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#### Abstract

An absolute valued algebra is a nonzero real algebra that is equipped with a multiplicative norm ||ab\| $=\|\mathbf{a}\|\|\mathrm{\|}\|$. We classify, by an algebraic method, all fourdimensional absolute valued algebras containing a nonzero central element and commutative sub-algebra of dimension two. Moreover, we give some conditions implying that these new algebras having sub-algebras of dimension two.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a non-necessarily associative real algebra which is normed as real vector space. We say that a real algebra is a pre-Hilbert algebra, if it's norm ||. || come from an inner product (./.), and it's said to be absolute valued algebras, if it's norm satisfy the equality $\|a b\|=\|a\|\| \|\| \|$, for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$. Note that, the norm of any absolute valued algebras containing a nonzero central idempotent (or finite dimensional) comes from an inner product [3] and [4]. In 1947 Albert proved that the finite dimensional unital absolute valued algebras are classified by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{H}$ and O , and that every finite dimensional absolute valued algebra has dimension 1, 2, 4 or 8 [1]. Urbanik and Wright proved in 1960 that all unital absolute valued algebras are classified by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{H}$ and O [9]. It is easily seen that the one-dimensional absolute valued algebras are classified by $\mathbb{R}$, and it is wellknown that the two-dimensional absolute valued algebras are classified by $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^{*}, * \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ (the real algebras obtained by endowing the space $\mathbb{C}$ with the product $\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{y}=\overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x} * \mathrm{y}=$ $x \bar{y}$, and $x * y=\bar{x} \bar{y}$ respectively) [7]. The four-dimensional absolute valued algebras have been described by M.I. Ramirez Alvarez in 1997 [5]. The problem of classifying all four (eight)-dimensional absolute valued algebras seems still to be open.

In 2016 [5], we classified all four-dimensional absolute valued algebras containing a nonzero central idempotent and we also proved such an algebra contains a commutative subalgebra of dimension two. Here (theorems 3.1 and 3.2) we extend this result to more general situation. Indeed, Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element a. If A has a commutative subalgebra of dimension two, then A is isomorphic to a new absolute valued algebras of dimension four. We also show, in
proposition 2.9, that A contains a sub-algebra of dimension two if and only if $\left(a^{2} a\right) a=\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}$. We denote that a central idempotent is central element, the reciprocal does not hold in general, and the counter example is given (theorems 3.1 and 3.2).

In section 2 we introduce the basic tools for the study of four-dimensional absolute valued algebras. We also give some properties related to central element satisfying some restrictions on commutativity (lemmas 2.6, 2.7, 2.8 and proposition 2.9). Moreover, the section 3 is devoted to construct, by algebraic method, some new class of the fourdimensional absolute valued algebras having commutative sub-algebras of dimension two, namely $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}$, $B_{2}, B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$. The paper ends with the following main results:
> Theorem 3.1 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element a and commutative sub-algebra $B=A(e, i)$, where $i^{2}=$ $-e$, ie $=e i= \pm i$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$, $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ or $B_{4}$.
> Theorem 3.2 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element a such that $\left(a^{2} a\right) a=\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}, A_{2}$, $A_{3}, A_{4}, B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$.

## II. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES RESULTS

In this paper all the algebras are considered over the real numbers field $\mathbb{R}$.
> Definition 2.1 Let B be an arbitrary algebra.

- B is called a normed algebra (respectively, absolute valued algebra) if it is endowed with a space norm: || . \|| such that $\|x y\| \leq\|x\|\| \| y \|$ (respectively, $\|x y\|=\|x\|\|y\|$, for all $x, y \in B$ ).
- $B$ is called a division algebra if the operators $L_{x}$ and $R_{x}$ of left and right multiplication by x are bijective for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{B} \backslash\{0\}$.
- $\quad$ B is called a pre-Hilbert algebra if it is endowed with a space norm comes from an inner product (.I.) such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(. / .): \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mapsto \frac{1}{4}\left(\|\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}\|^{2}-\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The most natural examples of absolute valued algebras are $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{H}$ (the algebra of Hamilton quaternion) and 0 (the algebra of Cayley numbers) with norms equal to their usual absolute values [2] and [8]. The algebras by $\mathbb{C}^{*}, * \mathbb{C}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$ (obtained by endowing the space by $\mathbb{C}$ with the products defined by $x * y=\bar{x} y, x * y=x \bar{y}$, and $x * y=\bar{x} \bar{y}$ respectively) where $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{x}}$ is the standard conjugation of $\mathbb{C}$. Note that the algebras $\mathbb{C}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$ are the only two-dimensional commutative absolute valued algebras.

