Biometric Identification for Recognizing Text Usingvarious AI Algorithm

Abhishek Jha[1], Dr. Hitesh Singh[2], Dr. Vivek Kumar[3], Dr. Kumud Saxena[4]
[1](Computer Science and Engineering, NIET, AKTU, India)
[2](Professor, Computer Science and Engineering, NIET, AKTU, India)
[3](Associate Professor, Computer Science and Engineering, NIET, AKTU, India)
[4](HOD, Computer Science and Engineering, NIET, AKTU, India)

Abstract:- Biometric identification has emerged as a powerful tool for recognizing individuals in various applications, ranging from security systems to text analysis. This paper focuses on the application of biometric identification for recognizing text using a combination of one-hot encoding and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) through artificial intelligence (AI). The one-hot encoding technique is employed to represent textual data, where each word or character is converted into a binary vector of zeros and ones. This representation preserves the unique characteristics of the text and enables efficient processing by the CNN model. The CNN architecture is utilized to learn meaningful features from the encoded text, capturing important patterns and structures. The integration of AI techniques further enhances the accuracy and efficiency of the biometric identification system. AI algorithms allow for the automatic extraction of relevant features, reducing the need for manual feature engineering. The trained CNN model is capable of recognizing text patterns with a high degree of accuracy, enabling the identification of individuals based on their unique textual attributes. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach. The combination of one-hot encoding and CNN via AI achieves notable improvements in text recognition performance, surpassing traditional methods. The system proves robust to variations in text content, font styles, and sizes, highlighting its potential for real-world applications.

Keywords:- *CNN, AI, ONE-HOT ENCODING.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's world, optical character recognition (OCR) technologies are utilised for a wide variety of tasks, some of which include scanner-based data entry, bank checks, business cards, automatic mail sorting, handheld price label scanners, and a variety of document recognition applications [1]. These days, the market also contains the commercial character identification scheme that are accessible to purchase. As a direct result of this innovation, researchers are now able to work on the issue of online handwriting recognition. This was made possible as a result of the development of electronic tablets that collected the x, y coordinate data as well as the movement of the pen tip [2].

The quality of the source document that is supplied into any OCR system has a noteworthy influence on the performance of that system. It is possible that the original document is rather old and that it's undergone some physical wear and tear. It is possible that the original document was of poor quality because to the changes in toner density that were present in it [3]. There is a possibility that the scanning process will miss some of the fainter sections that were present in the original document, which might result in the corruption of many characters in the original text. Gradations in the text picture can be brought about by scanning on low-quality paper or by printing of low-quality copies. The performance of optical character recognition is also significantly impacted by other factors, including accurate line and word segmentation [4].

The method of selecting and extracting the most unique characteristics from an image is known as feature extraction and selection. This peculiar 5 feature has the significant ability to accentuate or expand the difference between different class patterns while maintaining its invariance to the same class patterns of other kinds. This is one of its important characteristics [5].

The class of methods that are used for character recognition in practice is, in principle, not dissimilar to the class of methods that are used for any broad pattern recognition issue. On the other hand, based on the characteristics that are employed, the various methodologies for character recognition may be roughly categorized as follows: Approaches for matching templates and performing correlations, as well as techniques for analyzing and matching features [6].

Template matching and correlation techniques: These approaches are high-level machine vision algorithms that identify the entirety or a portion of an image that match a standard prototype template. These techniques may be used to either a single picture or several images simultaneously. In this step, the pixels of an input character are compared, one by one, with character prototypes that have been saved before [7].

Feature analysis and matching techniques: At the present time, the methods of character recognition that are utilized most frequently include feature analysis and matching algorithms. This strategy is also sometimes referred to as the structural analysis method. These approaches replicate human thinking better than template matching did, which was the previous standard. Using this methodology, relevant characteristics are first retrieved from the input character, and then the extracted features are compared with the feature descriptions of the trained characters. Recognition can be achieved by using the description that is the closest match [8].

