifested in the form of corruption and a dearth of property rights, on issues like population health and accessibility to clean water (Swaroop and Rajkumar, 2002; Holmberg and Rothstein, 2011). Voting and political participation are influenced by how poorly people view the government, including authoritarian governance, corruption, and economic hardship (Hooghe and Quintelier, 2014; Kostadinova, 2009). According to Rby and Teorell (2010) and Lapuente and Rothstein (2010), indicators of good governance are better at predicting the absence of violent interstate conflicts than indicators of democracy. Gilley further shows that "general governance (a composite of the rule of law, control of corruption, and government efficacy) has a considerable, even encompassing relevance in global citizens' judgments of governments" (200:57). He adds that these governance indicators have a bigger influence on political legitimacy than indicators of democratic rights and social improvements.

VIII. PUBLIC GOVERNANCE

The Latin verb "gubernare," which means "to steer," and the Greek word "kubernaein" are where the word "governance" originates. The phrase was frequently used interchangeably with the word "government" due to its shared linguistic roots. Yet, whereas governance is often understood to relate to a behavioural interaction between governors and the governed, government is broadly described as a set of institutions established by constitutions and laws. Government is described by the United Nations as "the structures and processes by which a social organization, from a family to a corporation to an international institution, steers itself, ranging from centralized control to self-regulation" (see United Nations, 2016:iv5). In a more straightforward definition, the United Nations says that governance refers to "the process of decision-making and the mechanism by which choices are executed or not implemented" (see UNESCAP, 2009:1).

Although the terms governance and government are closely related, governance refers to a broader range of relationships between common citizens and public officials who apply the laws and provide the services, between various government institutions (sometimes conceptualized as "checks and balances") and private entities involved in policy design and delivery, as well as between formal and informal institutions. In other words, governance defines how institutions function and how States relate to societies more widely, rather than only through regular government agencies. It also refers to how those in positions of authority wield their power, both formally and informally (Grindle, 2017).

In general, governance has to do with institutions, authority, law, and equity. In the public sector, governance also refers to the act of exercising power; in this case, it entails the adoption and enforcement of policies that are legitimate and answerable to the public, as well as laws that have a significant impact on social and institutional interaction, as well as economic and political development

(Rose-Ackerman, 2016). In order to promote efficient service delivery in ways that are consistent with democratic ideals and resource constraints, the study of governance typically focuses on the design and implementation of contemporary regulatory welfare programmes and mass public benefits systems, such as old age pensions, health insurance, and so forth (Rose-Ackerman, 2016).

IX. CORRUPTION

One of the main reasons for poverty, conflict, and state fragility is corruption. It interferes with the ability of the states to successfully provide services and uphold the rule of law, has an impact on the business climate, and prevents long-term economic and social progress. Moreover, marginalized groups experience corruption to the greatest extent, according to international research.

In today's democracies, corruption "eats away at the basic fabric of our society and is the plague of them," (2019, Auditor-General of South Africa (AGSA)). Several countries still have a major problem with corruption, according to governance metrics. Corruption leads to resources meant for development not being used for their original purpose and also aids organized crime, including but not limited to wildlife and forestry crime. Legal and institutional frameworks need to be reinforced to enable Nations in the region to protect themselves against the challenges presented by corruption.

X. REGULATORY CHANGES AND ANTI-CORRUPTION

In general, good governance is a goal that is challenging to complete. According to Johnston (2002), better governance necessitates developing both institutions and participation, which includes a comprehensive, long-term plan based on collaboration between the executive branch and the general public. A government can gain more public trust, efficacy, and legitimacy when it is accountable, open, and follows the rule of law. In turn, this might help create the framework for a democracy with more involved individuals. Furthermore, there are ongoing discussions about what "good governance" entails and how it relates to democratic values, competent policymaking, and anti-corruption initiatives. These discussions need to be clarified in light of new technocratic knowledge as well as demands for more inclusive, deliberative policymaking processes, including anti-corruption initiatives (Grindle, 2017; Rose-Ackerman, 2016). Conflicts over what constitutes good governance under various constitutional frameworks give rise to discussions about the connection between good governance and democracy. In spite of its shortcomings, liberal democracy offers features that are most naturally consistent with good governance since it involves giving people the power to exercise and safeguard their rights, particularly through their representatives.

