# Conceptualizing the Role of Organizational Performance and Good Corporate Governance in Social Welfare Institutions Banten - Indonesia

Mochamad Soelton, Firda Apriani, Tri Wahyono, Harefan Arief, Eko Tama Putra Saratian Mercu Buana University, Indonesia

Abstract: In an organization, human capital is an important factor for the activities' effectiveness for a company or organization. Every company whatever its shape and type, will require human resources who have the ability to think, the task is also in accordance with the needs of the company. This study aims to examine and analyze organizational learning, organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior on organizational performance and good corporate governance in social welfare institutions (LKS) in the district of Tangerang City, with the using quantitative method. The object of research is caregivers in social welfare institutions in social welfare institutions (LKS) in Tangerang City district, with a total population of 30 caregivers. Nonprobability sampling technique is used with a sample size of 30 caregivers representing the entire population. The data analysis used in this study is a Structural Equation Model (SEM) analysis tool using the Smart-PLS Version 3.0 software. The results of this study are that organizational learning and organizational citizenship behavior have a significantly positive influence on organizational performance and good corporate governance, organizational commitment has a significantly positive influence on good corporate governance, and organizational commitment has a significantly negative effect on good corporate governance.

*Keywords:-* Organizational Citizenship Behavior, Organizational Commitment, Organizational Learning, Organizational Performance, Good Corporate Governance.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Human capital are also very important for the company or organization in the work and employees who can help for the company it reaches. Especially in the current era of the future, the world is always changing, marked by the increase in technological developments in all fields. To respond to these changes, companies are required to manage and improve the quality of HR (competency-based HR) through increasing the knowledge, skills and abilities of HR to the HR involved Tati Nugrahati Social Ministry Republic Indonesia, Ellya Susilowati, Polytechnic of Social Welfare, Tantri Yanuar Rahmat Syah, Esa Unggul University, Indonesia

in the company's processes or activities to form knowledgebased HR that requires expertise and expertise (Saptarini, 2017; Nurhayati, 2017; Jumadi *et al*, 2018; Setiawan, 2017).

According to Jumadi et al, (2018) revealed that in everyday human life it cannot be separated from various organizations, because humans are social creatures who tend to always live in society. Most of human time is spent either as a member of work, school, social, state organizations, and so on. In the current era of globalization, organizations not only focus on policies and procedures, but organizations are formed by humans and their relationships with one another. An organization can survive if the people in it interact with one another to function in order to achieve goals. This is where the key for an organization to be able to grow, develop and survive, namely human resources.

According to (Kemensos RI.go.id), it states that social organizations are under the auspices of the government, as stated in the preamble to the 1945 Constitution, welfare and social justice are the ideals of the Indonesian nation. This has become the main task of the government, in which the economy and social welfare are one of the chapters in the body of the amended 1945 Constitution, and imply the granting of broad economic and social rights to citizens. State philanthropy must be carried out for those who are unable to work because of poverty, poverty and neglect, so that social welfare can be achieved. The government's commitment to the implementation of social welfare to realize social justice, can be seen from the existence of the Ministry of Social Affairs which is in accordance with Presidential Regulation Number 46 of 2016 concerning the Ministry of Social Affairs, which is tasked with assisting the President with regard to matters in the fields of social rehabilitation, social security, social empowerment, social protection, and handling the needy.

According Soelton et al, 2020; Mugiono et al, 2020; Soelton & Nugrahati, 2018; Kholis, 2015: said that a prosperous life is a life that all humans yearn for, regardless of differences in religion, ethnicity, nation, and others. However, not always the coveted prosperous life can be achieved by

everyone. For this reason, it takes serious effort from each individual to reach the prosperous life he dreams of. The state plays a role in facilitating and guaranteeing every citizen fairly in order to realize social welfare for all citizens. This is what the founding fathers of the Indonesian nation aspire to, as stated in the 3 basic principles of the state, Pancasila, namely social justice for all Indonesian people. It is also stated in the Preamble to the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia that the goal of the state is to improve the welfare of the people.number of LKS Spread in Indonesia

According to Pratama (2018), states that to ensure social welfare, in the 1945 Constitution Article 34 paragraph 1, the poor and neglected children are cared for by the State. The state creates an institution that guarantees social welfare, namely, the ministry of social affairs which is in charge of organizing affairs in the fields of social rehabilitation, social security, social empowerment, social protection, and handling of the poor to assist the President in administering the state government. and inclusivity. One of the social institutions, namely the Child Social Welfare Institution (LKSA), is a social welfare institution established by the government, local government, or the community that carries out childcare. LKSA acts as a form of care assistance for orphans, orphans and abandoned children. Child welfare is an order of life and livelihoods that can ensure proper growth and development, both spiritually, physically and socially. Child welfare efforts are social welfare efforts aimed at ensuring the realization of children's welfare, especially the fulfillment of children's needs. The government and society have a responsibility to help children who have problems through social institutions.