We need the following relevant results:
> Theorem 2.2 [3] The norm of any finite dimensional absolute valued algebra comes from an inner product.
> Theorem 2.3 [4] The norm of any absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent comes from an inner product.
> Theorem 2.4 [5] Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent $e$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ or $A_{4}$ defined by: $\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1\right)$

| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | e | i | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | i | -e | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $-\alpha \mathrm{j}-\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | -e | i |
| k | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | -e |


| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | e | i | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | i | -e | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $-\alpha \mathrm{j}-\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | -e | i |
| k | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | -e |


| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | e | i | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | i | -e | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $-\alpha \mathrm{j}-\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | -e | i |
| k | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | -e |


| $\mathbf{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | e | i | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | i | -e | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $-\alpha \mathrm{j}-\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | -e | i |
| k | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | -e |

> Lemma 2.5 [5] Let A be a finite dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent $e$, then $A$ contains a commutative sub-algebra of dimension two.
> Lemma 2.6 Let A be a finite dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element $a$, then

- $x^{2}=-\|x\|^{2} a^{2}$, for all $x \in\{a\}^{\perp}=\{x \in A,(x / a)=0\}$
- $\quad x y+y x=-2(x / y) a^{2}$ for all $x, y \in\{a\}^{\perp}$
- $\quad(x y / y x)=-\left(x^{2} / y^{2}\right)$ for all $x, y \in\{a\}^{\perp}$ such that $(x / y)=0$.

Proof. 1) By theorem 2.2, the norm of A comes from an inner product and we assume that $\|x\|=1$ (where $\mathrm{x} \in$ $\{a\}^{\perp}$ ), we have :

$$
\left\|x^{2}-a^{2}\right\|^{2}=\|x-a\|^{2}\|x+a\|^{2}=2
$$

That is $\left(x^{2} / a^{2}\right)=-1$, which imply that $\| x^{2}+$ $a^{2} \|^{2}=0$ therefore $x^{2}=-a^{2}$
2) It's clear.
3) We get this identity by simple linearization of the identity $\left\|\mathrm{x}^{2}\right\|=\|\mathrm{x}\|^{2}$.
> Lemma 2.7 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a commutative sub-algebra $B$ of dimension two. If $x, y \in B^{\perp}$, then $x y \in B$.

Proof. According to Rodriguez's theorem [7], B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ or $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there exist an idempotent e and an element $i$ such that $B=A(e, i)$, where $i^{2}=-e$ and $e i=i e$ $= \pm i$. Let $F=\{e, i, j, k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $A$, as $A$ is a division algebra then $L_{j}$ is bijective, so there exist $j^{\prime}$ such that $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{jj}$. We have
$\left(\mathrm{j}^{\prime} / \mathrm{e}\right)=(\mathrm{jj} / \mathrm{je})=(\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{je})= \pm$
$(\mathrm{ie} / \mathrm{je})= \pm(\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{j})=0$ and
$\left(\mathrm{j}^{\prime} / \mathrm{i}\right)=(\mathrm{jj} / \mathrm{ji})=(\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{ji})=$ $\pm(\mathrm{ei} / \mathrm{ji})= \pm(\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{j})=0$
so $\mathrm{j}^{\prime}=\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently we have $i=j j^{\prime}=\alpha e+\beta j k$, which mean that $j k \in B$. Finally if we pose $x=p j+q k$ and $y=p^{\prime} j+q^{\prime} k$ with $p, q, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $x y=\left(p p^{\prime}+q q^{\prime}\right) e+\left(p q^{\prime}-q p^{\prime}\right) j k \in B(j k=-k j)$.
> Lemma 2.8 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element $a$ and commutative sub-algebra $B$, then $a \in B$.

