Digital And Social Media Marketing's Impact on Consumer Behaviour

Kirankumar. L. N
Department of Post Graduate Studies and Research in Commerce
Kuvempu University, JnanaSahyadri,
Shankaraghatta- 577451
Shivamogga District, Karnataka

Abstract:- The purpose of this article is to review the most recent research on these topics in the consumer behavior and psychology literature to promote further relevant research. A total of 29 articles on these topics have appeared in the consumer behavior literature in recent years, suggesting that they are becoming increasingly popular in the field of consumer research. The effect of word of mouth [8], and his Yadav and Pavlous overview of marketing in the computerized environment RESEARCH THEMES AND FINDINGS Recent consumer research on digital marketing and social media shows five unique research themes. The most widely used themes are online word-of-mouth , which is discussed in nearly half of the articles, and advertising, which is addressed in little over one-fourth of the articles. EFFECTS OF DIGITAL ENVIRONMENTS The influence of the digital environment and social networks on consumer behaviour is a topic that has emerged in recent years [21-23]. ONLINE WORD OF MOUTH AND REVIEWS Given the apparent reliance of consumers on information obtained from socially sourced online sources, WOM is the most prevalent topic in research on digital and social marketing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Billions of people now regularly use the internet, social media, smartphone apps and other digital communication technologies. For example, the percentage of American adults who currently use the Internet is about 87%, and he is closer to 100% in certain demographics such as high-income adults and higher education[1]. Young people, the next generation of mass consumers, have a relatively high value[2]. It also increases your online time. For example, a UK adult now spends an average of 20.5 hours a week online, more than doubling over the past decade[3]. Some of it was pushed by social media. Growth: Over 2 billion people use social media worldwide[4] and on Facebook alone he has about 1 billion active users per day[5].

It is clear that people are becoming more and more accustomed to social and digital media. This serves a variety of purposes, including helping consumers find information about various products, find ways to buy and consume them, and share their experiences with others. In response to the shift, marketers are increasing their use of digital marketing channels. In fact, by 2017, almost one-third of global advertising spend is expected to come from digital media[6].

Therefore, in the future, consumer marketing will mostly take place online, especially on social media and smartphones. Consumer research therefore needs to analyze and understand consumer behavior in a digital context. Over the past decade, more and more research has focused on the issues of digital consumer behavior that are causing this. That said, this area of research is still very young and definitely needs further research. Especially given the dynamic nature of the digital, social media and mobile worlds in which consumers live and interact with businesses and others. The purpose of this article is to review the most recent research on these topics in the consumer behavior and psychology literature to promote further relevant research.

This review is based on articles he published in four major consumer research journals between January 2013 and September 2015. Journal of Consumer Research (JCR), Journal of Consumer Psychology (JCP), Journal of Marketing (JM), Journal of Marketing Research (JMR). This review features articles related to digital marketing, social media, and online word of mouth. A total of 29 articles on these topics have appeared in the consumer behavior literature in recent years, suggesting that they are becoming increasingly popular in the field of consumer research. In addition to these articles, there were three notable reviews: (i) Berger's review of word of mouth and interpersonal communication research [7], and (ii) his You et al. meta-analysis of online word of mouth. The effect of word of mouth [8], and (iii) his Yadav and Pavlous overview of marketing in the computerized environment

II. RESEARCH THEMES AND FINDINGS

Recent consumer research on digital marketing and social media shows five unique research themes. The five topics are: (i) consumer digital culture; (ii) advertising; (iii) effects of digital environments; (iv) mobile; and (v) online word of mouth and reviews. The most widely used themes are online word-of-mouth (WOM), which is discussed in nearly half of the articles, and advertising, which is addressed in little over one-fourth of the articles. I'll now go over each subject.

III. CONSUMER DIGITAL CULTURE

The digital settings in which consumers are positioned are extensively considered in consumer digital culture study. Understanding how consumer identities and self-concepts expand into virtual spaces has been a crucial component of

this research, as demonstrated by Belk's work [10, 11]. The digital was added to Belk [10]'s earlier research on the "extended self." contexts in which consumers currently locate themselves, which is a critical component of theory development since it takes into account ideas like the possibility that consumers may have numerous selves as a result of having various online "personas." Furthermore, Belk offers a wide range of potential study topics. In several studies on this issue, distinct phenomena were examined. The "megaphone effect," which refers to the capacity for common consumers to reach enormous audiences through digital/social media, was examined by McQuarrie et al. [12] with a focus on fashion blogging. They talked about how bloggers acquire followers and social (or cultural) capital by exhibiting "excellent taste," which is an essential consequence. In a social media context, this essentially implies that a blogger (or "influencer" in this case) provides recommendations that let other users know she is an expert. Although this is in a particular context, it has significance for understanding consumers' content-generation habits on social media in general, as indicating favourable personal traits is probably a typical incentive for sharing certain items on websites like Facebook. Together, these articles contribute significantly to our understanding of how we conceptualise consumers in the digital age. This is especially true because they suggest a broader understanding of what it means to be a consumer in the modern digital age.