Source: Ministry of Social Affairs, 2020

So, it can be concluded that the number of LKS in Indonesia is dominated by Child Welfare Institutions (LKSA) with a total of 6748 houses, then in second place is the Elderly Social Welfare Institution (LKSLU) with a total of 658 houses, Reporting Compulsory Recipient Institutions (IPWL) 187 houses, Social Welfare Institutions for Persons with Disabilities (LKSPD) totaling 321 houses, Social Welfare Institutions for Tuna Sosial and KPO (LKSTS) totaling 74 houses, and Integrated Social Service Units (UPT / UPTD) totaling 185 houses.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

## 2.1. Organizational Performance

According to Joni et al., (2018), organizational performance reflects the ability of an organization to meet the needs of stakeholders and survive in the market. In addition, traditional financial measures are not accepted as the only indicator of organizational performance. According to Nahrisah and Imelda (2019), organizational performance is the totality work by an organization achieving the organizational goals, it means the organizational performance can be seen from the extent to the organization that can achieve goals based on pre-determined goals.

## 2.2. Quality Assurance

Quality assurance (Quality Assurance) is all systematic plans and actions that are important to provide confidence that is used to satisfy certain needs of quality (Elliot, 1993). These needs are a reflection of customer needs. Quality assurance usually requires continuous evaluation and is usually used as a tool for management. According to Gryna (1988), in (Pike and Barnes, 1996) quoted by Suharsaputra that quality assurance is an activity to provide evidence to build confidence that quality or quality can function effectively.

According to Juran (1987) in Arif (2016), quality has five dimensions, they are:

- a. Design, as a specification of product.
- b. Conformance, the conformity between the design intent and the actual product delivery.
- c. Availability (availability), includes aspects of trustworthiness, as well as resilience. And that product is available for consumers to use.
- d. Safety (security), safe and also does not endanger consumers.
- e. Field use (practical benefits), can be utilized by consumers.

## 2.3 Good Corporate Governance

According to Soelton et al., (2020), good corporate governance is another good corporate management form in a company, which includes protection for shareholders (public) as owners of company and creditors. The implementation of good corporate governance is believed to increase corporate value. Management must understand the mechanism of GCG, so that company performance can be carried out more effectively and efficiently. According to Putri and Rodiyah (2016), corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a concept that has become majority and common in reporting of business. Each company has a policy regarding CSR and produces a report every year detailing its activities. Companies can recognize corporate activities that are socially responsible and activities that are not socially responsible. Corporate social responsibility is also focused on relationship between global companies, the Indonesian government, the state and citizens

and the relationship between corporate and the local communities in which it lives or operates.

## 2.4 Organizational Learning

According to Wijaya and Tarigan (2015), defining organizational learning as the development of knowledge and successful restructuring of organizational problems by each individual is reflected through the structural elements and organizational performance itself. In this definition, learning consists of developing insight, on the other hand learning also consists of the structure and the results of learning itself. According to Hartono and Hatance (2016), defines it as a acquisition, interpretation, process of storage and implementation of new knowledge to increase the ability to solve problems in an organization. Organizational learning can also be said to be a change in behavior through information processing.

## 2.5 Organizational Commitment

According to Soelton et al., (2019), Arijanto et al., (2018) and Nurhayatia et al., (2017), organizational commitment is a condition in which employees take sides with certain organizations and the goals and desires to maintaining the organization membership. et al., (in Lee and Jeong, 2017), organizational commitment can be defined as the extent to which involvement of individual in the organization, and characterized by:

a) There is a strong belief in the organization and individuals accepting the goals and the organization values;

b) Willingness of individuals to try hard for the benefit of the organization; and


c) Individual has a desire stronger to maintain the relationships with organizational organizations.

## 2.6 Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB)

According to Soelton et al., (2020), organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) is behavior that appears at the discretion of an employee which is carried out voluntarily and without coercion. This behavior goes beyond formal job demands or formal job descriptions, according to Jumadi et al., (2018), the role of OCB (organizational citizenship behavior) is one of the most determining factors for company success. Organ, 1988 (in Hoffman, 2007) states that Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) has five dimensions which are used as indicators in n, namely: Altruism, Courtesy, Civic Virtue, Conscientiousness, Sportmanship.

