

# A Comparative Study of the Standard and Generalized Formalism Associated with the Mathematical Framework Based on the Spacer Component Matrices and the Set of Related Mathematical Elements

Debopam Ghosh

**Abstract:-** The paper presents a study of the comparative and contrasting features of the standard formalism and the generalized formalism associated with the mathematical framework of the Spacer component matrices and related set of mathematical elements, the analytical results are presented and numerically demonstrated using an appropriate case study.

**Keywords:-** Spacer Matrix Components, the Core Component Matrix Associated with the Spacer Component Matrices, Correlation Component Matrices and their Building-Block Matrices, Completely Positive Trace Preserving Transformations, the Standard and Generalized Formalism Associated with the Mathematical Framework of Spacer Component Matrices

## I. NOTATIONS

- $N$  denotes the set of all Natural numbers
- $C$  denotes the set of all Complex numbers
- $I_{w \times w}$  denotes the Identity matrix of order ‘w’
- $C^w$  denotes the complex coordinate space of order ‘w’
- $c^*$  denotes the complex conjugate of the complex number ‘c’
- $M_{x \times y}(C)$  denotes the complex Matrix space of order ‘x’ by ‘y’
- ‘s’ denotes the Embedding dimension
- $M_{s \times s}(C)$  denotes the Embedded Matrix Space
- 

- $|\omega\rangle \in C^d, |\omega\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \omega_d \end{bmatrix}_{d \times 1}, \langle \omega| = [\omega_1^* \ \omega_2^* \ \cdot \ \cdot \ \omega_d^*]_{1 \times d}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_d \in C$

- $|\omega\rangle \in C^d, |\mu\rangle \in C^f, T_{d \times f} = [T_{ij}], T_{d \times f} \in M_{d \times f}(C), \text{ therefore: } \langle \omega|T|\mu\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^f \omega_i^* T_{ij} \mu_j$

- $|m\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1}, |n\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}, |s\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{s \times 1}, |s-m\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(s-m) \times 1}, |s-n\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(s-n) \times 1}$
-

- $|e_s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}|s\rangle$ ,  $|e_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}|m\rangle$ ,  $|e_n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}|n\rangle$
- $A^H$  denotes the Hermitian conjugate of the matrix  $A$
- $(X_{w \times w})^{-1}$  denote the Proper Inverse of the Invertible matrix  $X_{w \times w}$ , i.e.  $(X_{w \times w})^{-1} X_{w \times w} = X_{w \times w} (X_{w \times w})^{-1} = I_{w \times w}$
- $X_{w \times w}$  is hermitian and Positive definite, we define the hermitian and Positive definite matrices  $(X_{w \times w})^{+1/2}$  and  $(X_{w \times w})^{-1/2}$  such that:  $(X_{w \times w})^{-1/2} = ((X_{w \times w})^{+1/2})^{-1}$ ,  $(X_{w \times w})^{+1/2} (X_{w \times w})^{+1/2} = X_{w \times w}$  and  $(X_{w \times w})^{-1/2} (X_{w \times w})^{-1/2} = (X_{w \times w})^{-1}$
- $\max(a, b)$  denotes the maximum of the two inputs 'a' and 'b'
- $|a - b|$  denotes the absolute value of the difference between the two inputs 'a' and 'b'
- $E.S(X_{w \times w})$  denotes the Eigenvalue spectrum of the matrix  $X_{w \times w}$
- $X \subset Y$  denotes that the set  $X$  is a proper subset of the set  $Y$
- $Nsp(A_{x \times y})$  denotes the Null space of the matrix  $A_{x \times y}$
- $\dim.[Nsp(A_{x \times y})]$  denotes the dimension of the Null space of the matrix  $A_{x \times y}$
- $V \circ W = C^s$  denotes the Orthogonal decomposition of the space  $C^s$  into the subspaces  $V$  and  $W$
- ' $\sigma$ ' denotes the number of distinct eigenvalues of the Standard Core component matrix  $Z_{s \times s}$
- ' $\nu$ ' denotes the number of distinct eigenvalues of the Generalized Core component matrix  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s}$
- $\{H(j)_{m \times m}, T(j)_{n \times n}, E(j)_{m \times n} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma\}$  denotes the set of Building-Block matrices associated with the Standard formalism
- $\{\hat{H}(t)_{m \times m}, \hat{T}(t)_{n \times n}, \hat{E}(t)_{m \times n} \mid t = 1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$  denotes the set of Building-Block matrices associated with the Generalized formalism
- $\{R(m, m \mid \mu)_{m \times m}, R(n, n \mid \mu)_{n \times n}, R(m, n \mid \mu)_{m \times n} \mid 0 < \mu \leq 1\}$  denotes the set of Correlation Component matrices associated with the Standard formalism
- $\{\hat{R}(m, m \mid \mu)_{m \times m}, \hat{R}(n, n \mid \mu)_{n \times n}, \hat{R}(m, n \mid \mu)_{m \times n} \mid 0 < \mu \leq 1\}$  denotes the set of Correlation Component matrices associated with the Generalized formalism