IV. ADVERTISING

The marketing literature devotes a lot of attention to the topic of digital advertising, which takes into account consumer behaviour when examining how people react to different facets of digital ads. The behavioural aspects of digital advertising have been examined in a number of recent articles from different angles. One interesting angle that was taken in a few articles [13-15] focused on how to get past (supposed) psychological reactivity caused by the personalization of digital ad targeting. Schumann et al. [13] thought about how normative reciprocity appeals could counteract unfavourable responses to personalization (instead of utility appeals). When a customer returns to a website, personalised recommendations based on previous web browsing history are made. This is known as ad retargeting, according to research by Lambrecht and Tucker [14]. Retargeting does cause some unfavourable reactions, but this is lessened as consumers' preferences become more exact. Tucker [15] discovered that when consumers perceive themselves to have more control over the personal information used for personalization, they are more likely to respond favourably to personalised website ads. This finding directly relates to the literature on psychological reactions and offers an alternative theoretical framework for the field's dearth of consumer digital privacy research.

Other articles [16–20] have looked at a range of digital ad response factors. When Luo et al. [16] examined the factors that influenced the popularity of group-buying ads (i.e., "daily deals" akin to those from Groupon), they discovered that social influence (i.e., social proof resulting from other deal

purchases) was a significant factor. When consumers search for less common keywords, they have to put more effort into their searches, according to research by Jerath et al. [17] on responses to search engine advertising. Puccinelli et al. [18] looked at digital video ads (such as those that appear on Hulu and YouTube), focusing on how the energy of the ads and the emotion of the TV shows interacted to influence consumer reactions. When viewers are experiencing "deactivating" emotions, such as sadness, it is more difficult for them to view engaging advertisements, according to their research on the importance of affective matching between a show and an advertisement. Dinner et al. [19] examined how digital display and search advertisements influence both online and offline shopping for a retailer and discovered that digital advertisements are more successful than offline advertisements in influencing online behaviour. Last but not least, Goldstein et al. [20] investigated "annoying" (e.g., intrusive, low quality) website ads and demonstrated how they result in financial costs for advertisers (i.e., waste) and cognitive costs for consumers.

V. EFFECTS OF DIGITAL ENVIRONMENTS

The influence of the digital environment and social networks on consumer behaviour is a topic that has emerged in recent years [21-23]. Consequences can be classified as contextually contingent (behavior in contexts unrelated to the digital environment is affected) or integral (behavior in these contexts is affected by the digital environment). It would be interesting to observe how different aspects of social media in the digital and social environment, such as being exposed to the opinions or choices of other consumers (such as bidding in an online auction), or even learning about the lives of their friends through Social networks influence a person's entire life. future measures. For example, regarding environmental influences, in digital environments learning from strangers has been considered by Lamberton et al. [21] and Norton et al. [twenty-two]. They found that consumers in a competitive environment infer inequality between people and show hostility toward ambiguous others (strangers) [21], and found that finding other people online leads to the same behavior as your decisions decreases your trust in your decisions rather than increasing your confidence. in them If other people's reasons (such as online reviews) are different from yours. Wilcox and Stephen [23] studied how Facebook use affects self-control by observing the state of the environment from a different perspective. They found that clients showed less self-control when making decisions about healthy behaviors, for example, after reaching out to closer friends on Facebook (eg, choosing cookies over granola bars). healthier).