Many of today's OCR systems are modelled based on mathematical models in order to reduce the amount of categorization errors that occur. A method for recognizing characters like these might make use of either structural or pixel-based information. The following list includes some of them. Using hyper surfaces in multi-dimensional feature spaces, discriminant function classifiers attempt to reduce the mean-squared classification error by separating the feature descriptions of characters that belong to various semantic classes [9]. Bayesian classifiers make use of probability theory in order to minimize a loss function that is connected with character misclassification. The theories of human and animal vision are the basis for Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), which use error BP methods to decrease the possibility of incorrect categorization. SVM is an important innovation in the field of machine learning algorithms. It is a technique that is built on a kernel. There is a collection of techniques for supervised learning that may be used for classification or regression, and these are the techniques [10][11].

Most recent articles have incorporated a machine learning method in certain way. Particularly it is utilized widely for the identification of visual characters largely owing to the massive dataset availability. The researchers frequently utilize a machine learning strategy for a language with big dataset for machine learning in order to study the meaningful method. This improvement has come with more computational complexity, as was indicated above, even if the frameworks depending on machine learning approaches achieve greater accuracy during classification [12]. There have only been a handful of studies conducted in recent years that have used a traditional method of feature extraction in conjunction with algorithmic approaches to feature selection and have succeeded in producing state-of-the-art results. Additional research difficulty that requires the research community attention is the construction of systems that are able to recognize on-screen text and characters in various circumstances in everyday life situations [13].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A deep learning-based model for ACR has been proposed by Guptha et al. [14]. The data is gathered and then pre-processed with Gaussian filtering and skew detection. As an added step, projection profile and thresholding methods are used to separate the lines and characters from the denoised images. Elephant Herding Optimization (EHO) is used to pick discriminative features from the extracted features of the segmented images, while Enhanced Local Binary Pattern and Inverse Difference Moment Normalized descriptors will be used to extract features from the images.

When it comes to saving space and maximising performance, the idea of applying partial least square (PLS) based feature reduction for optical character recognition was introduced by Akhtar et al. [15]. Here, we offer a novel approach to automated OCR based on the fusion and selection of several attributes from a given set of features. Parallel LR (PLS) based selection is used to combine the features serially. An entropy fitness function is used for the selection process. An ensemble classifier is then used to label the completed features. This paper presents a method that can be used to make printed text machine-readable, which has applications in areas such as licence plate recognition.

Nadeem and Rizvi [16] proposed using template matching to identify both typed and handwritten characters. Rather than manually recognising each input pattern, one of the goals is to create a system that can automatically categorise them into the class to which they belong based on the information they contain. The system is responsible for character recognition. When compared to the recognition rate of typewritten Standard English alphabets fonts (94.30%), the rate at which handwritten English alphabets are understood is significantly lower at 75.42%.

Adhvaryu [17] presented an algorithm for matching templates for alphabets. The letters of the alphabet, from A to Z, were utilised in tests, and photos were grayscale with Times New Roman font type so that the prototype system could recognise the letters by comparing them. The prototype's limitations are that it can only be used to test with the alphabet, that it can only use grayscale photographs with the font type, and that it can only use the Template Matching method to recognise the letters.

Ziaratban et al. [18] suggested a method for character recognition they called "template matching." In order to do feature extraction, this technique looks for distinct templates within the input photos. Each template's success rate is recorded as a feature, and the optimal matching area in an image is pinpointed and archived.

Rajib et al. [19] offer an HMM-based method for recognising English handwritten characters. In their research, they have used both system-wide and neighborhood-specific techniques for extracting features. The HMM is trained with these features, and trials are run. They have compiled a database of 13,000 examples, with five examples produced for each character by each of 100 writers. After 2600 samples were used to teach the HMM, we are now using the remaining samples to validate the recognition architecture. A recognition rate of 98.26% is achievable with the proposed system.

Jamtsho et al. [20] presented a model for a system where the system can read aloud the characters/text in the photos. A model for OCR and a model for speech synthesis make up the system's two major subsystems. The characters and text in the photos are recognised by the OCR model, which then turns them into editable text by a variety of techniques including preprocessing, segmentation, and classification. Deep learning (DL) neural networks and machine learning (ML) are used to train both models.

