# Some Classical Properties of the New Conformable Fractional Derivative

Neha<sup>a, \*</sup>, Dr. Anita Dahiya<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>b</sup>Professor Department of Applied Sciences and Humanities, Panipat Institute of Engineering and Technology, Panipat-132101, Haryana, India

Abstract:- Some research works dealing with fractional derivatives inspired us to work on this paper. In this paper, we prove some useful results using the definition of new Conformable Fractional Derivative given inAhmed Kajouni, Ahmed Chafiki, Khalid Hilal, and Mohamed Oukessou[7].

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 26A33, 26A24.

*Keywords:-* Conformable Fractional Derivative; Fractional Calculus; Fractional Differential Equations; Fractional operators.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Non-integer order derivatives and integrals are studied and applied in fractional calculus, a new discipline of mathematics. It has more than a 320-years history. Its progress has primarily been centred on the pure mathematical discipline. Liouville, Riemann, Leibniz, and others appear to have conducted the first systematic research in the 19th century. Fractional differential equations (FDEs) have been utilized to explain a variety of stable physical phenomena with anomalous degradation throughout the last two decades. Many mathematical models of real-world problems that arise in engineering and research are either linear or non-linear systems. With the discovery of fractional calculus, it has been shown that differential systems may be used to describe the role of many systems. It's worth noting that the fractional calculus may be used to describe a variety of physical processes with memory and genetic features. In reality, fractional order systems make up the majority of real-world processes.

Fractional Calculus is as old as Calculus. L' Hospital wrote to Leibniz on September 30th, 1695, inquiring about a particular notation he had used in his writings for the mthderivative of the linear function  $f(x) = x, \frac{d^m x}{dx^m}$ . L'Hopital's raised the question to Leibniz, what will happen if  $m = \frac{1}{2}$ ? Leibniz's response: "An apparent paradox, from which one day useful consequences will be drawn"[19]. As a result, fractional calculus was born on that date, and it is now known as fractional calculus' birthday. Many academics have attempted to define fractional derivative since then. The Riemann-Liouville and Caputo definitions are the most important. We recommend the reader to [1-4] for the history and important results on fractional derivatives. **Definition 1.1 "Riemann-Liouville Definition":** For  $\beta \in [m - 1, m)$ ,  $\beta$ -derivative of function g(u) is

$$D_{a}^{\beta}(g)(u) = \frac{1}{[m-\beta]} \frac{d^{m}}{dt^{m}} \int_{a}^{u} \frac{g(x)}{(u-x)^{\beta-m+1}} dx.$$

**Definition 1.2 "Caputo Definition":** For  $\beta \in [m - 1, m)$ ,  $\beta$ -derivative of function g(u) is

$$D_a^{\beta}(g)(u) = \frac{1}{[m-\beta]} \int_a^u \frac{g^m(x)}{(u-x)^{\beta-m+1}} dx.$$

All of the definitions thus far, including 1.1 and 1.2, satisfy the property that the fractional derivative is linear. By all definitions, this is the single property inherited from the first derivative. However, such definitions have some drawbacks:

- The R-L derivative does not satisfy  $D_a^{\beta}(1) = 0$  ( $D_a^{\beta}(1) = 0$ ) for "Caputo definition") if  $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ .
- All fractional derivatives do not satisfy the known formulas of the derivative of the product and quotient of two functions:

$$D_a^\beta(hg) = hD_a^\beta(g) + gD_a^\beta(h).$$
$$D_a^\beta\left(\frac{h}{g}\right) = \frac{gD_a^\beta(h) - hD_a^\beta(g)}{g^2}.$$

• All fractional derivatives do not satisfy the Chain Rule:

$$D_a^{\beta}(hog)(t) = h^{(\beta)}(g(t))g^{(\beta)}(t).$$

• All fractional derivatives do not satisfy  $D_a^{\alpha} D_a^{\beta}(g) = D_a^{\alpha+\beta}(g)$  in general.

### **II. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMNARIES**

The conformable fractional derivative, defined by the authors in [5], [6], and [7], is a well-behaved simple fractional derivative that is based solely on the derivative's basic limit definition.

