# Sensory Analysis of Different *Rasasindura* Samples by Two Alternative Forced Choice (2-Afc) Method

Verma Arti PhD scholar, Department of Rasashastra and Bhaishajya Kalpana Bedarkar. P. B Assistant professor, Department of Rasashastra and Bhaishajya Kalpana

Abstract:- Sensory analysis is a scientific method that provide useful information about the relationships between product characteristics and human perception. Rasasindura is one of the kupipakava Rasavana which is use in different diseases conditions. Present study has been planned to evaluate the discrimination between different sample of Rasasindura and Hingula through sensory parameters. Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura, Chaturguna Balijarit Rasasindura, Panchguna Balijarit Rasasindura, Shadguna Balijarit Rasasindura and Hingula were evaluated through two alternative forced choice (2-AFC) Method. Result shows that samples which were taken for the sensory analysis are comparatively different. Two alternative forced choice (2-AFC) Method for this purpose was sensitive and specific to discriminate the all samples.

*Keywords:- Sensory analysis, Balijarit, Rasasindura, alternative forced choice (2-AFC), Discriminate.* 

# I. INTRODUCTION

Rasasindura (Red sulphide of Mercury is a well-known Kupipakwa Rasayana which is prepared by Parada (mercury) and Gandhak (sulfur). Rasasindura (Red sulphide of Mercury) is useful in the management of, Prameha (diabetes), Shula (pain), Bhangandra (fistula), Jwara (fever) [1,2].AS per different classical text Rasasindura be Darker red in colour [3]. Sensory tests provide useful information about the human perception of product changes due to ingredients, processing, packaging, or shelf life [4].

Hence, an attempt was made to validate sensory parameters to differentiate the samples of *Rasasindura* (Red sulphide of Mercury) and *Hingula* (Cinnabar) in compact and powder form.

## II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

#### A. Preparation of Samples:

Samaguna balijarita Rasasindura was prepared with equal quantity of mercury and sulphur then the first sublimated product was triturated with equal quantity of *Shuddha Gandhak* to form *kajjali* and again *kupipaka* was done. The same process was repeated for 4 times more to get *Shadguna Balijarita Rasasindura* with 6 times sublimation. Then samples were stored in airtight glass container and labelled accordingly [5]. Patgiri. B.J. Professor and Head, Department of Rasashastra and Bhaishajya Kalpana,. Shukla V J Head, Pharmaceutical Chemistry laboratory, ITRA, Jamnagar-361008

#### B. Samples used for sensory analysis:

SRS (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura), CRS (Chaturguna Balijarit Rasasindura), PRS (Panchguna Balijarit Rasasindura), ShRS (Shadguna Balijarit Rasasindura) H (Hingula) was subjected for the analysis in Compact form. whereas SRS-P (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura-Powder), ShRS-P (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura- Powder), H-P (Hingula-Powder) in powder form.

#### C. Questionnaire

SRS sample was compared with other samples to determine if a difference exists between all other samples with SRS. Special proforma was prepared for evaluating Darker red colour, Less lustrous, More crystallinity, Smaller lustrous particle/crystals, Smaller particle/crystals size.

Special proforma was prepared for evaluating intensity Darker red colour, Less lustrous, More crystallinity, Smaller lustrous particle/crystals, Smaller particle/crystals size.

#### D. Training of assessors

30 volunteers were pre-trained on the attributes, depending on the test objectives for about 2 h in three-time exposures of the standard sample.

#### E. Assessing samples

Assessors were presented with five compact and three powder blind coded samples followed by two alternative forced choice (2-AFC) method [6]. In experiment, participates were asked to identify the sample having more Darker red colour,Less lustrous, More crystallinity, Smaller lustrous particle/crystals, Smaller particle/crystals size. All participates were given enough time for each task.