## II. INTRODUCTION

The mathematical framework based on the Spacer matrix components associated with strictly rectangular complex matrix spaces, presented in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], provides a methodology that can be used to quantify correlation between vectors belonging to non-compatible dimensions [6,10], quantify intrinsic overlap in matrices belonging to strictly rectangular complex matrix spaces [7] and construction of several mathematical elements of utility in theoretical and numerical linear algebra [8,12], the results of theoretical studies on the properties of spacer matrix components and set of matrices and mathematical elements generated from them, has been previously presented [9, 14, 15 and 16].

The paper presents a comparative study of the two formalisms associated with the mathematical framework based on the Spacer matrix components: the standard formalism and the generalized formalism. The Standard formalism corresponds to the situation where there is synchronicity; the embedding matrix components being  $G_{s \times m}$  and  $W_{s \times n}$  while the Core component matrix being  $Z_{s \times s}$ , both of these component units are generated only from the spacer matrix components  $P_{n \times s}$  and  $Q_{s \times m}$  which result in certain symmetric features being incorporated into the framework [9, 15 and 16]. The Generalized formalism attempts to remove this synchronicity between the embedding and the core matrix components by use of a particular form of completely positive trace preserving transformation [1, 19, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29], abbreviated as CPTP transformation, resulting in the formation of the generalized Core component matrix  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s}$  from the standard Core component matrix  $Z_{s \times s}$ , the CPTP transformation changes the eigenspaces and the associated eigenvalues thereby resulting in an alternate mathematical structure interrelating the embedding and the core component units.

Numerical demonstration of the two formalisms is presented using the case of  $(m = 2, n = 4)$  Complex Matrix space. The numerical section presents a particular CPTP transformation to demonstrate the contrasting aspects of these two formalisms. The article concludes with a discussion of the observations, results of the numerical case study and insights obtained with respect to the properties of the two formalisms under consideration.

❖ *Mathematical Framework*

➤  $m \in N, n \in N$  and  $m \neq n$ ,  $s = \max(m, n) + |m - n|$ , therefore  $s \in N$ ,  $s > m$  and  $s > n$

The Spacer component matrices  $P_{n \times s}$  and  $Q_{s \times m}$ , are defined as follows:

$$P_{n \times s} = \left[ I_{n \times n} \quad \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) |n\rangle \langle s-n| \right]_{n \times s} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{n} \end{array} \right]_{n \times s}$$

$$Q_{s \times m} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} I_{m \times m} \\ \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) |s-m\rangle \langle m| \end{array} \right]_{s \times m} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline \frac{1}{m} & \frac{1}{m} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{m} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \frac{1}{m} & \frac{1}{m} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{m} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \frac{1}{m} & \frac{1}{m} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{m} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array} \right]_{s \times m}$$

