Effectiveness of Low-Level Laser Therapy on Stability of Dental Implants: A Systematic Review

Elakiya S¹, Sindhu R², Prabu D³, Rajmohan M⁴, Bharathwaj V V², Dinesh Dhamodhar⁴, Gousalya V¹

¹Postgraduate student, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India

²Senior Lecturer, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India
³Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India
⁴Reader, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India

Abstract:- It is a form of alternative therapy that uses laser light at low levels. The light is used in the surface skin to reduce pain, swelling, wound healing and prevent the damage of tissues and nerves. The aim of this study is to determine the effectiveness of low-level laser therapy on stability of dental implants. A systematic review was conducted based on literature search in the databases PubMed, Wiley online library, Cochrane library, Elsevier science direct, Prospero, Scopus, Ovid Medicine, Grey literature using the search keywords (low level laser) AND (stability) AND (dental implants). Randomised controlled trials investigating the effect of low-level laser therapy and further followed by Cochrane database bias assessment was done. Five randomised controlled trials were included and after discussed, the result of p value is not significant in low level laser therapy on stability of dental implants. There is a positive relationship between the use of low-level laser therapy in the wound healing process and has no positive effect on the stability of dental implants.

Keywords:- low- level laser, Stability, dental implants.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1969, Branemark described the concept of osteointegration for new dimension[1]. The disadvantages of osteointegration for the implant placement the time is required before the prosthesis placed. The development of new implant surfaces and techniques will consider reduction in the initial healing process[(2]. The implant variation of the technique is to reduce the function of osteointegration time, by altering the texture of titanium implant surface [3]. Dental implants are used for replacing the teeth in lost area [4]. For the placement of screw- type implants, the healing time takes place at 3 to 4 months. In the posterior mandible and maxilla, the healing time of 5 to 6 months may take due to the more cancellous bone structure [5]. According to Lekholm and Zarb classification, the bone for the implant placement in type 4, the healing time in the mandible increase from 1 or 2 months. The advances in materials and designs of dental implants, the treatment protocols for patients demand with very short recovery time and few surgical procedures needed [6].

The adequate primary stability of implant at the time of placement is the prerequisite for implant loading [7]. The implant stability is defined as no mobility after placement and depends on mechanical involvement of implant in fresh bone socket; implant stability increases by new bone formation at the bone implant interface and its gradual remodelling over time [8]. The factors affecting the primary stability of dental implants includes bone quality and quantity, morphology of implant, rough surface, surface topography and surgical technique [9,10]. Dental implant and surgical technique are the properties of secondary stability. At the time of implant loading, it can resist masticatory forces due to secondary stability. In many cases, the sites don't have the quality and quantity of bone. For the quality and quantity of bone, there is a need to induce bone regeneration around implants [11].

Low- level laser therapy is the process to enhance the bone healing. The use of low- level laser therapy in cellular level enhances biochemical and molecular process of tissues. Many studies show positive effects on healing process of tissues in low- level laser therapy [12]. The process of stimulation of low- level laser therapy includes wound healing [13,14], protein and collagen synthesis [15], cell proliferation [16], cell regeneration [17], bone remodelling, after injury repair of nerve function, hormonal balance function, immunity and lymphatic regulation system, decreased inflammation, swelling and pain relief. Many studies reported positive effects on osteogenesis by using application of laser in periodontology [18].

The low- level laser therapy on tissue healing has indication in bone maturation and increased bone implant [19]. The significance of stability in long-term success of dental implants in areas with low quality of bone to increase stability [20]. The resonance frequency analysis is used to assess the primary stability for 10 years. It is used to monitor and follow up the implant stability [21]. The aim of the study is to determine the effect of low- level laser therapy for stability of implants.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Study Design:

A total of 120 articles were searched among those 5 articles are included in this study and this systematic review was done using low level laser therapy on stability of dental implants.