Khalil et. al. [5] have introduced a new derivative called "the conformable fractional derivative" of function 'g' of order ' $\beta$ ' and is defined by

ISSN No:-2456-2165

$$T_{\beta}(g(u)) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(u + \epsilon u^{1-\beta}) - g(u)}{\epsilon},$$

where  $g: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < \beta \le 1$ , and the fractional derivative at 0 is defined as  $g^{(\beta)}(0) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} T_{\beta}(g(u))$ . Katungampola [6] introduced the new definition which is given by

$$D^{\beta}(g(u)) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(ue^{\epsilon u^{-\beta}}) - g(u)}{\epsilon},$$

for  $u > 0, \beta \in (0, 1)$ . If g is  $\beta$ -differentiable in some (0, b), b > 0, and  $\lim_{u \to 0^+} D^{\beta}(g(u))$  exists then, define  $D^{\beta}(g(0)) = \lim_{u \to 0^+} D^{\beta}(g(u))$ .

For the most natural extension of the standard limit definition of the derivative of a function g at a point, Ahmed Kajouni et al. [7] presented "a new conformable fractional derivative".

**Definition [7]:** Given a function  $g: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , and then the conformable fractional derivative of g of order  $\beta$  is defined by

$$D^{\beta}(g(u)) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(u+he^{(\beta-1)u}) - g(u)}{h}, \text{ for } u > 0, \beta \in (0, 1).$$

If g is  $\beta$ -differentiable in some (0,b), b > 0, and  $\lim_{u \to 0^+} D^{\beta}(g(u)) \quad \text{exists then, define } D^{\beta}(g(0)) = \lim_{u \to 0^+} D^{\beta}(g(u)).$ 

As the consequences of above definitions, the authors in [5, 6, 7] showed that the  $\beta$ - derivatives obey the product rule, quotient rule, power rule, chain rule, Rolle's theorem and Lagrange's MVT in classical calculus.

**Theorem 2.1** [5, 6, 7]: If a function  $g: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\beta$ -differentiable at  $u_0 > 0$ , then g is continuous at  $u_0$ .

**Theorem 2.2 [5, 6, 7]:** If a > 0 and  $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a given function that satisfies

- *g* is continuous on [*a*, *b*].
- *g* is  $\beta$  differentiable in (a, b) for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .
- g(a) = g(b).

Then, there exists  $c \in (a, b)$ , such that  $g^{(\beta)}(c) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3 [5, 6, 7]:** If a > 0 and  $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a given function that satisfies

- g is continuous on [a, b].
- *g* is  $\beta$  differentiable in (a, b) for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .

Then, there exists  $c \in (a, b)$ , such that

$$g^{(\beta)}(c) = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{\left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right)e^{(\beta - 1)b} - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right)e^{(\beta - 1)a}}.$$

The objective of this paper is to prove some useful results of classical calculus that remain true in replacing the integer order derivative g' by the conformable fractional one  $g^{(\beta)}$ .

#### **III. MAIN RESULTS**

Theorem 3.1 "Cauchy Mean Value Theorem for Conformable Fractional Differentiable Functions": If a > 0 and  $h, g: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be two given functions that satisfy

- *h* and *g* are continuous on [a, b].
- *h* and *g* are  $\beta$  differentiable in (a, b) for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .
- $g^{(\beta)}(x) \neq 0$  for any point of the open interval (a, b).

Then, there exists at least one valued of x of open interval (a, b), such that

$$\frac{h^{(\beta)}(d)}{g^{(\beta)}(d)} = \frac{h(b) - h(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}.$$

**Proof:** Firstly, we see that  $g(b) - g(a) \neq 0$  for otherwise *g* would satisfy the conditions of Rolle's theorem and  $g^{(\beta)}(x)$  would then vanish for atleast one value of *x* of open interval(*a*, *b*), thus contradicting the hypothesis that  $g^{(\beta)}(x) \neq 0$  for any point of the open interval (a, b).

Now, we define the function  $\emptyset(x)$  by the relation

$$\phi(x) = h(x) - h(a) - \left(\frac{h(b) - h(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}\right) (g(x) - g(a)).$$
  
Then,  $\phi(a) = 0$  and  $\phi(b) = 0$ .