VI. MOBILE

As people use mobile devices more frequently, consumer behaviour in mobile environments is also becoming more and more significant. In terms of shopping, this is particularly intriguing. Hui et al[24] .'s investigation into consumer behaviour in relation to mobile coupons used in physical stores found that when consumers are required to deviate from their intended shopping routes in order to take advantage of mobile offers, the amount of impulsive spending can rise. The environment of an online shop. According to

Brasel and Gips [25], purchasing products using a mobile device (such as a tablet) can lead to greater feelings of psychological ownership and endowment because touching the product rather than simply clicking with a mouse can do so. This is a fascinating contribution because there is little research on the physical interactions that consumers have with mobile devices and how that affects how they make decisions, despite the fact that it is crucial as this article demonstrated. Work by Bart et al. [26] considered how mobile display ads, which are tiny and carry very little (if any) information, influence consumers' brand attitudes and purchase intentions, but it had nothing to do with shopping. They discovered that mobile display advertisements have no impact on many product categories, but they do improve attitudes and intentions for highly involved, utilitarian products (e.g., financial services).

VII. ONLINE WORD OF MOUTH (WOM) AND REVIEWS

Given the apparent reliance of consumers on information obtained from socially sourced online sources, WOM is the most prevalent topic in research on digital and social marketing. Recent articles have covered a number of sub-themes. First, a fascinating collection of articles looked at the linguistic aspects of online WOM and/or reviews [27–33], demonstrating in general how perceptions of reviews and their influence can be influenced by subtle linguistic aspects. For instance, Kronrod and Danziger [27] demonstrated that the use of figurative (as opposed to literal) language in online reviews had a favourable effect on consumers' attitudes towards and choice of hedonic goods. When analysing the explanatory language used in online reviews, Moore [28] discovered that whether or not users described their actions or reactions had an impact on how helpful they felt the review was. When considering negative WOM, Hamilton et al. [29] discovered that using euphemistic language (e.g., "I don't want to be negative, but...") increases perceived reviewer credibility and likeability. Mixed (positive and negative) versus indifferent neutral language were the two types of language that Tang et al. [30] examined. They demonstrate how mixed neutral (as opposed to indifferent) WOM amplifies the effects of WOM on purchasing. Ludwig et al. [31] studied affective language in reviews and investigated how a review with linguistic style that is consistent with the typical linguistic style used for that product group influenced sales, finding that positive affect increases conversions (but at a diminishing rate), negative affect decreases conversions, and congruent linguistic styles are advantageous. Consumers are less likely to discount favourable reviewer opinions if the reviewer's experience seems to have occurred recently, according to Chen and Lurie's [32] analysis of temporal contiguity language in online reviews (i.e., reviewers indicating they recently had the experience) (i.e., presence of temporal contiguity cues).

The differences between online and offline WOM have recently been studied as another important topic. Lovett et al. [33] discovered that while offline WOM is driven by emotional brand characteristics, online WOM is driven by social and functional brand characteristics. Consumers are less likely to spread WOM on social media due to a higher perceived social risk, according to research by Eisingerich et al. [34] comparing WOM transmission in social media (such as Facebook) versus offline (in person).

Finally, additional online WOM-related issues were taken into account in other recent articles. For instance, He and Bond [35] examined whether online reviews can accurately predict how much a consumer will enjoy a brand and discovered that the forecast error/discrepancy depends on how closely the preferences of the reviewer and the consumer match. In online WOM settings, Cascio et al. [36] identified neural correlates of social influence susceptibility, with susceptibility to social influence being related to brain regions involved with changing personal preferences and taking others into consideration. He and Bond [37] concentrated on collections of online reviews (vs. single reviews) and thought about how customers interpret opinion dispersion and whether it is attributed to the product or the reviewers' varied tastes. According to Anderson and Simester [38], who documented the prevalence of false online reviews left by people who have not actually bought a product, the practise is not just used by rival companies but also by regular customers who have no financial incentive to slant online reviews. Finally, Barasch and Berger [39] looked at social transmission behaviour when consumers broadcast (to many, for example, through mass-audience posts on Facebook or Twitter) versus narrowcast (to few, for example, through messages to a few friends), discovering that people share information to make themselves look good when broadcasting (i.e., self focus) but share information that will be helpful to receivers when narrowcasting (i.e., other focus).

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The literature on social/virtual customer behavior is growing rapidly, and much of it specializes in theoretically interesting and practically applicable phenomena. In most cases, researchers looked at how buyers used the data (e.g., online WOM, reviews) available to them in a social/virtual media environment. Future studies should retain this approach, albeit to a greater extent. Consumer behavior outside of those related to WOM/online reviews should be considered and the different types of data found (and inferences made) in the online environment should be viewed review. For example, it is interesting to recall the complex interactions between sender, receiver, language/content and contextual factors related to the premise and impact of online WOM.