- B. Eligibility Criteria:
- ➤ Inclusion criteria:
- 1. Studies published in English
- 2. Articles on the effectiveness on low level laser therapy
- 3. Full text articles
- > Exclusion criteria:
- 1. Only abstracts available
- 2. Unrelated articles
- 3. Animal studies
- C. Search Engines:
- PubMed
- Wiley online library

- Cochrane library
- Elsevier science directo9;.
- Scopus
- Ovid Medicine
- Grey literature

After the search using the appropriate mesh terms a total of 120 articles were found from the online databases. After duplicates removal of 109 articles were screened and 99 full-text articles were available. Inclusion-exclusion criteria were applied and finally 5 related articles were selected for further assessment.

AUTHOR	YEAR	SAMPLE	IMPLANT	NO OF	DRUG AND	IMPLANT
NAME			DIMENSION	IMPLANT	DOSAGE	STABILITY AND DIACEMENT
Joelle Marie Garcia- Morales et al [24]	2012	Among 8 patients, 2 males and 6 females in the mean age of 36 years	Diameter: 3.8mm Length: 11mm	30	Cap amoxicillin 500mg Tab diclofenac 50mg Tab acetaminophen 750 mg 0.12% chlorhexidine mouth rinse Local anaesthesia with 3% mepivacaine and 1:100,000 Low level laser of	After 10 days, 3,6, 9 and 12 weeks
Parviz Torkzoban et al [26]	2018	19 patients, 10 females in the mean age of 43 years and 9 males in the mean age of 41 years	Diameter: 4 – 4.5mm Length: 10-11.5mm	80	Cap amoxicillin 500mg Tab gelofen 400mg 0.2% chlorhexidine mouth rinse Local anaesthesia lignocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine Low level laser of 940nm diode laser	After 10 days, 3,6 and 12 weeks
Jacek Matys et al [27]	2019	24 Patients, 8 females and 16 males in mean age of 47 years	Diameter: 4.5mm Length: 10-12mm	40	Tab clindamycin 600 mg Tab ibuprofen 400 mg 0.1% chlorhexidine mouth rinse Low level laser of 635nm diode laser	After 2 and 4 weeks
Renan Pablo Bittencourt Lobato et al [28]	2020	44 Patients, 22 males and 22 females Mean age of 51 years	Implant Diameter and Length is chosen based on bone availability	50	Cap amoxicillin 500mg Local anaesthesia articaine 4% with 1:100,000 epinephrine Low level laser of 808nm diode laser	At the time
Rafal Flieger et al [29]	2019	20 Patients, 7 males and 13 females Mean age of 33 years	Diameter: 1.4mm Length: 10mm	40	0.1% chlorhexidine mouth rinse Low level laser of 635nm diode laser	After 3,6,9,12,15, 30 and 60 days

Table 1:- Characteristics of the intervention in the included studies

Table 1: shows the characteristics of the intervention in the included studies. In all above the effectiveness of low-level laser therapy on stability of implant was reviewed.

AUTHOR NAME	YEAR	STUDY DESIGN	OUTCOME	RESULT
Joelle Marie Garcia-	2012	double-blind randomized	shows no significant	P<0.028 shows not statistically
Morales et al [24]		clinical study	difference in implant	significant
			stability at 10 days,	
			3, 6, 9 and 12 weeks	
Parviz Torkzoban et al	2018	randomized controlled	shows a no significant	P<0.05 shows not statistically
[26]		clinical trial	difference in implant	significant
			stability at baseline and	
			at 10 days,	
			5, 7, and 12 weeks	
Jacok Matus et al [27]	2010	randomized controlled	shows no significant	P<0.01 shows not statistically
Jacok Watys of al [27]	2019	clinical trial	difference in implant	significant
		ennical that	stability at baseline	Significant
			2, 4, 8, and 12 weeks	
Renan Pablo	2020	randomized controlled	Shows no significant	P=0.433 shows not statistically
Bittencourt Lobato et		clinical trial	difference in implant	significant
al [28]			stability at that time	
Rafal Eligger et al	2010	Randomized Clinical Split	shows no significant	P-0.365 shows not statistically
[20]	2019	Mouth Trial	difference in implant	significant
			stability at baseline	Significant
			3. 6. 9. 12. 15. 30 and	
			60 days	

Table 2:- Outcome data as reported in included studies

Table 2: shows an outcome and result of the effectiveness of low-level laser therapy in stability of dental implants in abovementioned studies.

