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#### Abstract

We propose a semi-statistical technique to calculate the value of the Gamma function $G(x)$ in the whole space of positive $x$, i.e. $0<x=<$ infinity.


The proposed method is rather simple and applies a second-degree polynomial fit to find accurate values for Gamma(x) in the interval $0<x<=2$.

The precision of the numerical results of the new method is striking as presented in Table I where the absolute error is limited to less than $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ for the interval considered ( $x$ element of $] 0.5$ ]).

We recommend the application of the proposed technique for practical purposes of calculating the gamma function in order to avoid the complication of its numerical integration.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Gamma function $G(x)$ is well defined for any positive value of $x$,
$\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=$ Integral from $\mathrm{z}=0$ to infinity $\left[\operatorname{Exp}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}-\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}\right)\right] \mathrm{dz} . .$. . . (1)

Needless to say, the special function Gamma as expressed by Equation 1 has great mathematical and physical significance.

Unfortunately, it is only for integer multiples of $1 / 2$, that the integral (1) and therefore the values of Gamma have an exact analytic expression.

Many researches have been recently published to numerically evaluate the Gamma integral (1) using approximate numerical integration methods such as Simpson and trapezoidal rules...etc $[1,2,3$ ]

However, for practical purposes, it can also be calculated using a suitable closed-form polynomial expression to avoid going through complicated numerical integration.

This is the subject of this article.
Below we propose a special statistical technique to find a polynomial fit in quadrature (just of order 2) to avoid the complications of higher order polynomials of $n$ up to 10 for example.

The numerical results of the proposed technique are surprisingly accurate. The absolute error Ea, defined here as the proposed value of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ (proposed formula) minus its reference value (presented in specialized tables) falls below 0.01 for all considered values of X . Therefore, the relative
error Er is easily calculated by dividing the absolute error by the reference value.

## II. THEORY

Generalized factorial functions must be able to receive and return non-integer values for non-integer inputs that are not yet defined. Below we show that a suitable polynomial expression for $F(x)$ hence $G(x)$ in the interval $x$ element of ]0.2.] can be found. This means that the problem of finding a closed form of non-integrative expression for $G(x)$ is solved.

The required closed form polynomial-approximate expression should retain the mathematical and physical properties of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$, namely the conditions i-iv defined below.

The process of finding a polynomial function that represent the numerical best fit is called the method of least squares and takes the form $f(x)=c 0+c 1 x+c 2 x^{\wedge} 2 \cdots$ $+\mathrm{cn} \cdot \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ where n is the degree of the polynomial and $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}$ is a set of coefficients.

The Gamma function is a generalization of the factorial function to non-integer numbers.

Therefore, this polynomial should represent the best fit to the numerical values of the Gamma function in the interval $0<\mathrm{X}<=2$. is subjected to the following conditions:

- minimum of Gamma occurs at $x=1.4616321$ and the corresponding value of $\operatorname{Gamma}(\mathrm{x})$ is 0.8856032 .
- $\operatorname{Gamma}(1)=.\operatorname{Gamma}(2)=$.1 .
- $\operatorname{Gamma}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x}-1$.$) !$
- The recurrence relation, Gamma $(x)=(x-1)$. Gamma ( $x-1$ )

We propose a simple preliminary approach other than the classical method of least-squares. The proposed preliminary approach that satisfy the following conditions [4,5].

For this objective we divide the interval $0<\mathrm{x}<$ infinity into three consecutive parts $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c .

## A. x element of 10.1]

Here, the proposed second-order polynomial expression for the Gamma function is $G(x)=F(x-1)$ where $F(x)$ is the factorial function x !. F is approximated by,
$F(x)=(1 .-0.46163 * x+0.46163 * x * x)$
$x$ element of $[0,1]$.
B. x element of $[1,2]$

The Gamma function is approximated via the expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{Done}(\mathrm{x})+0.3333 / \mathrm{X}^{* *} 1.5 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $1 / 3 * 1 / X . \operatorname{Sqrt}(x)$ is a correction factor.
Note that expressions (2) and (3) solve the difficulty of establishing an approximate polynomial expression for $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ and therefore $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ in the interval $0<\mathrm{X}=<2$.
C. $x$ element of [2,infinity[

We can here use the expression (4) supplemented by the expression (2) for the remaining fraction,

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}-1) \ldots \ldots \ldots . . .
$$

Equations 2, 3 and 4 were implemented in a suitable algorithm which produced the required numerical results for $G(x)$ in the interval $0<x<$ infinity.