#### III. RESULT

The panel for sensory analysis consisted of 30 assessors each assessor was asked to identify the correct given attributes of the samples as in the Performa/Questioner. Table 1 describes the comparative sensory analysis data of SRS with other sample of rasasindura and hingul on the basis of different attributes like Darker red colour, less lustrous, More crystallinity, Smaller lustrous particle/crystals, smaller particle/crystals size in compact form.

Attributes	1 <sup>st</sup>		2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup>		3 <sup>rd</sup>		4 <sup>th</sup>	
	compari		compari		comparis		compari	
	son		son		on		son	
	SR	CR	SR	PR	SR	Sh	SR	Η
	S	S	S	S	S	RS	S	
Darker red	2	28	1	29	-	30	29	1
colour								
Less	27	3	29	1	28	2	-	30
lustrous								
More	2	28	4	26	-	30	30	-
crystallinit								
у								
Smaller	30	-	29	1	30	-	2	28
lustrous								
particle/cr								
ystals								
Smaller	30	-	27	3	30	-	1	29
particle								
/ crystal								
size								

Table 1: Sensory analysis data of product in compact crystalline form

SRS (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura), CRS (Chaturguna Balijarit Rasasindura), PRS (Panchguna Balijarit Rasasindura), ShRS(Shadguna Balijarit Rasasindura) H( Hingula)

Table 2 describes the comparative sensory analysis data of SRS-P with ShRS-H, *hingul* and ShRS-P with H-P on the basis of different attributes like Darker red colour and less lustrous in powder form.

Character	1 <sup>st</sup> comparison		2 <sup>nd</sup>		3 <sup>rd</sup>	
			comparison		comparison	
	SRS-	SHRS-	SRS-	H-	SHRS-	H-
	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
Darker red	30	-	29	1	29	1
colour						
Less	1	29	-	30	-	30
lustrous						

Table 2: sensory analysis data of products in powder form

SRS-P (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura-Powder), ShRS-P (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura- Powder), H-P(Hingula-Powder).

The confuse matrix was applied for the individual attributes i.e., Darker red colour, less lustrous, more crystallinity, Smaller lustrous particle/crystals, smaller particle/crystals size on the basis of response and the results are depicted in table 3 and 4.

International Journal of	of Innovative Science	and Research	Technology
--------------------------	-----------------------	--------------	------------

ISSN No:-2456-2165

Discrimin ation	Dark er	Less Lust	More Crysta	Smaller Lustrous	Smaller particle/
Attributes	Ked	er	linity	particle/	crysals
	C010			crysais	Size
SDS ve CD	c ur	<u> </u>			
A ouroov	3 0.03	0.06	0.03	1	1
Sensitivity	0.95	0.90	0.95	1	1
Sensitivity	0.95	0.90	0.95	1	1
, precision,					
Micelassifi	0.13	<u> </u>	0.13	0	0
Misclassin	0.15		0.15	0	0
	2	<u> </u>			
	<b>)</b>	0.06	0.86	0.06	
Acuracy	0.90	0.90	0.80	0.90	0.9
Sensitivity	0.90	0.90	0.80	0.90	0.9
, precision,					
Micelessifi	0.06	0.06	0.26	0.06	0.2
Misclassifi	0.06	0.06	0.20	0.06	0.2
cation					
SKS vs. Snr	S 1	0.02	1	1	1
Acuracy	1	0.93	1	1	1
Sensitivity	1	0.93	1	1	1
, precision,					
Specificity					
Misclassifi	0	0.13	0	0	0
cation					
SRS vs. H		<del></del>	<del>.</del>	T	I
Acuracy	0.96	1	1	0.93	0.96
Sensitivity	0.96	1	1	0.93	0.96
, precision,					
Specificity					
Misclassifi	0.06	0	0	0.13	0.06
cation					

Table 3: Result of confused matrix applied on given attributes of products in compact crystalline form