AUTHOR NAME	YEAR	RANDOM SEQUENCE GENERATION	ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT	SELECTIVE REPORTING	INCOMPLETE OUTCOME DATA	BLINDING OF OUTCOME ASSESSMENT	BLINDING PARTICIPANTS AND PERSONALS
Joelle Marie Garcia- Morales et al [24]	2012	-	-	-	++	?	?
Parviz Torkzoban et al [26]	2018	++	-	?	-	-	++
Jacek Matys et al [27]	2019	-	++	++	-	-	-
Renan Pablo Bittencourt Lobato et al [28]	2020	-	-	-	-	?	++
Rafal Flieger et al [29]	2019	-	++	?	-	-	-

Table 3:- Bias analysis of included studies

Table 3: shows the bias analysis of all the included studies. It is categorized as high-risk bias "--", low risk bias "++" and unclear "?".

IV. DISCUSSION

Osseointegration is necessary for the need of dental implant success [22]. Many studies reported the positive effects of low-level laser therapy on bone healing. The effect in osseointegration and implant stability is limited in clinical studies. The best loading time for primary stability of dental implants is 10 years [23]. In Joelle Marie Garcia- Morales et al, 2012, the laser stability and implant stability placement are for after 10 days, 3, 6, 9 and 12 weeks and this result of p value shows not significant. It is a double blind randomized clinical study; the implant dimension of diameter is 3.8mm and length is 11mm. The drug and dosage used this study is Capsule of amoxicillin 500mg, tablet of diclofenac 50mg, tablet of acetaminophen 750 mg and mouth rinse used is 0.12% chlorhexidine mouth rinse. The procedure is done by Local anaesthesia with 3% mepivacaine and 1:100,000 [24]. But Mayer et al in-animal studies shows significant difference in implant stability and low-level laser therapy. In many studies the low- level laser therapy has a healing phase but not significant in implant placement. The implant stability is decreased due to bone remodelling around dental implants. There is an increased cell proliferation, cell differentiation and production of bone matrix around dental implants in laser therapy. The low-level laser therapy is used to assess the effect of implant placement in bone graft and in patients with systematic conditions such as diabetic condition and smokers. Though, it is not significant and there is no effect in low-level laser therapy on stability of dental implants [25].

Parviz Torkzoban et al, 2018 the implant stability and placement are for after 10 days, 3,6 and 12 weeks and this result of p value shows not significant. It is randomized controlled clinical trial; the implant dimension of diameter is 4-4.5 mm and length are 10-11.5 mm. The drug and dosage used this study is Capsule of amoxicillin 500mg, tablet of gelofen 400mg, and mouth rinse used is 0.2% chlorhexidine mouth rinse. The procedure is done by Local anaesthesia with lignocaine 1:80,000 epinephrine. Though, it is not significant and there is no effect in low-level laser therapy on stability of dental implants [26].

Jacek Matys et al, 2019, the implant stability and placement are for after 2 and 4 weeks and this result of p value shows not significant. It is randomized controlled clinical trial; the implant dimension of diameter is 4.5 mm and length are 10-12 mm. The drug and dosage used this study is Tablet of clindamycin 600 mg, tablet of ibuprofen 400 mg and mouth rinse used is 0.1% chlorhexidine mouth rinse. Though, it is not significant and there is no effect in low- level laser therapy on stability of dental implants [27].