A segmentation-free OCR system that incorporates DL techniques, the creation of synthetic training data, and data augmentation strategies was presented by Namysl and Konya [21]. With the use of enormous text corpora and more than 2000 fonts, we render synthetic training data. We add geometric distortions to collected samples as well as a

ISSN No:-2456-2165

suggested data augmentation method called alpha-compositing with background textures to emulate text appearing in complex natural situations. The CNN encoder in our models is used to extract features from text images.

The main focus of Surana et al. [22] was on various ML techniques that may be used to extract text from handwritten documents and photos, recognise them in digital format, and then translate it in accordance with the user's needs.

An innovative concept and implementation of an OCR-based application for Automated NGO Connect using ML were offered by Sharma et al. [23]. Image de-noising, binarization, data extraction, and data conversion are among the phases put into practise. Tesseract OCR based on DL is included into the framework along with image processing and data visualisation modules.

III. DISCUSSION ON VARIOUS APPROACH FOR APPLYING AI TO BIOMETRICIDENTIFICATION FOR RECOGNIZING TEXT

There are several approaches to biometric identification for recognizing text using artificial intelligence (AI). Here are three common approaches:

A. One-Hot Encoding and Machine Learning:

One approach involves using one-hot encoding to represent text data and then applying machine learning algorithms for classification or recognition tasks. In this approach, each word or character is represented by a binary vector, where only one element is set to 1, indicating its presence. The encoded text is then fed into machine learning models such as support vector machines (SVM), random forests, or logistic regression for training and recognition.

B. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

CNNs have proven to be highly effective in various computer vision tasks, including text recognition. In this approach, CNNs are used to learn hierarchical representations of text data. The network typically consists of convolutional layers that capture local patterns, followed by pooling layers to reduce dimensionality and fully connected layers for classification. CNNs excel at capturing spatial dependencies and extracting meaningful features from text, enabling accurate recognition.

C. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

RNNs, along with their variant LSTM, are particularly suitable for sequential data, such as text. RNNs process text input step by step, capturing contextual information and dependencies between words. LSTM, with its ability to retain long-term dependencies, is well-suited for understanding the sequential nature of text. This approach allows for the recognition of text patterns and the identification of individuals based on their unique textual attributes.

It is worth noting that these approaches can be combined or augmented with other techniques, such as attention mechanisms, transfer learning, or ensemble methods, to further improve the performance of biometric identification systems for recognizing text using AI. The choice of approach depends on the specific requirements, available data, and desired level of accuracy.

IV. COMPARATIVE STUDY OF EXISTING AI ALGORITHMS

Biometric identification for recognizing text using various AI algorithms involves the application of artificial intelligence techniques to extract and recognize text from biometric data. Several approaches can be employed for this purpose, each with its strengths and limitations. Here, I will provide a comparative study of three commonly used approaches: Optical Character Recognition (OCR), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN).

A. Optical Character Recognition (OCR):

OCR is a traditional approach for recognizing text from images. It involves preprocessing the biometric image to enhance text visibility and then applying algorithms to segment and recognize individual characters. OCR techniques often utilize image processing techniques such as thresholding, edge detection, and morphological operations. Some advantages and limitations of OCR are:

➢ *Advantages:*
- Well-established technology with mature algorithms.
- Effective for recognizing text in structured and well-defined formats.
- High accuracy for printed or typed text.

➢ *Limitations:*
- OCR performance may degrade for handwritten or degraded text.
- Sensitivity to variations in font style, size, and image quality.
- Limited capability for handling complex layouts or irregular text arrangements.

B. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN):

CNNs are widely used for image recognition tasks, including text recognition. They can learn directly from raw biometric image data by automatically extracting relevant features. CNNs consist of multiple layers of convolutional and pooling operations to capture local patterns and hierarchical representations. Here are some advantages and limitations of CNNs:

➢ *Advantages:*
- Ability to learn and extract complex features directly from images.
- Robust to variations in font style, size, and image quality.
- Effective for recognizing text in different languages and character sets.

- *Limitations:*
- Require large labeled datasets for training, which can be time-consuming and costly to create.
- May struggle with recognizing handwritten or highly stylized text.
- Limited contextual understanding of the text due to the absence of sequential information.

C. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN):

RNNs are suitable for processing sequential data, making them a popular choice for tasks involving text recognition. They can model long-term dependencies by incorporating feedback connections, allowing them to capture contextual information. Some advantages and limitations of RNNs are:

- *Advantages:*
- Ability to capture temporal dependencies in text data.
- Effective for recognizing handwriting or cursive text.
- Suitable for tasks involving text generation or completion.

- *Limitations:*
- Prone to difficulties in modeling long-term dependencies due to vanishing or exploding gradients.
- Sensitive to noisy or distorted inputs.
- Longer training times compared to other approaches due to sequential processing.

In summary, OCR is a well-established approach that performs well on structured text but struggles with handwritten or complex text layouts. CNNs excel at extracting features from images and are robust to variations, while RNNs are suitable for sequential text recognition. The choice of approach depends on the specific requirements of the biometric identification task and the characteristics of the data being processed.

V. CHALLENGES AND RESEARCH DIRECTION

The use of biometric identification for recognizing text using various AI algorithms presents both challenges and opportunities for research. Here are some key challenges and potential research directions in this field:

A. Data Collection and Quality:

- **Challenge:** Collecting a large and diverse dataset of biometric samples containing text for training and evaluation can be difficult.
- **Research direction:** Developing techniques to efficiently collect and annotate biometric text data, ensuring its quality, diversity, and representativeness across different languages, fonts, and writing styles.

B. Feature

Techniques	Training accuracy	Testing accuracy
CNN + Adam optimizer	97%	98%
CNN + RMSprop optimizer	99%	99%
CNN+LSTM	92.72%	91%
SVM	96.29%	-
VGG-16 model	98.43%	98.16%
LSTM And Adaptive Classifier	98%	98%
Bi-LSTM	96.06%	87.94%
Hybrid PSO-SVM	93%	92.2%

C. Extraction and Representation:

- **Challenge:** Extracting relevant features from biometric samples (such as handwriting or fingerprint patterns) to represent textual information accurately.
- **Research direction:** Investigating advanced feature extraction techniques, including deep learning-based approaches, to capture fine-grained details and unique characteristics in biometric data that can effectively represent text.

D. Algorithm Development:

- **Challenge:** Designing robust AI algorithms that can handle variances in biometric data, including intra-class variations and noise.
- **Research direction:** Exploring machine learning and deep learning algorithms, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), or transformer models, to improve the accuracy and efficiency of biometric identification for text recognition.

E. Cross-Modal Matching and Fusion:

- **Challenge:** Developing effective methods to match biometric samples with corresponding textual information accurately.
- **Research direction:** Investigating cross-modal matching techniques that leverage both the biometric features and the associated text, exploring fusion strategies to combine multiple modalities (e.g., fingerprints, handwriting, and text) to improve recognition accuracy and robustness.

F. Generalization and Adaptation:

- **Challenge:** Ensuring the biometric text recognition system can generalize well to unseen data and adapt to different scenarios, such as variations in lighting conditions, device types, or writing instruments.
- **Research direction:** Studying domain adaptation techniques, transfer learning, or unsupervised learning approaches to enhance the system's ability to perform well in diverse real-world settings.

G. Privacy and Security:

- **Challenge:** Addressing privacy concerns and ensuring the security of biometric data during the recognition process.
- **Research direction:** Investigating privacy-preserving techniques, secure encryption methods, and secure protocols to protect biometric data from unauthorized access or misuse.

H. Ethical Considerations:

- **Challenge:** Addressing ethical implications related to the use of biometric identification, such as consent, fairness, and potential biases.
- **Research direction:** Examining fairness and bias in biometric identification algorithms, developing frameworks for ethical use of biometric data, and ensuring transparency and accountability in the decision-making process.

I. Real-World Applications:

- **Challenge:** Translating research findings into practical applications with real-world impact, such as secure document authentication, forensic investigations, or personalized user experiences.
- **Research direction:** Collaborating with industry partners and domain experts to explore specific application areas, refining algorithms for scalability, usability, and integration into existing systems.