But, democracy also needs a system of checks and balances and informed, educated citizens. But in that sense, formal democracy—defined as competitive elections with changes in power—is not a need for effective leadership. Nonetheless, it is still possible to have publicly accountable

policymaking without electoral democracy, which should support good governance. In order to create public policies that address crucial social issues and are regarded as legitimate by individuals, it is therefore crucial for governance changes to strike a balance between knowledge and democratic involvement (Rose- Ackerman, 2016). In general, governance changes should focus on enhancing the communication between public authorities and private citizens and enterprises (Rose- Ackerman, 2016). Establishing procedures, rules, and laws that promote accountability and efficiency (in terms of making the best use of society's resources) in government (and private sector) action is the problem. Johnston (2002) discusses nine major challenges that should be anticipated and must be avoided in order to increase the quality of good governance and to reduce corruption. A summary of his discussion is presented below:

A. Avoid having too many laws and regulations

It can be tempting to rely too heavily on laws and top-down policymaking to enhance policy and implementation. Because of the consequent rigidity, resources and opportunities are wasted, policies are created that are unresponsive to social reality, which damages the credibility of attempts to promote good governance and may increase the incentives for corruption. As a result, rules are required that expand the scope for discussion and collaboration, promote innovation, and pursue desired results using advantageous incentives rather than just prohibitions.

B. Politics is a component of successful government, keep that in mind

A disproportionate number of reformers see public engagement as either a formality or something that needs to be imposed from above through high-profile but transient large public campaigns. Citizens have little chance or motivation to link government pledges to the issues in their local communities in any of the public engagement scenarios.

C. Increase the number of people who favor reform and keep a careful eye on issues and disputes

Reforms in governance require steadfast leadership and dedication from the top. It is much preferable to reach out to communities, learn about common concerns, and amass a wide base of support, even though it requires time, effort, and resources and even though it will entail sharing the credit for improved governance.

D. Keep an eye out for rewards

To the exclusion of private advantages, governance reforms frequently place an emphasis on public goods including effectiveness, integrity, cultural sensitivity, and similar traits. Even when the aim is to recruit the participation and support of civil society, other types of appeals—such as that improved governance will reduce taxes, make it simpler to find jobs in a recovering economy, and protect one's family and property—receive too little attention. It will take a lot of work to persuade people that reform will be beneficial for them as citizens, public servants, and political leaders.

E. Public opinion matters

Reformers risk failing if they disregard popular opinion, even in developing democracies. Surveys and community gatherings are necessary to find out what people think about the current situation and what they want from reform. The same goes for persistent efforts to inform the public on the main issues, the rationale behind suggested changes, the costs of improved governance, and actual outcomes.

F. Strengthen checks and balances

While some degree of cooperation across different governmental spheres is necessary, it only makes up a portion of the whole. Also, the government must be able to rein in its own excesses. The judiciary is crucial to interpreting and upholding new laws and standards, and it will be ineffectual if it is not separate from the current administration. Corruption can be avoided if governance mechanisms are put in place, such as guidelines for hiring, firing, and promotion criteria, legislation providing freedom of information collecting and access, and other regulations that follow the governance principles. Similar to legislative supervision, executive agency oversight is necessary, and in this case, credible external watchdogs and good policy execution can improve and prevent abuses.

G. Stay focused on the long term

Too often, especially after crises or corruption exposes, governance change is a transient problem. Governance change will take at least one generation to complete, not just a few months or years, in terms of the rule of law and its social roots. The same can be said for accountability and transparency, where it may be necessary to alter agency "cultures" as well as those of the political elite and the civil service. If individuals can be replaced and institutions' incentive systems can be revamped, then more rapid progress would be achievable in those areas. Long-term citizen support will be necessary, as will periodic retraining for bureaucrats, continual knowledge about governance issues for elected officials, and incentives to address those issues. Any endeavor to strengthen the rule of law, as well as to increase transparency and accountability, must include public education.

H. Never underestimate opposition to reform

Substantial reforms can face growing opposition from the public or from certain parts of the administration. Due to vested interests in government and society, transparency and accountability issues are particularly likely to persist. Reformers must be aware that, occasionally, those who oppose increased transparency and accountability will simply go through the motions (i.e., filing reports, producing data, conducting reviews and assessments) in ways that actually conceal rather than reveal and address governance issues. It will be crucial to have external observers like auditors, legislative oversight organizations, and investigating judges.

I. Do not focus only on nation states

Neighboring societies and governments might be dealing with comparable issues and restrictions, and they might figure out how to modify the procedures of the rule of law, accountability, and transparency to fit new and complex circumstances. Sharing knowledge, expertise, and resources, coordinating regional rule of law activities, and peer reviewing governance practices can all help to create reforms that are in line with social realities and make better use of scarce resources.