Renan Pablo Bittencourt Lobato et al 2020, at the time the implant stability is placed and this result of p value shows not significant. It is randomized controlled clinical trial; the implant dimension of diameter and length are based on bone availability. The drug and dosage used this study is Capsule of amoxicillin 500mg. The procedure is done by Local anaesthesia articaine 4% with 1:100,000 epinephrine. Though, it is not significant and there is no effect in low- level laser therapy on stability of dental implants [28]. Rafal Flieger et al, 2019, the implant stability and placement are for after 3,6,9,12,15, 30 and 60 days and this result of p value shows not significant. It is a randomized Clinical Split-Mouth Trial; the implant dimension of diameter is 1.4 mm and length are 10 mm. The drug and dosage used is 0.1% chlorhexidine mouth rinse. Though, it is not significant and there is no effect in low- level laser therapy on stability of dental implants [29].

V. CONCLUSION

There is a positive relationship between the use of lowlevel laser therapy in the healing process and has no positive effect on the stability of dental implants. Therefore, it is not significant in all the study discussed above. This study proved that there is no effect of using low-level laser therapy on the stability of dental implants

REFERENCES

- Thomas KA, Cook SD. An evaluation of variables influencing implant fixation by direct bone apposition. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research,1985; 19(8):875–901
- [2]. Mustafa K, Wroblewski J, Hultenby K, Lopez BS, Arvidson K. Effects of titanium surfaces blasted with TiO2 particles on the initial attachment of cells derived from human mandibular bone. A scanning electron microscopic and histomorphometric analysis. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 2000; 11(2):116–128
- [3]. Buser D, Schenk RK, Steinemann S, Fiorellini JP, Fox CH, Stich H. Influence of surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants. A histomorphometric study in miniature pigs. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research, 1991; 25(7):889–902
- [4]. Branemark PI, Svensson B, van Steenberghe D. Tenyear survival rates of fixed prostheses on four or six implants ad modum Brånemark in full edentulism. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 1995; 6(4):227–231
- [5]. Zarb GA. Clinical application of osseointegration. An introduction. Swedish Dental Journal Supplement, 1985; 28:7–9
- [6]. Becker W. Immediate implant placement: diagnosis, treatment planning and treatment steps/or successful outcomes. Journal of the California Dental Association, 2005; 33(4):303–310
- [7]. Elias CN, Rocha FA, Nascimento AL, Coelho PG. Influence of implant shape, surface morphology, surgical technique and bone quality on the primary stability of dental implants. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior Biomedical Materials,2012;16:169–180
- [8]. Raghavendra S,Wood MC, Taylor TD. Early wound healing around endosseous implants: a review of the literature. The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants,2005; 20(3):425–431
- [9]. Javed F, Romanos GE. The role of primary stability for successful immediate loading of dental implants. A literature reviews. Journal of Dentistry,2010; 38(8):612–620