So, the function  $\phi(x)$  satisfies the conditions of Rolle's theorem. So, there exists at least one value *d* of *x* of open interval (a, b), such that

$$\phi^{(\beta)}(d) = 0$$

$$\phi^{(\beta)}(x) = h^{(\beta)}(x) - \left(\frac{h(b) - h(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}\right) g^{(\beta)}(x).$$
So,  $\phi^{(\beta)}(d) = 0$  implies that  $h^{(\beta)}(d) - \left(\frac{h(b) - h(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}\right) g^{(\beta)}(d) = 0.$ 

This implies,

$$\frac{h^{(\beta)}(d)}{g^{(\beta)}(d)} = \frac{h(b) - h(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}.$$

The following result [8] generalizes the L-Hospital Rule will be a particular case of which  $\beta = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2:** Let a > 0 and  $h, g: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be two given functions that satisfy

- *h* and *g* are continuous on [a, b].
- *h* and g are  $\beta$  differentiable in (a, b) for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .
- $g^{(\beta)}(x) \neq 0$  for any point of the open interval (a, b) and  $\lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{h^{(\beta)}(x)}{g^{(\beta)}(x)} = l.$

Then,

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{h(x) - h(a)}{g(x) - g(a)} = \lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{h^{(\beta)}(x)}{g^{(\beta)}(x)} = l.$$

ISSN No:-2456-2165

**Proof:** By the hypothesis (i), (ii) and (iii) we can apply theorem 3.1 for all  $x \in [a, b]$  there exists  $d_x \in (a, x)$  such that

$$\frac{h^{(\beta)}(d_x)}{g^{(\beta)}(d_x)} = \frac{h(x) - h(a)}{g(x) - g(a)}.$$

It is clear that if  $x \to a$  so  $d_x \to a$  then by (iii)

 $\frac{h^{(\beta)}(d_{\chi})}{g^{(\beta)}(d_{\chi})} \to l.$ 

Finally, we have that

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{h(x) - h(a)}{g(x) - g(a)} = \lim_{x \to a^+} \frac{h^{(\beta)}(x)}{g^{(\beta)}(x)} = l.$$

**Example 3.3:** We calculate  $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}$ .

Let h(x) = sinx, g(x) = x.

We are exactly within the conditions for applying the result 3.2.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{g(x) - g(0)}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h^{(\beta)}(x)}{g^{(\beta)}(x)}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{e^{(\beta - 1)x} \cos x}{e^{(\beta - 1)x}}$$
$$= 1.$$

**Example 3.4:** We calculate  $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^2}$ .

Let 
$$h(x) = 1 - \cos x$$
,  $g(x) = x^2$ .

We are exactly within the conditions for applying the result 3.2.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{g(x) - g(0)}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{h^{(\beta)}(x)}{g^{(\beta)}(x)}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{e^{(\beta - 1)x} sinx}{2e^{(\beta - 1)x} x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{sinx}{x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}.$$

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

Cauchy Mean Value Theorem for New Conformable Fractional Derivative generalizes Lagrange's Mean Value Theorem for  $\beta$ - differentiable function in (a, b) for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . It may establish the relationship between the fractional derivatives of two functions and changes in these functions on a finite interval.

#### DECLARATIONS

- Funding: Not applicable.
- **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- Availability of Data and Material: Not applicable.
- Code Availability: Not Applicable
- Authors' Contributions: Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### REFRENCES

- K.S. Miller, An Introduction to Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1993.
- [2.] K. Oldham, J. Spanier, "The Fractional Calculus, Theory and applications of Differentiation and integration of arbitrary order", Academic Press, USA, 1974.
- [3.] Kilbas, H. Srivastva, J. Trujillo, "Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations", in: Math. Studies., North Holland, New York, 2006.
- [4.] Podlubny, "Fractional Differential Equations" Academic Press, USA, 1999.
- [5.] R. Khalil, M. Al Horani, A. Yousef, and M. Sababheh, "A New Definition of Fractional Derivative" Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, volume 264, pp. 65-70, 2014.
- [6.] U.N. Katugampola, "A New Fractional derivative with Classical Properties" e-print arXiv: 1410.6535.
- [7.] Ahmed Kajouni, Ahmed Chafiki, Khalid Hilal, and Mohamed Oukessou, "A New Conformable Fractional Derivative and Applications", International Journal of differential Equations, Volume 2021, Article ID 6245435.