Future studies have to additionally cognizance at the consequences of numerous virtual environments (including social media and mobile) on quite a number client effects, together with mental and economic constructs. This hasn`t been protected in lots of articles, no matter the reality that it is probable that a extensive variety of client effects are stricken by the an increasing number of virtual environments wherein they locate themselves. It`s additionally potential that poor consequences can be found, just like Wilcox and Stephen`s [23] locating connecting Facebook use to reduced self control. In addition, given what Brasel and Gips [25]

determined concerning emotions of endowment whilst the usage of touch-primarily based totally interfaces for shopping, it`s far important to discover in addition the methods that purchasers bodily interact (i.e., interface) with virtual environments. Because those consequences can be diffused however upload as much as widespread consequences through the years, it is going to be important to take longer-time period responses under consideration whilst analyzing the consequences of virtual environments on purchasers. Therefore, longitudinal research capturing virtual exhibitions, online social interactions and buyer behavior over time should be combined with ad hoc experimental research.

Finally, researchers want to keep in mind hot topics that might be just starting to get attention, especially issues of customer privacy in the context of social media and virtual marketing. Tucker [15] gave this a little thought, but additional studies had to fully understand how buyers perceive their privacy, what they need to do to protect it, and how they evaluate (or devalue) the offers virtual media has (or DO). no) protect privacy.

In summary, there are many new interests in the literature on psychology and customer behavior related to virtual and social network marketing, and much progress has been made in our knowledge of the topics. this. Research that aims to broaden our understanding of key phenomena, investigate new ones, and develop theories that lack long-standing theoretical foundations can be particularly important for advancing data. Especially since virtual environments are fast-moving by nature.

IX. CONCLUSION

Billions of people now regularly use the internet, social media, smartphone apps and other digital communication technologies. By 2017, nearly one-third of global advertising spend is expected to come from digital media. Over the past decade, more and more research has focused on the issues of digital consumer behaviour that are causing this. A recent consumer survey on digital marketing and social media highlighted five unique research themes. The five themes are: Digital consumer culture. advertising; the impact of the digital environment; mobile phones, mobile phones; and online word of mouth and reviews. Much attention is given to the topic of digital advertising in the marketing literature. Consumer behaviour is taken into consideration when examining how people respond to various aspects of digital advertising. The behavioural aspects of digital advertising have been explored from different angles in many recent articles. The impact of the digital environment and social networks on consumer behaviour is a topic that has emerged in recent years. Wilcox and Stephen looked at the state of the environment from another perspective to see how Facebook use affects self-regulation. Consumer behaviour in the mobile environment is also becoming more important as the use of mobile devices increases. Given that consumers clearly rely on information from online social sources, WOM is the most prevalent topic in digital and social marketing research.

REFERENCES

[1]. Pew Research Centre (2015), Internet Use Over Time: American Adults, http://www.pewinternet.org/data-trend/internet-use/internet-use-over-time/ (accessed 09/15/15).

[2]. Pew Research Centre (2015), Internet Use Over Time: American Teens (12-17), http://www.pewinternet.org/data-trend/teens/internet-use/ (accessed 09/15/15).

[3]. Ofcom (2015), Adults' Media Use and Attitudes Report, http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/market-data-research/other/research-publications/adults/media- lit-10years/ (accessed 09/15/15).

[4]. We Are Social (2014), Global Social Media Users Pass 2 Billion, http://wearesocial.net/blog/2014/08/global-social-media-users-pass-2-billion/ (accessed 09/15/15).

[5]. Facebook (2015), Facebook Company Info: Stats, http://newsroom.fb.com/company-info/ (accessed 09/15/15).

[6]. eMarketer (2015), Advertisers Will Spend Nearly $600 Billion Worldwide in 2015, http://www.emarketer.com/Article/Advertisers-Will-Spend-Nearly-600-Billion-Worldwide 2015/1011691 (accessed 09/15/15).

[7]. Berger, Jonah (2014), "Word of Mouth and Interpersonal Communication: A Review and Directions for Future Research," Journal of Consumer Psychology, 24 (4), 586-607.

[8]. You, Ya, Vadakkepatt, Gautham G., and Joshi, Amit M. (2015), "A Meta-Analysis of Electronic Word-of-Mouth Elasticity," Journal of Marketing, 79 (2), 19-39.