XI. CONCLUSION

What function does public trust in political and governmental institutions serve? What techniques can assist rebuild trust in various situations? The essay offers a conceptual framework for examining how trust and governance are related. The article also examines public sector capability, accountability and openness of governance, and civil society engagement. Five categories can be used to categorize factors that affect whether people have more or less faith in the government: effective regulations and execution techniques, devoted and motivating political figures, economic expansion and citizen access to economic possibilities, Provision and delivery of services including water, sanitation, healthcare, and education, as these have a direct and oftentimes immediate impact on citizens, exemplary leadership and efficient public management.

Lessons learnt include the fact that trust in political parties and parliaments is still low in government, in part because of the higher expectations of informed citizens and the perceived inefficiency of some political leaders. Citizens' trust in government has been positively impacted by public sector reform, civil society engagement, decentralization and local governance, transparent legal and judicial reform, and the strong economic performance. Institutions of law and order are more trusted than institutions of representation. The need to promote better-performing human resources, prioritize customer happiness and transparency, and increase public trust are just a few of the challenges that need to be overcome. Poor development performance has resulted in an unequal distribution of economic advantages and scepticism toward government initiatives, which is made worse by security issues. The connection between trust, legitimacy, and national identity is one of the key problems highlighted. Constitutional changes, enhanced democratization, decentralization, public sector reform, and anti-corruption initiatives should all lead to increases in public trust in government. To guarantee effective, accessible, and efficient public services, additional changes are required in some sectors, such as the creation of a dedicated institution for human resource management. The ability of government institutions to perform public services, uphold the transparency and accountability of governmental operations, safeguard human rights, and uphold the rule of law is necessary for building trust in them.

REFERENCES

- [1.] Aidt, Toke (2010). Corruption and Sustainable Development. Cambridge Working Papers in Economics -1061. University of Cambridge. Available from <https://ideas.repec.org/s/cam/camdae.html>
- [2.] Available at <http://documents.worldbank.org>
- [3.] Available at <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/corruption>
- [4.] Available at <https://globalanticorruptionblog.com/2018/06/20/guest-post-the-taxi-driver-paradox-or-how-descriptive-social-norms-shape-corrupt-behavior/>
- [5.] Available at <https://mexiconewsdaily.com/news/buildings-fell-during-earthquake-due-to-corruption/>
- [6.] Available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20170515033212/http://trcofliberia.org/Available> at www.ciel.org/reports/authorized-to-steal/
- [7.] Available at www.globalwitness.org/en/campaigns/oil-gas-and-mining/shell-knew/Available at www.nzherald.co.nz/world/news/article.cfm?c_id=2&objectid=12108804
- [8.] Available at www.ilo.org/dyn/natlex/docs/SERIAL/95319/112171/F-313159060/TUN-95319.pdf
- [9.] Available at www.imf.org/en/Publications/Staff-Discussion-Notes/Issues/2016/12/31/Corruption-Costs-and-Available at www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/corruption
- [10.] Available at www.nytimes.com/interactive/2022/podcasts/trojan-horse-affair.html. <https://integrity-index.org/>
- [11.] Available at www.oecd.org/corruption/anti-bribery/39532693.pdf
- [12.] Available at www.opensocietyfoundations.org/sites/default/files/corruption-that-kills-en-20180502.pdf
- [13.] Available at www.prsgroup.com/explore-our-products/international-country-risk-guide/Available at www.fcpablog.com/blog/2018/6/7/socgen-replaces-total-sa-on-the-top-ten-list.html
- [14.] Available at www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/Available at www.unodc.org/documents/Rotten_Fish.pdf
- [15.] Available at www.unodc.org/e
- [16.] Available at www.unodc.org/ji/en/resdb/
- [17.] Available from the corruption section of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime website (www.unodc.org).
- [18.] Available from www.nber.org/chapters/c9977.pdf
- [19.] Available from www.unodc.org/documents/data-and-analysis/Crime-statistics/
- [20.] Available from www.usip.org/sites/default/files/ETC-D/NPEC/480021.PDF