## **Conceptual Framework**

In this study, the conceptual framework aims to obtain a research direction which shows that there is a relationship between Organizational Learning, Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB), picture below:



- H1: There is Organizational Learning influence on Organizational Performance.
- H2: There is Organizational Commitment influence on Organizational Performance.
- H3: There is Organizational Citizenship Behavior influence on Organizational Performance.
- H4: There is Organizational Learning influence on Good Corporate Governance.
- H5: There is Organizational Commitment influence on Good Corporate Governance.
- H6: There is Organizational Citizenship Behavior influence on Good Corporate Governance.
- H7: There is Organizational Performance influence on Good Corporate Governance.

## III. METHODS

This research design is based on the research method. The study collected data from Social Welfare Institutions (LKS) in Tangerang City District - Banten with a sample of 30 respondents. In this study, the design of research was causal, where causal research is useful for analyzing the relationships between one variable and another. Causal design examines "cause-effect" relationships. According to Sugiyono, the causal method (2014) is to see the relationship between variables and the object under study is more causal, so this research there are independent (variables that affect) and dependent variables (variables that are influenced).

The method of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used by researchers in this study. SEM according to Noor (2011) is a technique of analysis that allows testing a series of relationships simultaneously. This relationship is built between one or several variables of independent with one or more dependent variables. In this study, the method uses Variance Based Structural Equation Modeling or component where the processing of data uses the Partial Least Square (Smart-PLS) version 3.0 program. PLS is an alternative model of SEM covariance based. According to Ghozali (2015), states that PLS is intended for causal-perdictive analysis in high complexity situations and low support of the theory.

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Evaluation of Measurement (Outer Model)

## **Convergent Validity Test Results**

Convergent Validity testing of the model of the measurement with reflexive indicators is assessed subject to

the correlation between the item score and the construct calculation score. Indicators of individual are valid, if they have a correlation value >0.70. However, in the scale of the development research, the loading factor of 0.50 to 0.60 is still acceptable. The correlation results output between the indicators and their constructs can be seen in the table and structural figures below:

The following is the output of the indicator removal and recalculation:

Variable	Indicator	Outer Loading	Remark
-	L1	0,723	Valid
	L2	0,612	Valid
Organizational Learning	L3	0,823	Valid
	L6	0,736	Valid
	C1	0,823	Valid
	C2	0,816	Valid
One amin ation al Committee ant	C4	0,712	Valid
Organizational Commitment	C7	0,668	Valid
	C8	0,717	Valid
	C11	0,816	Valid
	B1	0,917	Valid
	B3	0,813	Valid
	B7	0,844	Valid
Organizational Citizenship Behavior	B9	0,823	Valid
(OCB)	B10	0,985	Valid
-	B12	0,662	Valid
	B13	0,716	Valid
	P1	0,818	Valid
Organizational Performance	P2	0,903	Valid
	P5	0,558	Valid
	G1	0,787	Valid
	G3	0,660	Valid
Good Corporate Governance	G5	0,780	Valid
-	G8	0,755	Valid
	G9	0,838	Valid

Sources: Output PLS

The modification results of the covergent validity test in Table 4.10 and Figure 4.2, it can be seen that all of the indicators have met the convergent validity because they have a loading factor value >0.50.

#### 4.2. Results of Discriminant Validity Testing

Discriminant validity testing, which is a reflective indicator, could be checked in cross loading between indicator and also the construct. An indicator can be included valid, when it had highest loading factor for the intended construct, then compared to the loading factor for other constructs. Then, the prediction of latent constructs indicators in their block better than another indicator.

ISSN No:-2456-2165
--------------------

	Organizational Learning	Organizational Commitment	Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB)	Organizational Performance	Good Corporate Governance
L1	0,723	0,432	0,542	0,311	0,351
L2	0,612	0,135	0,216	0,264	0,317
L3	0,823	0,753	0,423	0,563	0,254
L6	0,736	0,635	0,624	0,429	0,327
C1	0,506	0,823	0,651	0,269	0,317
C2	0,650	0,816	0,442	0,563	0,145
C4	0,409	0,712	0,590	0,429	0,458
C7	0,588	0,668	0,525	0,446	0,188
C8	0,510	0,717	0,622	0,542	0,133
C11	0,411	0,816	0,618	0,743	0,232
B1	0,539	0,524	0,917	0,690	0,164
B3	0,547	0,523	0,813	0,289	0,248
B7	0,444	0,540	0,844	0,445	0,492
B9	0,122	0,611	0,823	0,383	0,650
B10	0,571	0,738	0,985	0,573	0,499
B12	0,284	0,623	0,662	0,632	0,368
B13	0,634	0,194	0,716	0,477	0,573
P1	0,634	0,631	0,424	0,818	0,544
P2	0,528	0,841	0,735	0,903	0,359
P5	0,413	0,551	0,433	0,558	0,524
G1	0,511	0,324	0,523	0,624	0,787
G3	0,633	0,255	0,558	0,364	0,660
G5	0,596	0,312	0,586	0,722	0,780
G8	0,247	0,576	0,553	0,254	0,755
G9	0,723	0,811	0,466	0,527	0,838