We define the matrices  $G_{s \times m}$  and  $W_{s \times n}$  as follows:

$$W_{s \times n} = (P_{n \times s})^H [(PP^H)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_{n \times n}, \quad G_{s \times m} = (Q_{s \times m}) [(Q^H Q)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_{m \times m}$$

$$\text{Therefore } (W_{s \times n})^H W_{s \times n} = I_{n \times n}, \quad (G_{s \times m})^H G_{s \times m} = I_{m \times m}$$

❖ *The Standard Formalism*

➤  $Z_{s \times s} = (\frac{1}{2})(P^H P)_{s \times s} + (\frac{1}{2})(Q Q^H)_{s \times s}$  , therefore  $Z_{s \times s}$  is real, Hermitian and Positive semi-definite

➤  $E.S(Z_{s \times s}) = \{\lambda_1, d_1; \lambda_2, d_2; \dots; \lambda_\sigma, d_\sigma\}$  where  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{\sigma-1} > 0$  and  $\lambda_\sigma = 0$

➤  $V(j) = Nsp(Z_{s \times s} - \lambda_j I_{s \times s})$  ,  $\dim.[V(j)] = d_j$  , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$

➤ The associated Orthogonal decomposition of the space  $C^s$  is given as follows:

$$V(1) \circ V(2) \circ \dots \circ V(\sigma) = C^s, \quad \text{therefore } \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} d_j = s$$

➤  $F(j)_{s \times s}$  denotes the Orthogonal Projector onto the subspace  $V(j)$  , therefore:  $(F(j)_{s \times s})^H = F(j)_{s \times s} \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$  ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} F(j)_{s \times s} = I_{s \times s}$  and  $Z_{s \times s} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \lambda_j F(j)_{s \times s}$

➤  $\Omega_{s \times s}(\mu) = \mu I_{s \times s} + (1 - \mu) Z_{s \times s}$  where  $0 < \mu \leq 1$  , therefore  $\Omega_{s \times s}(\mu) \in M_{s \times s}(C)$  ,  $\Omega_{s \times s}(\mu)$  is real, Hermitian and Positive definite  $\forall \mu \in (0, 1]$

➤  $\Omega_{s \times s}(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \hat{\lambda}_j(\mu) F(j)_{s \times s}$  where  $\hat{\lambda}_j(\mu) = \mu + (1 - \mu) \lambda_j$  ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$

➤  $H(j)_{m \times m} = (G_{s \times m})^H F(j)_{s \times s} G_{s \times m}$  ,  $T(j)_{n \times n} = (W_{s \times n})^H F(j)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n}$  ,  $E(j)_{m \times n} = (G_{s \times m})^H F(j)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n}$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$

➤  $R(m, n | \mu)_{m \times n} = (G_{s \times m})^H \Omega(\mu)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \hat{\lambda}_j(\mu) E(j)_{m \times n}$

➤  $R(m, m | \mu)_{m \times m} = (G_{s \times m})^H \Omega(\mu)_{s \times s} G_{s \times m} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \hat{\lambda}_j(\mu) H(j)_{m \times m}$

➤  $R(n, n | \mu)_{n \times n} = (W_{s \times n})^H \Omega(\mu)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \hat{\lambda}_j(\mu) T(j)_{n \times n}$

❖ *The Generalized Formalism*

➤  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s} = \sum_{t=1}^s p_t [(U(t)_{s \times s})^H Z_{s \times s} U(t)_{s \times s}]$  where  $p_t \geq 0 \quad \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $\sum_{t=1}^s p_t = 1$

$U(t)_{s \times s} \in M_{s \times s}(C)$  ,  $(U(t)_{s \times s})^H = (U(t)_{s \times s})^{-1} \quad \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, s$

Therefore,  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s}$  is Hermitian and Positive semi-definite or Positive definite

➤  $E.S(\hat{Z}_{s \times s}) = \{\varepsilon_1, \delta_1; \varepsilon_2, \delta_2; \dots; \varepsilon_s, \delta_s\}$  where  $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots > \varepsilon_s \geq 0$