In order not to worry too much about the details of the theory let us go directly to numerical results.

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Numerical results are presented in Table I. It presents some examples of numerical results of the proposed technique (denoted by G1(x))compared to those of the numerical tables (denoted by G2(x)) that are obtained by numerical integration of Eq. 1.

The absolute error Ea is defined here as G1(x) minus $\mathrm{G} 2(\mathrm{x})$ where $\mathrm{G} 1(\mathrm{x})$ is the measured value calculated by the proposed technique and G2(x) is the reference value of Gamma function found in specialized tables.

The relative error $\mathrm{Er}=[(\mathrm{G} 1(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{G} 2(\mathrm{x})] / \mathrm{G} 1(\mathrm{x})$ can be easily calculated.

| X | $\mathrm{G} 1(\mathrm{X})$ | $\mathrm{G} 2(\mathrm{X})$ | Absolute |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed | Tables | Error technique | $[1,2,3] \mathrm{Ea}=\mathrm{G} 1-\mathrm{G} 2$ |


| 0 | 0.00 | Infinite | Infinite | -- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.05 | 30.8088 | -- | -- |  |
| 2 | 0.10 | 11.52668 | -- |  | -- |
| 3 | 0.15 | 6.7124 | -- |  | -- |
| 4 | 0.20 | 4.6891 |  | -- | -- |
| 5 | 0.25 | 3.61613 .5798 | 0.0363 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.30 | 2.9652 |  | -- |  |
| 7 | 0.35 | 2.5340 |  | -- |  |
| 8 | 0.40 | 2.2304 | -- |  |  |
| 9 | 0.45 | 2.0069 -- | -- |  |  |
| 10 | 0.50 | 1.83731 .7735 | 0.0638 |  |  |
| 11 | 0.55 | 1.7057 | -- |  |  |
| 12 | 0.60 | 1.6024 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0.65 | 1.5209 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0.70 | 1.4571 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0.75 | 1.4081 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.80 | 1.3719 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0.85 | 1.3471 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 0.90 | 1.3328 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.95 | 1.3283 | -- |  |  |
| 20 | 1.00 | 1.00001 .0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |
| 21 | 1.05 | 0.97810 .9735 | 0.0046 |  |  |
| 22 | 1.10 | 0.95850 .9514 |  | 0.0041 |  |
| 23 | 1.15 | 0.9411 | 0.9330 | 0.0081 |  |
| 24 | 1.20 | 0.9261 | 0.9182 | 0.0089 |  |
| 25 | 1.25 | 0.9134 | 0.9085 | 0.0049 |  |
| 26 | 1.30 | 0.90310 .8975 | 0.0056 |  |  |
| 27 | 1.35 | 0.89500 .8912 | 0.0038 |  |  |
| 28 | 1.40 | 0.8892 | 0.8873 | 0.0016 |  |
| 29 | 1.45 | 0.8857 | 0.8857 | 0.0000 |  |
| 30 | 1.50 | 0.8846 | 0.8862 | -0.0016 |  |
| 31 | 1.55 | 0.8857 | 0.8889 | -0.0032 |  |
| 32 | 1.60 | 0.8892 | 0.8935 | -0.0043 |  |
| 33 | 1.65 | 0.8950 | 0.9001 | -0.0051 |  |
| 34 | 1.70 | 0.9031 | 0.9086 | -0.0035 |  |
| 35 | 1.75 | 0.9134 | 0.9191 | -0.0043 |  |
| 36 | 1.80 | 0.9261 | 0.9314 | -0.0047 |  |