SRS (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura), CRS (Chaturguna Balijarit Rasasindura), PRS (Panchguna Balijarit Rasasindura), ShRS(Shadguna Balijarit Rasasindura) H( Hingula)

	Darker Red	Less Lustrous
	Colour	
SRS-P vs. ShRS-P		
Acuracy	1	0.96
Sensitivity, precision,	1	0.96
specificity		
Misclassification	0	0.6
SRS-P vs. H-P		
Acuracy	0.96	1
Sensitivity, precision,	0.96	1
specificity		
Misclassification	0.06	0
ShRS vs. H-P		
Acuracy	0.96	1
Sensitivity, precision,	0.96	1
specificity		
Misclassification	0.06	0

 Table 4: Result of confused matrix applied on given attributes of products in powder form

ISSN No:-2456-2165

SRS-P (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura-Powder), ShRS-P (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindur

## **IV. DISCUSSION**

Sensory analysis is an important tool which could range from basic discrimination testing to descriptive analysis. Discrimination testing is a technique employed in sensory analysis to determine whether there is a detectable difference among two or more products. The test uses a group of assessors (panellists) with a degree of training appropriate to the complexity of the test to discriminate from one product to another through one of a variety of experimental designs [7,8]

In this experiment discrimination test was performed to differentiate the samples of rasasindura on the basis of certain attributes. For those five attributes were taken into consideration to identify differences between all samples. These were Darker red colour, Less lustrous, More crystallinity, Smaller lustrous particle/crystals, Smaller particle/crystals size. From the present study it was found that in case of compact form, SRS sample is less dark red in colour, less lustrous and less crystalline in comparison to CRS, PRS, ShRS samples whereas SRS is more darker red in colour more lustrous and more crystalline in compare to the H sample. Smaller lustrous crystals and crystals size are found in SRS in comparison to CRS, PRS, ShRS samples whereas H sample is having smaller lustrous crystals and crystals size is in comparison to the SRS. In case of powder form, it was found that SRS-P is darker red in colour in comparison to ShRS-P and H-P samples whereas ShRS-P and H-P sample is less lusturous in comparison to the SRS -P sample. It was also found that ShRS-P sample is more darker red and less Lustrous in comparison to the SRS-P sample.

Two samples differ in specific sensory attribute, then the two alternative forced choice (2-AFC) method is used. Sensory tests are designed to measure small differences among samples. considering this, two- alternative forced choice (2-AFC) method <sup>[6]</sup> (type of discrimination method was adopted for sensory analysis in the present study).

## V. CONCLUSION

2- AFC method is sensitive and specific to differentiate SRS (Samguna Balijarit Rasasindura), with CRS (Chaturguna Balijarit Rasasindura), PRS (Panchguna Balijarit Rasasindura), ShRS(Shadguna Balijarit Rasasindura), H( Hingula) in powder and compect form of the samples.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to express a special thanks to ITRA, Jamnagar for providing facilities to carry out the presence study.

#### REFERENCE

- [1.]Jha CB. Ayurvediya Rasashastra. Varanasi: Chowkambha Surabharati Prakashana, 2000, pp. 173.
- [2.]Sharma S. Rasa Tarangini. 11th Edition, 5<sup>th</sup> chapter, New Delhi: Motilala Banarsidas, 2004, pp. 101.
- [3.]Ibidem (2). Rasa Tarangini, 6/140.
- [4.]Food sensory analysis. Intertek, Total Quality, Assured. Available from: https://www.intertek.com/blog/2020-12-15-food-sensory/. Accessed date-21-02-2022.
- [5.]Ibidem (2). Rasa Tarangini, 6/168-176.
- [6.]Ennis DM. Relative power of difference testing methods in sensory evaluation: Food Tech. 1990; 44(114): 116-117.
- [7.]Sensory analysis. Wikipedia. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensory\_analysis. Accessed date-21-02-2022
- [8.]Discrimination testing Wikipedia. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Discrimination. Accessed date-21-02-2022