➤  $\hat{V}(t) = Nsp(\hat{Z}_{s \times s} - \varepsilon_t I_{s \times s})$  ,  $\dim.[\hat{V}(t)] = \delta_t$  , where  $t = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$

➤ The associated Orthogonal decomposition of the space  $C^s$  is given as follows:

$\hat{V}(1) \circ \hat{V}(2) \circ \dots \circ \hat{V}(\nu) = C^s$  , therefore  $\sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \delta_t = s$

➤  $\hat{F}(t)_{s \times s}$  denotes the Orthogonal Projector onto the subspace  $\hat{V}(t)$  , therefore:

$(\hat{F}(t)_{s \times s})^H = \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s} \quad \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$  ,  $\sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s} = I_{s \times s}$  and  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \varepsilon_t \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s}$

➤  $\hat{\Omega}_{s \times s}(\mu) = \mu I_{s \times s} + (1 - \mu) \hat{Z}_{s \times s}$  where  $0 < \mu \leq 1$  , therefore  $\hat{\Omega}_{s \times s}(\mu) \in M_{s \times s}(C)$  ,  $\hat{\Omega}_{s \times s}(\mu)$  is Hermitian and Positive definite  $\forall \mu \in (0, 1]$

➤  $\hat{\Omega}_{s \times s}(\mu) = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{\varepsilon}_t(\mu) \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s}$  where  $\hat{\varepsilon}_t(\mu) = \mu + (1 - \mu) \varepsilon_t$  ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$

➤  $\hat{H}(t)_{m \times m} = (G_{s \times m})^H \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s} G_{s \times m}$  ,  $\hat{T}(t)_{n \times n} = (W_{s \times n})^H \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n}$  ,  $\hat{E}(t)_{m \times n} = (G_{s \times m})^H \hat{F}(t)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n}$  where  $t = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$

➤  $\hat{R}(m, n | \mu)_{m \times n} = (G_{s \times m})^H \hat{\Omega}(\mu)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{\varepsilon}_t(\mu) \hat{E}(t)_{m \times n}$

➤  $\hat{R}(m, m | \mu)_{m \times m} = (G_{s \times m})^H \hat{\Omega}(\mu)_{s \times s} G_{s \times m} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{\varepsilon}_t(\mu) \hat{H}(t)_{m \times m}$

➤  $\hat{R}(n, n | \mu)_{n \times n} = (W_{s \times n})^H \hat{\Omega}(\mu)_{s \times s} W_{s \times n} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{\varepsilon}_t(\mu) \hat{T}(t)_{n \times n}$

❖ *Results on Conservation and Invariance Relationships*

➤  $trace(Z_{s \times s}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \lambda_j d_j = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \varepsilon_t \delta_t = trace(\hat{Z}_{s \times s})$

➤  $trace(\Omega(\mu)_{s \times s}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \hat{\lambda}_j(\mu) d_j = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{\varepsilon}_t(\mu) \delta_t = trace(\hat{\Omega}(\mu)_{s \times s})$  , where  $0 < \mu \leq 1$

➤  $I_{m \times m} = (G_{s \times m})^H I_{s \times s} G_{s \times m} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} H(j)_{m \times m} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{H}(t)_{m \times m}$

➤  $I_{n \times n} = (W_{s \times n})^H I_{s \times s} W_{s \times n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} T(j)_{n \times n} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{T}(t)_{n \times n}$

$$\blacktriangleright (G_{s \times m})^H W_{s \times n} = (G_{s \times m})^H I_{s \times s} W_{s \times n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} E(j)_{m \times n} = \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} \hat{E}(t)_{m \times n}$$