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Remark
Organizational Learning	0,784	Reliable
Organizational Commitment	0,825	Reliable
Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0,818	Reliable
Organizational Performance	0,804	Reliable
Good Corporate Governance	0,745	Reliable

It can be checked that organizational learning correlation constructs with its indicators (L1 of 0.723, L2 of 0.612, L3 of 0.823 and L6 of 0.736) is higher than the organizational learning correlation indicators with the other constructs. Furthermore, the correlation of organizational commitment with its indicators (C1 of 0.823, C2 of 0.826, C4 of 0.712, C7 of 0.668, C8 of 0.717 and C11 of 0.816) was higher than the correlation of indicators of organizational commitment with other constructs. Then the correlation of organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) with its indicators (B1 of 0.917, B3 of 0.813, B7 of 0.844, B9 of 0.823, B10 of 0.985, B12 of 0.662 and B13 of 0.716) is higher than the indicators of organizational citizenship behavior correlation with other constructs. And the correlation of organizational performance with its indicators (P1 of 0.818, P2 of 0.903 and P5 of 0.558) was higher than the organizational performance indicators correlation with other constructs. And the correlation of good corporate governance with its indicators (G1 of 0.787, G3 of 0.660, G5 of 0.780, G8 of 0.755 and G9 of 0.838) is higher than the correlation of the indicator good corporate governance with other constructs.

## 4.3 Testing Results of Composite Reliability and Cronbach's Alpha

Testing composite reliability and cronbach's alpha aims to test the reliability of the instruments in a research model. If all latent variables have a composite reliability value or Cronbach's alpha  $\geq 0.7$ , it means the construct has a good reliability or the questionnaire in this study has been reliable and/or consistent.

## AVE Test Results, Composite Reliability and Cronbach's Alpha

It can be seen that the composite reliability and cronbach's alpha test results show the value satisfactory, because all latent variables have a composite value that reliable and cronbach's alpha  $\geq 0.70$ . This shows that all latent variables are reliable.

#### 4.3 Results of Testing the R-square Value

Variabel Endogen	<b>R-square</b>	
Organizational Performance	0,855	
Good Corporate Govenance	0,894	

The model of organizational performance and good corporate governance variables can be said to be strong because it has a value >0.67. The model of influence in the independent latent variables (organizational learning, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior on organizational performance provides an R-square value of 0.855 which can be interpreted that the variability of the organizational performance construct can be detailed by the variability of the organizational learning constructs, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship. Behavior of 85.5% while 14.5% is explained by other variables exclude the research. As well as the influence model of independent latent variables (organizational learning, organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior on good corporate governance provides The Rsquare value is 0.894 which can be interpreted that the variability of the good corporate governance constructs can be detailed by the variability of the organizational learning constructs, organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) and organizational performance amounted to 89.4% while 10.6% was explained by other variables outside the study.

#### 4.4 Goodness of Fit Model Test Results

The testing of the Goodness of Fit structural model on the inner model uses the predictive-relevance value. The Qsuare value is > 0 (zero), indicating the model has a value of predictive relevance. The R-square for endogenous variable can be detailed in the following calculations:

The predictive relevance value is obtained by the formula:

 $Q^{2} = 1 - (1 - R1) (1 - R_{p})$   $Q^{2} = 1 - (1 - 0.855)(1 - 0.894)$   $Q^{2} = 1 - (0.145)(0.106)$  $Q^{2} = 0.984$ 

The results of the above calculations show the value of predictive-relevance 0.984, so that > 0 (zero). It means 98.4% for organizational performance variation and good corporate governance variables is explained by the independent variable used. So, the model is seen to be worthy of having a relevant predictive value.

## **Results of Hypothesis Testing**

The estimated values for the path relationships in the structural model must be significant. The significance value of this hypothesis can be obtained by a bootstrapping procedure. Seeing the hypothesis significance by looking at the parameter coefficient value and the T-statistical significance value on the bootstrapping report algorithm. To determine whether it is significant or not, it can be seen from the T-table at alpha 0.05 (5%) = 1.96, then the T-table is compared with the T-count (T-statistic).