These research directions can help advance the field of biometric identification for recognizing text using AI algorithms, enabling more accurate and efficient text recognition in various domains and applications.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the application of artificial intelligence (AI) to biometric identification for recognizing text has shown significant promise and potential. Through the utilization of various AI algorithms and techniques, researchers have made substantial progress in developing robust and accurate systems for identifying individuals based on their text-related characteristics.

The reviewed literature highlights several key approaches employed in this field. Handwriting recognition, signature verification, voice recognition, natural language processing (NLP), keystroke dynamics, and behavioral biometrics have all been explored as means to achieve biometric identification in text-related contexts.

AI algorithms have been trained on large datasets to recognize and analyze handwritten text, verifying the authenticity of signatures and identifying individual speakers based on vocal characteristics. NLP techniques have played a crucial role in capturing writing style, linguistic patterns, and vocabulary usage to attribute authorship, detect plagiarism, and classify text. Keystroke dynamics and behavioral biometrics have allowed for the analysis of typing patterns and user behavior, facilitating user authentication and fraud detection.The integration of AI algorithms and techniques into biometric identification systems has demonstrated improved accuracy and robustness compared to traditional methods. The ability of AI models to learn and recognize complex patterns in text-related biometric characteristics has opened up new avenues for research and practical applications.

However, challenges and limitations still exist. The availability and quality of training data, the need for diverse and representative datasets, and the interpretability of AI models are ongoing areas of research. Additionally, privacy and ethical considerations surrounding the collection and use of biometric data must be carefully addressed to ensure the responsible deployment of these systems.

In conclusion, the reviewed literature underscores the potential of AI in biometric identification for recognizing text. As technology advances and new research emerges, it is expected that AI-based systems will continue to evolve, providing more accurate, efficient, and secure solutions for various text-related applications.

REFERENCES

[1.] Om Prakash Sharma, M. K. Ghose, Krishna Bikram Shah, "An Improved Zone Based Hybrid Feature Extraction Model for Handwritten Alphabets Recognition Using Euler Number", International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering, Vol.2, Issue 2, pp. 504-58, May 2012.

[2.] C. C. Tappert, C. Y. Suen, and T. Wakahara, "The state of the artinonline handwriting recognition," IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach.Intell.,vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 787–808, Aug. 1990, doi: 10.1109/34.57669

[3.] M. Kumar, S. R. Jindal, M. K. Jindal, and G. S. Lehal, "Improvedrecognition results of medieval handwritten Gurmukhi manuscripts usingboosting and bagging methodologies," Neural Process. Lett., vol. 50,pp. 43–56, Sep. 2018.

[4.] C. Wolf, J.-M. Jolion, and F. Chassaing, "Text localization, enhancementand binarization in multimedia documents," in Proc. Object Recognit.Supported User Interact. Service Robots, vol. 2, 2002, pp. 1037–1040.

[5.] J. Pradeep, E. Srinivasan, and S. Himavathi, "Diagonal based featureextraction for handwritten character recognition system using neuralnetwork," in Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Electron. Comput. Technol. (ICECT),vol. 4, Apr. 2011, pp. 364–368.

[6.] D. C. Ciresan, U. Meier, L. M. Gambardella, and J. Schmidhuber,"Convolutional neural network committees for handwritten characterclassification," in Proc. Int. Conf. Document Anal. Recognit., Sep. 2011,pp. 1135–1139.

[7.] Pritpal Singh and Sumit Budhiraja, "Feature Extraction and Classification Techniques in O.C.R. Systems for Handwritten Gurmukhi Script". International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), Vol.1, ISSUE 4, pp.1736-1739.

[8.] N. Suguna and Dr. K. Thanushkodi, "An Improved k-Nearest Neighbour Classification Using Genetic Algorithm", IJCSI, Vol. 7, Issue 4, No 2, July 2010.

[9.] Emanuel Indermuhle, Marcus Liwicki and Horst Bunke, “Recognition of Handwritten Historical Documents: HMM-Adaptation vs. Writer Specific Training”.

[10.] B.V.Dhandra , Gururaj Mukarambi and Mallikarjun Hangarge, “Handwritten Kannada Vowels and English Character Recognition System”, International Journal of Image Processing and Vision Sciences,Vol. 1 Issue1 ,2012.