$\blacktriangleright$  Numerical Case Study

$\blacklozenge$   $m = 2, n = 4$  therefore  $s = 6$

$\blacktriangleright$  In the following numerical computations, we set the value of the parameter ‘ $\mu$ ’ as  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$

$$P_{4 \times 6} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_{6 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z_{6 \times 6} = \left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 8 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 6 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_{6 \times 2} = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} & \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} & \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W_{6 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 2+3\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} \\ 2-\sqrt{6} & 2+3\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} \\ 2-\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} & 2+3\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} \\ 2-\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} & 2-\sqrt{6} & 2+3\sqrt{6} \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_{6 \times 6}(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = (\frac{1}{16}) \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 16 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 14 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 14 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 11 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 11 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F(1)_{6 \times 6} = (\frac{1}{6}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1], \quad F(2)_{6 \times 6} = (\frac{1}{2}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0],$$

$$F(3)_{6 \times 6} = (\frac{1}{4}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F(4)_{6 \times 6} = (\frac{1}{12}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 10 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 10 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{9}{4}, d_1 = 1; \lambda_2 = 1, d_2 = 1; \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}, d_3 = 2; \lambda_4 = 0, d_4 = 2$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_1(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{13}{8}, \hat{\lambda}_2(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = 1, \hat{\lambda}_3(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{3}{4}, \hat{\lambda}_4(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$(G_{6 \times 2})^H W_{6 \times 4} = (\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}) \begin{bmatrix} 1+\sqrt{2} & 1-\sqrt{2} & 1 & 1 \\ 1-\sqrt{2} & 1+\sqrt{2} & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$E(1)_{2 \times 4} = (\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1], \quad E(2)_{2 \times 4} = (\frac{1}{2}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E(3)_{2 \times 4} = E(4)_{2 \times 4} = 0_{2 \times 4}$$

$$H(1)_{2 \times 2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H(2)_{2 \times 2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H(3)_{2 \times 2} = H(4)_{2 \times 2} = 0_{2 \times 2}$$

$$T(1)_{4 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T(2)_{4 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T(3)_{4 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T(4)_{4 \times 4} = 0_{4 \times 4}$$

$$R(m=2, n=4 | \mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.074524 & 0.074524 & 0.574524 & 0.574524 \\ 0.074524 & 1.074524 & 0.574524 & 0.574524 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R(m=2, m=2 | \mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3125 & 0.3125 \\ 0.3125 & 1.3125 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R(n=4, n=4 | \mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.09375 & 0.09375 & 0.21875 & 0.21875 \\ 0.09375 & 1.09375 & 0.21875 & 0.21875 \\ 0.21875 & 0.21875 & 0.96875 & 0.21875 \\ 0.21875 & 0.21875 & 0.21875 & 0.96875 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma_{6 \times 6} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{U}_{6 \times 6} = \Gamma_{6 \times 6} [(\Gamma^H \Gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]_{6 \times 6},$$

$$\hat{U}_{6 \times 6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.933333 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & 0.333333 \\ -0.066667 & 0.933333 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & 0.333333 \\ -0.066667 & -0.066667 & 0.933333 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & 0.333333 \\ -0.066667 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & 0.933333 & -0.066667 & 0.333333 \\ -0.066667 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & -0.066667 & 0.933333 & 0.333333 \\ -0.333333 & -0.333333 & -0.333333 & -0.333333 & -0.333333 & 0.666667 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$p_1 = \frac{32}{63}, \quad p_2 = \frac{16}{63}, \quad p_3 = \frac{8}{63}, \quad p_4 = \frac{4}{63}, \quad p_5 = \frac{2}{63}, \quad p_6 = \frac{1}{63}$$

$$U(1)_{6 \times 6} = \hat{U}_{6 \times 6}, \quad U(2)_{6 \times 6} = [\hat{U}\hat{U}]_{6 \times 6}, \quad U(3)_{6 \times 6} = [\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}]_{6 \times 6}, \quad U(4)_{6 \times 6} = [\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}]_{6 \times 6},$$



$$U(5)_{6 \times 6} = [\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}]_{6 \times 6} , U(6)_{6 \times 6} = [\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}\hat{U}]_{6 \times 6}$$