Proof. By Rodriguez's theorem [7], B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ or $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$. That is, there exist an idempotent e and an element i such that $B=A(e, i)$, where $i^{2}=-e$ and $i e=e i= \pm i$. We distinguish the two following cases:

- If $(a / e)=0$, by lemma 2.6, we have $a^{2}=-e$, so $a= \pm i \in B$ $\left(a i=i a\right.$ and $\left.i^{2}=-e\right)$.
- If $(a / e) \neq 0$, we put $c=a-(a / e) e$, this imply that $(c / e)=$ 0.

Since ce $=e c$, then $c^{2}=-\|c\|^{2} e=\|c\|^{2} i^{2}$ which means that $\quad \mathrm{c}= \pm\|\mathrm{c}\| \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{ci}=\mathrm{ic})$, thus $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{c}+(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{e}) \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{B}$. We can put $\mathrm{a}=\lambda \mathrm{e}+\mu \mathrm{i}$, with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \quad\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=1\right)$ and let $\mathrm{b}=\mu \mathrm{e}-\lambda \mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{A}$ two elements orthogonal to a .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { As aj }=j a \text {, we get } \lambda \text { ej }+\mu \mathrm{ij}=\lambda \mathrm{je}+\mu \mathrm{ji} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using lemma 2.6, we have $\mathrm{bj}+\mathrm{jb}=0$. This imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \mathrm{ej}+\lambda \mathrm{ij}=-\mu \mathrm{je}-\lambda \mathrm{ji} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equalities (1) and (2), we obtain
$2 \lambda \mu \mathrm{ej}+\mathrm{ij}=\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) \mathrm{ji} \quad\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=1\right)$
Therefore $\quad(2 \lambda \mu \mathrm{ej}+\mathrm{ij} / \mathrm{ij})=\left(\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) \mathrm{ji} / \mathrm{ij}\right)$
Applying lemma 3, we get
$1=\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)(\mathrm{ji} / \mathrm{ij})=-\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{j}^{2} / \mathrm{i}^{2}\right)$
Since $\quad a^{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) e \pm 2 \lambda \mu i, i^{2}=-e$ and $j^{2}=-a^{2}$
then the equality (3) gives $\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)^{2}=1$. Hence $\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}=1$ or $\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}=-1$, as $\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=1$, then $\lambda^{2}=1$ (because, $\lambda=$ $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{e}) \neq 0)$. That is, $\mathrm{a}= \pm \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{B}$.

We give some conditions implying the existence of two-dimensional sub-algebras.

## > Proposition 2.9 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute

 valued algebra containing a nonzero central element $a$, then the following assertions are equivalent:$$
\left(\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{a}\right) \mathrm{a}=\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

A contains a commutative sub-algebra B of dimension two.

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 2$ ) If $\left(a / a^{2}\right)=0$, by lemma 2.6 , we have $\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}=-a^{2}$, so $a^{2} a=-a$ which means that $B:=A\left(a, a^{2}\right)$ is two dimensional commutative sub-algebra of A .

- If $a$ and $a^{2}$ linearly dependent, then $a$ is a nonzero central idempotent. Therefore A contains a commutative sub-algebra of dimension two (lemma 2.5),
- we assume that $\left(a / a^{2}\right)=m \neq 0$ such that $\mathrm{m}^{2} \neq 1$. We pose $d=a^{2}-m a$, this imply that $(d / a)=0$, using lemma 2.6 , we have $d^{2}=-\|d\|^{2} a^{2}=-\left(1-m^{2}\right) a^{2}$ which means that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(1-m^{2}\right) a^{2}=\left(a^{2}-m a\right)^{2}=\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \mathrm{ma}^{2} a+m^{2} a^{2} \\
& \text { So } \quad-\left(1-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \mathrm{a}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{a}^{2} a\right) a-2 \mathrm{a}^{2} a+\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} \\
& \text { Hence } \quad-\left(1-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}^{2} a-2 \mathrm{ma}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2} a=d a-m d
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{ad}=\mathrm{da}=-\left(1-\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{md}$ this means that $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d})$ is a two-dimensional commutative sub-algebra of A . 2) $\Rightarrow 1)$ Let $B$ be a two-dimensional commutative subalgebra of A, according to Rodriguez's theorem [7] B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ or $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$. That is $\mathrm{B}:=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{i})$ such that $\mathrm{ie}=\mathrm{ei}=$ $\pm i$ and $i^{2}=-e$, where $e$ is an idempotent of $A$. The lemma 2.8 proves that $a= \pm e$ or $a= \pm i \quad$ and we have the two following cases:

- $\quad$ B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, hence a verifies the equality (1)
- B is isomorphic to $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\mathrm{a}= \pm \mathrm{e}$ satisfies the identity (1).
- But since ie $=$ ei $=-i$ and $i^{2}=-e$, thus $\left(a^{2} a\right) a \neq\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}$.
$>$ Remark 2.10 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element $a$ and commutative sub-algebra $B$ isomorphic to $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$. If $\left(a^{2} a\right) a=$ $\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}$, then a is a central idempotent of $A$


## III. MAIN RESULTS

> Theorem 3.1 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element a and commutative sub-algebra $B=A(e, i)$, where $i^{2}=-$ $e$, ie $=e i= \pm i$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$, $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ or $B_{4}$.

Proof. According to lemma 1, we have the following cases:

- $\quad a= \pm e$ is a central idempotent of $A$, by theorem 2.3, $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ or $A_{4}$.
- 2) $a= \pm i$ is a central element of $A$. Let $F=\{e, i, j, k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $A$, since $j^{2}=k^{2}=-i^{2}=e$ and $j k=$ $-\mathrm{kj} \in \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{lemma} 2.6)$, then $(\mathrm{jk} / \mathrm{e})=\left(\mathrm{jk} / \mathrm{j}^{2}\right)=(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{j})=0$ hence $\mathrm{jk}= \pm \mathrm{i}$.
- The set $\{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ij}, \mathrm{ik}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of A , then $(e \mathrm{j} / \mathrm{e})=\left(\mathrm{ej} / \mathrm{e}^{2}\right)=(\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{j})=0,(\mathrm{ej} / \mathrm{i})= \pm(\mathrm{ej} / \mathrm{ei})= \pm(\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{i})=0$ and $(e j / i j)=(e / j)=0$.
- Which imply that $\mathrm{ej}= \pm \mathrm{jk}$, similarly $(\mathrm{ek} / \mathrm{e})=\left(\mathrm{ek} / \mathrm{e}^{2}\right)=(\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{k})=0,(\mathrm{ek} / \mathrm{i})= \pm(\mathrm{ek} / \mathrm{ei})= \pm(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{i})=0$ and $(\mathrm{ek} / \mathrm{ik})=(\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{k})=0$ Then $\mathrm{ek}= \pm \mathrm{ij}$. According to lemma 3, $(\mathrm{ej} / \mathrm{je})=-\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{j}^{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{i}^{2}\right)=-1$ hence ej $=-\mathrm{je}$. Also $(\mathrm{ek} / \mathrm{ke})=-\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} / \mathrm{k}^{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{i}^{2}\right)=-1$, thus ek $=-\mathrm{ke}$. We assume that $\mathrm{jk}=\mathrm{i}$ and we distinguish the following cases:
$B$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathrm{ei}=\mathrm{ie}=\mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{i}^{2}=-\mathrm{e}$. So $\mathrm{ej}=\mathrm{ik}$ and $\mathrm{ek}=-\mathrm{ij}$. Indeed, if ej $=-\mathrm{ik}$ then

$$
(e+k) j=e j+k j=-i k-j k=-i k-i=-k i-e i=-(e+k) i
$$

Which gives $\mathrm{i}=-\mathrm{j} \quad$ (A has no zero divisors), contradiction. Moreover, if ek $=\mathrm{ij}$ then

$$
(e+j) k=e k+j k=i j+i=j i+e i=(e+j) i
$$

The last gives $k=i$, which is absurd. We pose ej $=\alpha j+$ $\beta \mathrm{k} \quad\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1\right)$, then $\mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{ki}=\alpha \mathrm{e}+\beta \mathrm{j}$. And $\mathrm{ek}=\lambda \mathrm{j}+\mu \mathrm{k}$, where $\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=1$. Since (ek/ej) $=0$, we get $\alpha \lambda+\beta \mu=0$. So