| 37 | 1.85 | 0.9411 | 0.9456 | -0.0045 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 1.90 | 0.9585 | 0.9618 | -0.0033 |
| 39 | 1.95 | 0.9781 | 0.9799 | -0.0018 |
| 40 | 2.00 | $1.0000 \quad 1.0000$ | 0.0000 |  |
| 41 | 2.05 | 1.0242 |  |  |
| 42 | 2.10 | 1.0508 |  |  |
| 43 | 2.15 | 1.0796 |  |  |
| 44 | 2.20 | 1.1108 |  |  |
| 45 | 2.25 | 1.1443 |  |  |
| 46 | 2.30 | 1.1800 |  |  |
| 47 | 2.35 | 1.2181 |  |  |
| 48 | 2.40 | 1.2585 |  |  |
| 49 | 2.45 | 1.3012 |  |  |
| 50 | 2.50 | 1.34621 .3293 | 0.0171 |  |
|  | 512.55 | 1.3935 |  |  |
|  | 522.60 | 1.4432 |  |  |
|  | 532.65 | 1.4951 |  |  |
|  | 542.70 | 1.5493 |  |  |
|  | 552.75 | 1.6059 |  |  |
|  | 562.8 | 1.6647 |  |  |
|  | 572.85 | 1.7259 |  |  |
|  | 582.90 | 1.7894 |  |  |
|  | 592.95 | 1.8552 |  |  |
|  | 603.00 | $2.0000 \quad 2.0000$ | 0.0000 |  |
|  | 613.05 | 2.1053 |  |  |
|  | 623.10 | 2.2140 |  |  |
|  | 633.15 | 2.3270 |  |  |
|  | 643.20 | 2.4450 |  |  |
|  | 653.25 | 2.5691 |  |  |
|  | 663.3 | 2.7001 |  |  |
|  | $67 \quad 3.35$ | 2.8393 |  |  |
|  | 683.40 | 2.9877 |  |  |
|  | 693.45 | 3.1466 |  |  |
|  | $70 \quad 3.50$ | 3.31723 .3234 | -0.0062 |  |
|  | 713.55 | 3.5009 |  |  |
|  | 723.60 | 3.6991 |  |  |
|  | 733.65 | 3.91330 |  |  |
|  | 743.70 | 4.1450 |  |  |
|  | 753.75 | 4.3960 |  |  |
|  | 763.8 | 4.6677 |  |  |
|  | $77 \quad 3.85$ | 4.9622 |  |  |
|  | 783.90 | 5.2811 |  |  |
|  | 793.95 | 5.6264 |  |  |
|  | 804.00 | $6.0000 \quad 6.0000$ | 0.0000 |  |
|  | 814.05 | 6.4212 |  |  |
|  | 824.10 | 6.8635 |  |  |
|  | 834.15 | 7.3300 |  |  |
|  | 844.20 | 7.8240 |  |  |
|  | 854.25 | 8.3495 |  |  |
|  | 864.30 | 8.9105 |  |  |
|  | 874.35 | 9.5117 |  |  |
|  | 884.40 | 10.1583 |  |  |
|  | 894.45 | 10.8558 |  |  |
|  | 904.50 | 11.6101 |  |  |

Table 1: Some examples of numerical results of the proposed technique compared to those of the numerical tables of ref. 1,2,3 A portion of the numerical results of Table I is shown schematically in Figure 1 below.


Fig 1: Part of the Gamma function $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ vs x .

## IV. CONCLUSION

We propose a semi-statistical technique to calculate the value of the Gamma function $G(x)$ in the whole space of positive x , i.e. $0<\mathrm{x}=<$ infinity.

The proposed method is simple and applies a seconddegree polynomial fit to find accurate values for $\operatorname{Gamma}(\mathrm{x})$ in the interval $0<x<=2$.

The precision of the numerical results of the new method is striking as shown in Table I where the absolute error is limited to less than 0.01 for the interval considered ( x element of $] 0.5]$ ).

We recommend the application of the proposed technique for practical cases of calculation of the gamma function in order to avoid the complication of its numerical integration.

NB. All calculations in this article were produced using the author's double-precision algorithm to ensure maximum accuracy, as follows by ref. 6 for example
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