$$\hat{Z}_{6 \times 6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.752245 & -0.247755 & 0.002245 & 0.002245 & 0.127245 & 0.037263 \\ -0.247755 & 0.752245 & 0.002245 & 0.002245 & 0.127245 & 0.037263 \\ 0.002245 & 0.002245 & 0.502245 & 0.002245 & 0.127245 & 0.037263 \\ 0.002245 & 0.002245 & 0.002245 & 0.502245 & 0.127245 & 0.037263 \\ 0.127245 & 0.127245 & 0.127245 & 0.127245 & 0.127245 & 0.037263 \\ 0.037263 & 0.037263 & 0.037263 & 0.037263 & 0.037263 & 1.613775 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_{6 \times 6}(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.876122 & -0.123878 & 0.001122 & 0.001122 & 0.063622 & 0.018632 \\ -0.123878 & 0.876122 & 0.001122 & 0.001122 & 0.063622 & 0.018632 \\ 0.001122 & 0.001122 & 0.751122 & 0.001122 & 0.063622 & 0.018632 \\ 0.001122 & 0.001122 & 0.001122 & 0.751122 & 0.063622 & 0.018632 \\ 0.063622 & 0.063622 & 0.063622 & 0.063622 & 0.563622 & 0.018632 \\ 0.018632 & 0.018632 & 0.018632 & 0.018632 & 0.018632 & 1.306888 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = 1.620826 , \delta_1 = 1 ; \varepsilon_2 = 1 , \delta_2 = 1 ; \varepsilon_3 = 0.629174 , \delta_3 = 1 ; \varepsilon_4 = 0.5 , \delta_4 = 2 ; \varepsilon_5 = 0 , \delta_5 = 1$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_1(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = 1.310413 , \hat{\varepsilon}_2(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = 1 , \hat{\varepsilon}_3(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = 0.814587 , \hat{\varepsilon}_4(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = 0.75 , \hat{\varepsilon}_5(\mu = \frac{1}{2}) = 0.5$$

$$\hat{F}(1)_{6 \times 6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.037711 \\ 0.037711 \\ 0.037711 \\ 0.037711 \\ 0.037711 \\ 0.996438 \end{bmatrix} [0.037711 \quad 0.037711 \quad 0.037711 \quad 0.037711 \quad 0.037711 \quad 0.996438] ,$$

$$\hat{F}(2)_{6 \times 6} = (\frac{1}{2}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [1 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0] ,$$

$$\hat{F}(3)_{6 \times 6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.445621 \\ 0.445621 \\ 0.445621 \\ 0.445621 \\ 0.445621 \\ -0.084324 \end{bmatrix} [0.445621 \quad 0.445621 \quad 0.445621 \quad 0.445621 \quad 0.445621 \quad -0.084324]$$

$$\hat{F}(4)_{6 \times 6} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{F}(5)_{6 \times 6} = \left(\frac{1}{20}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{E}(1)_{2 \times 4} = (0.082744) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{E}(2)_{2 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{E}(3)_{2 \times 4} = (0.270810) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{E}(4)_{2 \times 4} = \hat{E}(5)_{2 \times 4} = \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 4}$$

$$\hat{H}(1)_{2 \times 2} = (0.117017) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{H}(2)_{2 \times 2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{H}(3)_{2 \times 2} = (0.382983) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{H}(4)_{2 \times 2} = \hat{H}(5)_{2 \times 2} = \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2}$$

$$\hat{T}(1)_{4 \times 4} = (0.058509) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{T}(2)_{4 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{T}(3)_{4 \times 4} = (0.191491) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{T}(4)_{4 \times 4} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{T}(5)_{4 \times 4} = \mathbf{0}_{4 \times 4}$$

$$\hat{R}(m=2, n=4 | \mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.829026 & -0.170974 & 0.329026 & 0.329026 \\ -0.170974 & 0.829026 & 0.329026 & 0.329026 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{R}(m=2, m=2 | \mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.965314 & -0.034686 \\ -0.034686 & 0.965314 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{R}(n = 4, n = 4 | \mu = \frac{1}{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.920157 & -0.079843 & 0.045157 & 0.045157 \\ -0.079843 & 0.920157 & 0.045157 & 0.045157 \\ 0.045157 & 0.045157 & 0.795157 & 0.045157 \\ 0.045157 & 0.045157 & 0.045157 & 0.795157 \end{bmatrix}$$