- [21.] Available at <https://panamapapers.sueddeutsche.de/articles/56febff0a1bb8d3c3495adf4/>
- [22.] Available at www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/CorruptionAndHR/Pages/CorruptionAndHRIndex.aspx
- [23.] Available at www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/global_corruption_report_climate_change Available at <https://thischangeseverything.org/>
- [24.] Available from [tp://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/709171468743399124/Corruptcities-a-practical-guide-to-cure-and-prevention](http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/709171468743399124/Corruptcities-a-practical-guide-to-cure-and-prevention).
- [25.] Available from www.oecd.org/dac/conflict-fragility-resilience/publications/
- [26.] FINAL Addressing corruption together.pdf
- [27.] Available from <http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTWBIGOVANTCOR/Resources/usaidmoneypolitics.pdf>
- [28.] Available from [ww.unodc.org/documents/corruption/Publications/2015/National_Anti-Corruption_Strategies_A_Practical_Guide_for_Development_and](http://www.unodc.org/documents/corruption/Publications/2015/National_Anti-Corruption_Strategies_A_Practical_Guide_for_Development_and)
- [29.] Available from www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/global_corruption_report_climate_Change
- [30.] Available from www.unodc.org/documents/treaties/UNCAC/Publications/Convention/08-50026_E.pdf
- [31.] Available from www.unodc.org/unodc/en/press/releases/2015/November/eliminatingcorruption-is-crucial-to-sustainable-development.html
- [32.] Balisacan, Ryan (2017). The Link between Corruption and the Global Surge of Populism. The Global Anticorruption Blog, 6 October. Available from <https://globalanticorruptionblog.com/2017/10/06/the-link-between-corruption-and-the-global-surge-of-populism/>
- [33.] Banerjee, Abhijit V., and Rohini Pande (2007). Parochial Politics: Ethnic Preferences and Politician
- [34.] Bannister, F. (2011). The trouble with transparency: A critical review of openness in e-government. *Policy and Internet*, 3(1), 158–187. <https://doi.org/10.2202/1944-2866.1076>.
- [35.] Becker, Gary (1968). Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach. *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 76, no. 2 (Mar-Apr), pp. 169-217.
- [36.] Borlini, Leonardo, and Marco Arnone (2014). *Corruption: Economic Analysis and International Law*. Edward Elgar Pub.
- [37.] Burt, Shelley (2004). Ideas of Corruption in Eighteenth-Century England. In *Private and Public Corruption*, William C. Heffernan & John Kleinig, eds. Rowan & Littlefield.
- [38.] Byrne, Elaine, Anne-Katrin Arnold, and Fumiko Nagano (2010). Building Public Support for Anti-Corruption Efforts. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank Communication for Governance & Accountability Program.
- [39.] Canache, Damarys Josefina, and Michael E. Allison (2005). Perceptions of political corruption in Latin American democracies. *Latin American Politics and Society*, vol. 47, issue 3 (September), pp. 91-111.
- [40.] Cassin, Richard (2019). With MTS in the new Top Ten, just one U.S. company remains. The FCPA Blog, 11 March. Available from www.fcpablog.com/blog/2019/3/11/with-mts-in-the-new-top-ten-just-one-us-company-remains.html
- [41.] Center for International Environmental Law (CIEL) (2019). Authorized to Steal: Organized Crime Networks Launder Illegal Timber from the Peruvian Amazon. Washington D.C. Available from www.ciel.org/reports/authorized-to-steal/
- [42.] Ceva, Emanuela, and Maria Paola Ferretti (2017). Political Corruption, *Philosophy Compass*, vol. 12, issue 12
- [43.] Cheema, S. G., 2010, 'Building Trust in Government: An introduction', in eds. G. Shabbir Cheema and V. Popovski, *Building Trust in Government: Innovations in Governance Reform in Asia*, United Nations University Press, Tokyo, ch. 1.
- [44.] Corruption. CID Working Paper Series. Harvard University, John F. Kennedy School of Government. Available from <https://ideas.repec.org/p/cid/wpfacu/147.html>
- [45.] *Corruption Manual 2018_web.pdf*
- [46.] Farazmand, A. (2004b). Building partnerships for sound governance. In F. Ali (Ed.), *Sound governance: Policy and administrative innovations*. Westport: Greenwood Publishing Group, Inc.
- [47.] Farazmand, A. (2012). Sound governance: Engaging citizens through collaborative organizations. *Public Organiz Rev*, 12(3), 223–241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-012-0186>.
- [48.] Farazmand, A. (2017). Governance reforms: The good, the bad, and the ugly; and the sound: Examining the past and exploring the future of public organizations. *Public Organiz Rev*, 17(4), 595–617. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-017-0398-y>.
- [49.] Graycar, Adam (2015). Corruption: Classification and Analysis. *Policy and Society*, vol. 34 no. 2, pp.
- [50.] Graycar, Adam, and Tim Prenzler (2013). *Understanding and Preventing Corruption*. Gullberg, Anne Therese (2011). Equal Access, Unequal Voice: Business and NGO Lobbying on EU Climate Policy. In *Global Corruption Report: Climate Change*. Berlin: Transparency International.
- [51.] Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Porumbescu, G., & Tobin, H. B. I. (2013). The effect of transparency on Trust in Government: A cross-National Comparative Experiment. *Public Administration Review*, 73(4), 575–586. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12047>.
- [52.] Hellman, Joel, and Daniel Kaufman (2001). Confronting the Challenges of State Capture in Transition Economies. *Finance and Development*, vol. 38, no. 3 (September). Available from www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fandd/2001/09/hellman.htm
- [53.] Holmes, Leslie (2015). *Corruption: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- [54.] Houston, D. J., & Harding, L. H. (2014). Public trust in government administrators explaining citizen perceptions of trustworthiness and competence. *Public Integrity*, 16(1), 53–76. <https://doi.org/10.2753/PIN1099-9922160103>.
- [55.] *Implementation_E.pdf*