[9]. Yadav, Manjit, and Pavlou, Paul A. (2014), "Marketing in Computer-Mediated Environments: Research Synthesis and New Directions," Journal of Marketing, 78 (1), 20-40.

[10]. Belk, Russell W., "Extended Self in a Digital World," Journal of Consumer Research, 40(3), 477-500.

[11]. Belk, Russell W., "The Extended Self in a Digital World," this issue.

[12]. McQuarrie, Edward F., Miller, Jessica, and Phillips, Barbara J. (2013), "The Megaphone Effect: Taste and Audience in Fashion Blogging," Journal of Consumer Research, 40 (1), 136-158.

[13]. Schumann, Jan H., von Wangenheim, Florian, and Groene, Nicole (2014), "Targeted Online Advertising: Using Reciprocity Appeals to Increase Acceptance Among Users of Free Web Services," Journal of Marketing, 78 (1), 59-75.

[14]. ** Lambrecht, Anja and Tucker, Catherine (2013), "When Does Retargeting Work? Information Specificity in Online Advertising," Journal of Marketing Research, 50 (5), 561-576. Retargeting means that consumers are targeted with personalized ads designed based on prior browsing history when they return to a website. The authors report a field experiment on a travel website and find that, generally, retargeted ads do worse than control ads that are generic/not personalized. This negative response is not found if, based on browsing histories, consumers' preferences

have evolved in the sense that they have gotten more precise.

[15]. ** Tucker, Catherine E. (2014), "Social Networks, Personalized Advertising, and Privacy Controls," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (5), 546-562. The author studies how consumers' perceptions of control over personal information used for social media ad targeting (i.e., personalized ads) influence likelihood to click on ads, using data from a field experiment. Personalized ads did not perform well. However, when the website gave users more control over their personal information, personalized ads performed better. This finding is consistent with the idea that reactance-type responses can be mitigated by giving consumers a sense of (perceived) control or freedom of choice.

[16]. Luo, Xueming, Andrews, Michelle, Song, Yiping, and Aspara, Jaakko (2014), "Group-Buying Deal Popularity," Journal of Marketing, 78 (2), 20-33.

[17]. Jerath, Kinshuk, Ma, Liye, and Park, Young-Hoon (2014), "Consumer Click Behavior at a Search Engine: The Role of Keyword Popularity," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (4), 480-486.

[18]. ** Puccinelli, Nancy M. Wilcox, Keith, and Grewal, Dhruv (2015), "Consumers' Response to Commercials: When the Energy Level in the Commercial Conflicts with the Media Context," Journal of Marketing, 79 (2), 1-18. The authors report six studies looking at the interplay between focal media content (e.g., a TV show or a movie) and digital video ads that come after viewing that content. They show that after watching content that evokes a deactivating emotion, consumers view energetic ads less and have lower recall compared to when they do not experience a deactivating emotion.

[19]. Dinner, Isaac M., Van Heerde, Harald J., and Neslin, Scott A. (2014), "Driving Online and Offline Sales: The Cross-Channel Effects of Traditional, Online Display, and Paid Search Advertising," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (5), 527-545.

[20]. Goldstein, Daniel G. Suri, Siddharth, McAfee, R. Preston, Ekstrand-Abueg, Matthew, and Diaz, Fernando (2014), "The Economic and Cognitive Costs of Annoying Display Advertisements," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (6), 742-752.

[21]. Lamberton, Cait Poynor, Naylor, Rebecca Walker, and Haws, Kelly L. (2013), "Same destination, different paths: When and how does observing others' choices and reasoning alter confidence in our own choices?" Journal of Consumer Psychology, 23 (1), 74-89.

[22]. Norton, David A., Lamberton, Cait Poynor, and Naylor, Rebecca Walker (2013), "The Devil You (Don't) Know: Interpersonal Ambiguity and Inference Making in Competitive Contexts," Journal of Consumer Research, 40 (2), 239-254.

[23]. Wilcox, Keith, and Stephen, Andrew T. (2013), "Are Close Friends the Enemy? Online Social Networks, Self-Esteem, and Self-Control," Journal of Consumer Research, 40 (1), 90-103. The authors show that using Facebook, even for just five minutes, can potentially lower subsequent self-control in unrelated tasks (e.g., persistence with a mentally challenging task, healthy food choices). This effect occurs when consumers' Facebook "friends" are mostly strong ties (more close friends than acquaintances), and, perversely, occurs because time on Facebook being exposed to the lives of one's reasonably close friends boosts self-esteem (which in turn lowers self-control).