### III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The CPTP transformation, as formulated in context of the paper, relates the matrix  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s}$  to the matrix  $Z_{s \times s}$  preserving the hermitian aspect and the matrix trace, but with possible restructuring of the eigenspaces-eigenvalue framework, this results in general, into reformulation of the analytical expressions of the Projection matrices associated with the corresponding mutually orthogonal eigenspaces which further leads to the reformulation of Building-Block matrix components and that of the Correlation component matrices.

The numerical study of the ( $m = 2, n = 4$ ) Complex matrix space demonstrates the contrasting features of two formalisms; it can be observed that in case of the standard formalism the orthogonal decomposition of the co-ordinate space  $C^6$  involves four mutually orthogonal eigenspaces of the matrix  $Z_{s \times s}$ , two of which have dimension equal to one and the other two such subspaces have dimension equal to two, in the case of the generalized formalism which involves orthogonal decomposition of the space  $C^6$  based on the eigenspaces of the generalized core component matrix  $\hat{Z}_{s \times s}$ , the number of such eigenspaces increases to five, with four of them having dimension equal to one and one eigenspace of dimension equal to two. The set of the associated eigenvalues are also observed to have changed under the effect of the defined CPTP transformation in context of the numerical study.

The generalized formalism increases the scope of the mathematical framework by allowing the intrinsic structure of the core component matrices to be modified by the use of CPTP transformations which changes their relationship with the embedding component matrices which are determined only by the spacer component matrices, thus leading to possibility of a diversified mathematical structure being associated with the framework; changing only the parameter ' $\mu$ ' over the interval  $(0, 1]$  allows the numerical forms of the Correlation component matrices to be modified but preserving the intrinsic eigenspace decomposition structure, incorporating a CPTP transformation and changing of the parameter ' $\mu$ ' allows the numerical forms of the Correlation component matrices to be sampled from a more diverse set of numerical situations.

The paper establishes the importance of the application of appropriate CPTP transformations to enhance the numerical diversity associated with the framework based on the spacer component matrices and the related mathematical elements. Follow up studies focused on understanding the nature of relationships between the analytical forms of the CPTP transformations and the analytical expressions of the mathematical elements pertaining to the framework, is expected to shed more light into the intricacies of the mathematical framework under consideration.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Choi, M., D., *Completely Positive Linear Maps on Complex Matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 10, p. 285 - 290 (1975)
- [2]. Datta, B. N., *Numerical Linear Algebra and Applications*, SIAM
- [3]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Tryst with Matrices: The Matrix Shell Model Formalism*, 24by7 Publishing, India.
- [4]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Generalized Matrix Multiplication Scheme based on the Concept of Embedding Dimension and Associated Spacer Matrices*, International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, Volume 6, Issue 1, p. 1336 - 1343 (2021)
- [5]. Ghosh, Debopam, *The Analytical Expressions for the Spacer Matrices associated with Complex Matrix spaces of order m by n, where m ≠ n, and other pertinent results*, (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.23283.45603) (2021)
- [6]. Ghosh, Debopam, *Construction of an analytical expression to quantify correlation between vectors belonging to non-compatible complex coordinates spaces, using the spacer matrix components and associated matrices* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17857.68969) (2022)
- [7]. Ghosh, Debopam, *Quantification of Intrinsic Overlap in matrices belonging to strictly rectangular complex matrix spaces, using the spacer matrix components and associated matrices* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.18405.06886) (2022)
- [8]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Mathematical Scheme defined on strictly rectangular complex matrix spaces involving Frobenius norm preservation under the possibility of matrix rank readjustment and internal redistribution of Variance using Spacer component matrices and a Completely Positive Trace Preserving transformation*, International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, Volume 7, Issue 10, pp. 591 - 602 (2022)