- [10]. Marquezan M, Osório A, Sant'Anna E, Souza MM, Maia L. Does bone mineral density influence the primary stability of dental implants? A systematic review. Clinical Oral Implants Research,2012; 23(7):767–774
- [11]. Atsumi M, Park SH, Wang HL. Methods used to assess implant stability: current status. The International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants,2007; 22(5):743–754
- [12]. Shadid RM, Sadaqah NR, Othman SA. Does the implant surgical technique affect the primary and/or secondary stability of dental implants? A systematic review. International Journal of Dentistry, 2014:204838.
- [13]. Prashanthy.M.R , DineshDamodhar , Suganya.P , Bharathwaj.V.V , Sindhu.R , Prabu.D et al. Low Level Helium-Neon Laser Therapy For Chemoradiotherapy Induced Oral Mucositis In Oral Cancer- A Systematic Review. Drugs and Cell Therapies in Hematology, 2021; 10(1): 1783-1792.
- [14]. Goymen M, Isman E, Taner L, Kurkcu M. Histomorphometric evaluation of the effects of various diode lasers and force levels on orthodontic mini screw stability. Photomedicine and Laser Surgery,2015; 33(1):29–34
- [15]. Hawkins D, Houreld N, Abrahamse H. Low level laser therapy (LLLT) as an effective therapeutic modality for delayed wound healing. Annals of the New York Academy Sciences,2005; 1056:486–493
- [16]. Posten W, Wrone DA, Dover JS, Arndt KA, Silapunt S, Alam M. Low-level laser therapy for wound healing: mechanism and efficacy. Journal of Dermatologic Surgery and oncology, 2005; 31(3):334–340
- [17]. Vinck EM, Cagnie BJ, Cornelissen MJ, Declercq HA, Cambier DC. Increased fibroblast proliferation induced by light emitting diode and low power laser irradiation. Lasers in Medical Science, 2003; 18(2):95–99
- [18]. Gao X, Xing D. Molecular mechanisms of cell proliferation induced by low power laser irradiation. Journal of Biomedical Science,2009 16(1):1-57
- [19]. Al-Watban FA, Zhang XY. The comparison of effects between pulsed and CW lasers on wound healing. Journal of Clinical Laser Medicine and Surgery,2004; 22(1):15–18
- [20]. Agha-Hosseini F, Moslemi E, Mirzaii-Dizgah I (2012) Comparative evaluation of low-level laser and CO(2) laser in treatment of patients with oral lichen planus. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2012; 41(10):1265–1269
- [21]. Gomes FV, Mayer L, Massotti FP, Baraldi CE, Ponzoni D,Webber JB, de Oliveira MG. Low-level laser therapy improves peri- implant bone formation: resonance frequency, electron microscopy, and stereology findings in a rabbit model. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery,2015; 44(2):245–251
- [22]. Moore P, Ridgway TD, Higbee RG, Howard EW, Lucroy MD. Effect of wavelength on low-intensity laser irradiation- stimulated cell proliferation in vitro. Lasers in Surgery and Medicine,2005; 36(1):8–12
- [23]. Karl M, Graef F, Heckmann S, Krafft T. Parameters of resonance frequency measurement values: a

retrospective study of 385 ITI dental implants. Clinical Oral Implants Research,2008; 19(2):214–218

- [24]. García-Morales JM, Tortamano-Neto P, Todescan FF, de Andrade JC Jr, Marotti J, Zezell DM. Stability of dental implants after irradiation with an 830-nm lowlevel laser: a double-blind randomized clinical study. Lasers in Medical Science, 2012;27(4):703-711
- [25]. Mayer L, Gomes FV, de Oliveira MG, de Moraes JF, Carlsson L. Peri-implant osseointegration after lowlevel laser therapy: micro-computed tomography and resonance frequency analysis in an animal model. Lasers in Medical Science, 2016; 31(9):1789–1795
- [26]. Torkzaban, Parviz, Kasraei S, Torabi S, Farhadian M. Low-level laser therapy with 940 nm diode laser on stability of dental implants: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Lasers in Medical science, 2018; 33(2): 287-293
- [27]. Jacek Matys, Katarzyna Uwider, Kinga Grzech LeVniak ,Marzena Dominiak, Umberto Romeo. Photobiomodulation by a 635nm Diode Laser on Peri-Implant Bone: Primary and Secondary Stability and Bone Density Analysis—A Randomized Clinical Trial. Biomedical research International, 2019: 1-8
- [28]. Renan Pablo Bittencourt Lobato, Mateus de Azevedo Kinalski, Thiago Marchi Martins, Bernardo Antonio Agostini, Cesar Dalmolin Bergoli, Mateus Bertolini Fernandes Dos Santos. Influence of low-level laser therapy on implant stability in implants placed in fresh extraction sockets: A randomized clinical trial. Clinical implant dentistry and related research, 2020; 2(3): 261-269
- [29]. Flieger R, Gedrange T, Grzech-Lesniak K, Dominiak M, Matys J. Low-Level Laser Therapy with a 635 nm Diode Laser Affects Orthodontic Mini-Implants Stability: A Randomized Clinical Split-Mouth Trial. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019; 9(1):112