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda)^{2}=\alpha^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \alpha \mu \beta \lambda+\beta^{2} \lambda^{2} \\
=\alpha^{2} \mu^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} \lambda^{2}+\beta^{2} \lambda^{2} \\
=\alpha^{2}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)+\lambda^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \\
=\alpha^{2}+\lambda^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand we have.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda)^{2}=\alpha^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \alpha \mu \beta \lambda+\beta^{2} \lambda^{2} \\
=\alpha^{2} \mu^{2}+2 \beta^{2} \mu^{2}+\beta^{2} \lambda^{2} \\
=\mu^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)+\beta^{2}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) \\
=\mu^{2}+\beta^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\alpha^{2}+\lambda^{2}=\mu^{2}+\beta^{2}=2-\left(\alpha^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)$, which means that $\alpha^{2}+$ $\lambda^{2}=1$ and consequently $\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda= \pm 1$.
*) If $\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda=1$, then

$$
\mu=\mu(\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda)=\alpha \mu^{2}-\beta \lambda \mu=\alpha \mu^{2}+\alpha \lambda^{2}=\alpha
$$

And $\lambda=\lambda(\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda)=\alpha \mu \lambda-\beta \lambda^{2}=-\beta \mu^{2}-\beta \lambda^{2}=-\beta$
Therefore the multiplication table of A is given by:

| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | e | i | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | i | -e | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $-\alpha \mathrm{j}-\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | e | i |
| k | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | e |

**) If $\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda=-1$, then
$\mu=-\mu(\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda)=-\alpha \mu^{2}+\beta \lambda \mu=-\alpha \mu^{2}-\alpha \lambda^{2}=-\alpha$
And $\lambda=-\lambda(\alpha \mu-\beta \lambda)=-\alpha \mu \lambda+\beta \lambda^{2}=\beta \mu^{2}+\beta \lambda^{2}=\beta$
Therefore the multiplication table of A is given by:

| $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | i | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | i | -e | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $-\alpha \mathrm{j}-\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | e | i |
| k | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | e |

ii) B isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, we have ei $=\mathrm{ie}=-\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}^{2}=-\mathrm{e}$ and $\mathrm{jk}=\mathrm{i}$. If we define a new multiplication on A by $x * y=$ $\bar{x} \bar{y}$, we obtain an algebra ${ }^{*}$ which contains a sub-algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore ${ }^{*}$ A has an orthonormal basis which the multiplication tables are given previously. Consequently, the multiplication tables of the elements of the base F of A are given by :

| $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | -i | $-\alpha j-\beta k$ | $\beta j-\alpha k$ |
| i | -i | -e | $-\beta j+\alpha k$ | $\alpha j+\beta k$ |
| j | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | e | i |
| k | $-\beta j+\alpha k$ | $\alpha j+\beta k$ | -i | $e$ |

and

| $\mathbf{B}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | -i | $-\alpha j-\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $-\beta \mathrm{j}+\alpha \mathrm{k}$ |
| i | -i | -e | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ |
| j | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | e | i |
| k | $\beta \mathrm{j}-\alpha \mathrm{k}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{j}+\beta \mathrm{k}$ | -i | e |

## > Theorem 3.2 Let A be a four-dimensional absolute

 valued algebra containing a nonzero central element a such that $\quad\left(a^{2} a\right) a=\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}$, $A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$Proof. According to proposition 2.9, A contains a
commutative sub-algebra B isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ or $\stackrel{*}{\mathbb{C}}$. By remark 2.10 and theorem 3.1, A is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ or $B_{2}$.

## IV. CONCLUSION

We have the following two classical results:

- Every four-dimensional real absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{4}$
- If A is a four-dimensional real absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central idempotent, then A contains a sub-algebra of dimension two. Based on the findings of this article, the following conclusions can be drawn:
- In general, if A is a four-dimensional real absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element, then, A is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}, \mathrm{~B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{4}$.
- Note that, central idempotent is a central element. The reciprocal case does not hold in general, and the counter example is given ( $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ ).
- We give some conditions implying that these new algebras having sub-algebras of dimension two. We show, that a four-dimensional real absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element $a$ and having sub-algebra of dimension two if and only if $\left(a^{2} a\right) a=\left(a^{2}\right)^{2}$.

In future work, it is intended to classify all four dimensional real absolute valued algebra containing a nonzero central element.
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