- [9]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Compilation of the Analytical Expressions, Properties and related Results and formulation of some additional mathematical elements associated with the Spacer matrix components corresponding to strictly rectangular Complex Matrix Spaces* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.31777.89446/1) (2022)
- [10]. Ghosh, Debopam, *Quantifying Correlation between vectors belonging to Non-Compatible Real Co-ordinate Spaces using a Mathematical scheme based on Spacer Matrix components*(Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.26336.76805) (2022)
- [11]. Ghosh, Debopam, *The formulation of Critical subspace and Extended Critical subspace associated with matrices of Complex Matrix spaces of order  $m$  by  $n$ , where  $m \neq n$*  (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.13862.60488) (2022)
- [12]. Ghosh, Debopam, *Construction of a positive valued scalar function of strictly rectangular Complex Matrices using the framework of Spacer Matrix components and related matrices*, International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, Volume 7, Issue 12, pp. 27 - 35 (2022)
- [13]. Ghosh, Debopam, *Mathematical analysis and theoretical reformulation of the framework associated with construction of a Positive valued scalar function of strictly rectangular complex matrices under the consideration of eigenvalue degeneracy of the Reference matrix* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.11700.12167) (2022)
- [14]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Compilation of the Analytical Results associated with Eigenanalysis of the Core Component matrix obtained from the Spacer Component Matrices* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17765.47847) (2022)
- [15]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Compilation of the Analytical Results associated with the mathematical formalism based on Spacer matrix components: Establishing the relationships between the Correlation component matrices and its Building-Block Matrix components* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15138.71361) (2023)
- [16]. Ghosh, Debopam, *A Compilation of Analytical results on Unitary Invariance relationships satisfied by the Building-Block matrices and the Correlation Component matrices pertaining to the mathematical framework based on the Spacer component matrices* (Article DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.14561.99687) (2023)
- [17]. Graham, Alexander, *Kronecker Products & Matrix Calculus with Applications*, Dover Publications, Inc.
- [18]. Hogben, Leslie, (Editor), *Handbook of Linear Algebra*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Taylor and Francis Group
- [19]. Kraus, K., *States, Effects and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory*, Springer Verlag (1983)
- [20]. Meyer, Carl. D., *Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra*, SIAM
- [21]. Nakahara, Mikio, and Ohmi, Tetsuo, *Quantum Computing: From Linear Algebra to Physical Realizations*, CRC Press.
- [22]. Neudecker, H., *Some Theorems on Matrix Differentiation with special reference to Kronecker Matrix Products*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 64, p 953-963(1969)
- [23]. Nielsen, Michael A., Chuang, Isaac L., *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Tenth Edition, Cambridge University Press (2010)
- [24]. Paris, Matteo G A, *The modern tools of Quantum Mechanics : A tutorial on quantum states, measurements and operations*, arXiv: 1110.6815v2 [ quant-ph ] (2012)
- [25]. Roth, W. E., *On Direct Product Matrices*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 40, p 461-468 (1944)
- [26]. Steeb, Willi-Hans, and Hardy, Yorick, *Problems and Solutions in Quantum Computing and Quantum Information*, World Scientific
- [27]. Stinespring, W., F., *Positive Functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, p. 211 - 216 (1955)
- [28]. Strang, Gilbert, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Fourth Edition, Cengage Learning
- [29]. Sudarshan, E., C., G., Mathews, P., M., Rau, Jayaseetha, *Stochastic Dynamics of Quantum - Mechanical Systems*, Physical Review, American Physical Society , 121 (3) , p. 920 - 924 (1961)
- [30]. Sundarapandian, V., *Numerical Linear Algebra*, PHI Learning Private Limited