- [56.] Jung, Y.-d., & Sea, Y. S. (2012). The public's declining trust in government in Korea. *Meiji Journal of Political Science and Economics*, 1, 36–48.
- [57.] Kaifeng Yang and Marc Holzer. 2006. The Performance–Trust Link: Implications for Performance Measurement.
- [58.] Klitgaard, Robert (2015). Addressing corruption together. OECD Symposium on Anti-Corruption Development Assistance: Good Practices among Providers of Development Co-operation Background Paper. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- [59.] Klitgaard, Robert, Ronald McLean-Abaroa and H. L. Parris (2000). *Corrupt Cities, A Practical Guide to Cure and Prevention*. Washington, DC: ICS Press-World Bank Institute.
- [60.] Popovski, V. (2010). Conclusion: Trust is a must in government. In G. S. Cheema & P. Vesselin (Eds.), *Building trust in government: Innovations in governance reform in Asia*. New York: United Nations University Press.
- [61.] See, e.g., blog post from 2017 by Balisacan <https://globalanticorruptionblog.com>
- [62.] Stiglitz, Joseph (2002). *Globalization and Its Discontents*. Penguin Publishers.
- [63.] Thomas, C.W. (1998) Maintaining and Restoring Public Trust in Government Agencies and Their Employees. *Administration & Society*, 30, 166-193. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399798302003>
- [64.] Thompson, Dennis (1995). *Ethics in Congress*. Washington: Brookings Institute Transparency International (2011). *Global Corruption Report: Climate Change*. Berlin.
- [65.] United Nations (2004). *The United Nations Convention against Corruption*. New York.
- [66.] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) (2015). *Eliminating corruption is crucial to sustainable development*, 1 November.
- [67.] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) (2015). *National Anti-Corruption Strategies: A Practical Guide for Development and Implementation*. Vienna.
- [68.] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) (2019). *Rotten Fish: A Guide to Addressing Corruption in the Fisheries Sector*. Vienna. Available from
- [69.] www.unodc.org/documents/Rotten_Fish.pdf
- [70.] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the UNODC-INEGI Center of Excellence in Statistical Information on Government, Crime, Victimization and Justice (2018), *Manual on Corruption Surveys: Methodological guidelines on the measurement of bribery and other forms of corruption through sample surveys*. Vienna.
- [71.] United States Agency for International Development (USAID) (2003). *Money in Politics Handbook: A Guide to Increasing Transparency in Emerging Democracies*. Washington, DC.
- [72.] United States Institute of Peace (USIP) (2010). *Governance, Corruption, and Conflict. A Study Guide Series on Peace and Conflict for Independent Learners and Classroom Instructors*, Washington, DC.
- [73.] Wallis, John Joseph (2006). *The Concept of Systematic Corruption in American History*. In *Corruption and Reform: Lessons from America's Economic History*, Edward L. Glaeser and Claudia Goldin, eds. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [74.] Warren, Mark (2004). What does corruption mean in a democracy. *American Journal of Political Science*, vol. 48, no. 2 (April), pp. 328–343.
- [75.] World Bank (1997). *Anti-Corruption policies and program: a framework for evaluation*. Washington DC. Available from <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/57824146876709500/Anticorruption-policies-and-programs-a-framework-for-evaluation>
- [76.] World Bank (2011). *World Development Report 2011: Conflict, Security, and Development*. Washington, DC. Available from <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/4389>
- [77.] World Bank (2017). *World Development Report 2017 – Governance and the Law*. Washington, DC. Available from: www.worldbank.org/en/publication/wdr2017
- [79.] World Bank (2019). *Poverty & Equity Brief: Nigeria*. Washington, DC. Available from https://databank.worldbank.org/data/download/poverty/33EF03BB-9722-4AE2-ABC7-AA2972D68AFE/Global_POVEQ_NGA.pdf
- [80.] Yang, K. (2006). Trust and citizen involvement decisions: Trust in citizens, trust in institutions, and propensity to trust. *Administration and Society*, 38(5), 573–595.