[24]. Hui, Sam K., Inman, J. Jeffrey, Huang, Yanliu, and Suher, Jacob (2013), "The Effect of In- Store Travel Distance on Unplanned Spending: Applications to Mobile Promotion Strategies," Journal of Marketing, 77 (2), 1-16.

[25]. ** Brasel, S. Adam, and Gips, James (2014), "Tablets, touchscreens, and touchpads: How varying touch interfaces trigger psychological ownership and endowment," Journal of Consumer Psychology, 24 (2), 226-233.

[26]. * Bart, Yakov, Stephen, Andrew T., and Sarvary, Miklos (2014), "Which Products Are Best Suited to Mobile Advertising? A Field Study of Mobile Display Advertising Effects on Consumer Attitudes and Intentions," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (3), 270-285. A study of 54 mobile display ad campaigns, each with test (saw ad) and control (did not see ad) groups, finds that mobile display ads only positively affect brand favorability and purchase intention for products that are both utilitarian and high involvement (e.g., financial services). The authors explain that this could be because these types of products trigger more deliberate "central route" processing (following the elaboration likelihood model) that leads to greater persuasive effectiveness.

[27]. Kronrod, Ann, and Danziger, Shai (2013), "Wii Will Rock You! The Use and Effect of Figurative Language in Consumer Reviews of Hedonic and Utilitarian Consumption," Journal of Consumer Research, 40 (4), 726-739.

[28]. ** Moore, Sarah G. (2015), "Attitude Predictability and Helpfulness in Online Reviews: The Role of Explained Actions and Reactions," Journal of Consumer Research, 42 (1), 30-44.

[29]. Hamilton, Ryan, Vohs, Kathleen D., and McGill, Ann L. (2014), "We'll Be Honest, This Won't Be the Best Article You'll Ever Read: The Use of Dispreferred Markers in Word-of- Mouth Communication," Journal of Consumer Research, 41 (1), 197-212.

[30]. Tang, Tanya, Fang, Eric, and Wang, Feng (2014), "Is Neutral Really Neutral? The Effects of Neutral User-Generated Content on Product Sales," Journal of Marketing, 78 (4), 41-58.

[31]. Ludwig, Stephan, de Ruyter, Ko, Friedman, Mike, Brueggen, Elisabeth C., Wetzels, Martin, and Pfann, Gerard (2013), "More Than Words: The Influence of Affective Content and Linguistic Style Matches in Online Reviews on Conversion Rates," Journal of Marketing, 77 (1), 87-103.

[32]. * Chen, Zoey, and Lurie, Nicholas H. (2013), "Temporal Contiguity and Negativity Bias in the Impact of Online Word of Mouth," Journal of Marketing Research, 50 (4), 463-476.

[33]. ** Lovett, Mitchell J., Peres, Renana, and Shachar, Ron (2013), "On brands and Word of Mouth," Journal of Marketing Research, 50 (4), 427-444.

[34]. Eisingerich, Andreas B., Chun, HaeEun, Liu, Yeyi, Jia, He, and Bell, Simon J. (2015), "Why recommend a brand face-to-face but not on Facebook? How word-of-mouth on online social sites differs from traditional word-of-mouth," Journal of Consumer Psychology, 25 (1), 120-128.

[35]. He, Stephen X. and Bond, Samuel D. (2013), "Word-of-mouth and the forecasting of consumption enjoyment," Journal of Consumer Psychology, 23 (4), 464-482.

[36]. Cascio, Christopher N., O'Donnell, Matthew Brook, Bayer, Joseph, Tinney, Francis J., Jr., and Falk, Emily B. (2015), "Neural Correlates of Susceptibility to Group Opinions in Online Word-of-Mouth Recommendations," Journal of Marketing Research, 52 (4), 559-575.

[37]. He, Stephen X. and Bond, Samuel D. (2015), "Why Is the Crowd Divided? Attribution for Dispersion in Online Word of Mouth," Journal of Consumer Research, 41 (6), 1509-1527.

[38]. Anderson, Eric T. and Simester, Duncan I. (2014), "Reviews Without a Purchase: Low Ratings, Loyal Customers, and Deception," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (3), 249-269.

[39]. Barasch, Alixandra and Berger, Jonah (2014), "Broadcasting and Narrowcasting: How Audience Size Affects What People Share," Journal of Marketing Research, 51 (3), 286-299.