	Original Sample	Standard Deviation	T Statistics	P Values	Remark
Organizational Learning -> Organizational Performance	0,433	0,365	3,614	0,001	Positive Significant
Organizational Commitment -> Organizational Performance	-0,375	0,403	3,854	0,001	Negative Significant
Organizational Citizenship Behavior -> Organizational Performance	0,309	0,416	3,092	0,000	Positive Significant
Organizational Learning -> Good Corporate Governance	0,486	0,364	4,278	0,001	Positive Significant
Organizational Citizenship Behavior -> Good Corporate Governance	0,317	0,3777	4,044	0,000	Positive Significant
Organizational Performance- Good Corporate Governance	0,522	0,439	3,833	0,001	Positive Significant

Based on the hypothesis testing in this study, the results of the T-statistic value were 3,614, the value of original sample was 0.433 and the P value was 0.001. The value of Tstatistic > T-table value 1.96, the value of original sample shows a positive value, and the P value shows less than 0.05, these results indicating organizational learning has a positive and significant effect on organizational performance. Other research also shows that there is a significant positive between organizational relationship learning and Organizational performance at Social Welfare Institutions (LKS) in Tangerang City District - Banten as supported by the statement of Nafei, Wageeh, A., (2015) where organizational learning has a significantly positive effect. on organizational performance. This means that if organizational learning such as the process of creating, maintaining or transferring knowledge to a company is good, then the level of performance in a company will also follow well.

Based on the hypothesis testing, the T-statistic value was 3.854, the value of original sample was -0.375 and the P value was 0.001. The T-statistic value > the T-table value 1.96, the value of original sample shows a negative value and the P value shows less than 0.05, the results indicating significantly negative effect of organizational commitment on organizational performance. Other research shows that there is a significantly negative relationship between organizational commitment and organizational performance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten as supported by the statement of Bakiev, E., (2013), where organizational commitment has a negative and significant effect on organizational performance. This means that if the organizational commitment in a company is bad, then the level of performance in a company will also decrease or worsen.

Regarding the hypothesis testing, the T-statistic value was 3.092, the value of original sample was 0.309 and the P value was 0.000. The value of T-statistic > the T-table value 1.96, the value of original sample shows a positive value and the P value shows less than 0.05, these results indicating organizational citizenship behavior has a significantly positive effect on organizational performance. Other research shows that there is a significantly positive relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and organizational performance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten as supported by the statements of Sadeghi, G., Ahmadi, M and Taghvaee Yazdi, M., (2016) where organizational citizenship behavior has a positive and significant effect on organizational performance. That means if the behavior in the organization in a company is good, then the level of performance in a company will also be better.

Regarding the hypothesis testing, T-statistic value was 4.278, the original sample value was 0.486 and the P value was 0.001. The T-statistic value is greater than the T-table value of 1.96, the sample of original value shows a positive and the P value shows < 0.05, the results indicating organizational learning has a positive and significant effect on

good corporate governance. Other research shows there is a significantly positive relationship between organizational learning and Good corporate governance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten as supported by the statements of Santoso, Christiananta, Budiman, Dan Ellitan, Lena., (2019) where Organizational learning has a positive and significant effect on good corporate governance. This means that if organizational learning such as the process of creating, maintaining or transferring knowledge in a company is good, then the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.

Regarding the hypothesis testing, the T-statistic value was 4.044, the original sample value was 0.317 and the P value was 0.000. The T-statistic value is greater than the Ttable value of 1.96, the original sample value shows a positive value and the P value shows less than 0.05, these results indicate that organizational commitment has a positive and significant effect on good corporate governance. Other research also shows that there is a significant positive relationship between organizational commitment and good corporate governance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten, as supported by the statements of Rantelangi, Cornelius, Affan, Nurita, Deviyant R, Dwi and Sari IR, Wulan., (2017) where organizational commitment has a positive and significant effect on good corporate governance. This means that if the commitment in a company can run well, then the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.

Regarding the hypothesis testing, the T-statistic value was 3.833, the value of original sample was 0.522 and the P value was 0.001. The T-statistic value is > T-table value 1.96, the value of original sample shows a positive and the P value shows < 0.05, these results indicating that organizational citizenship behavior has a significantly positive effect on good corporate governance. Other research shows that there is a significantly positive relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and good corporate governance in Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten as supported by the statements of Hatta, Iha, Haryani, Rachbini, Widarto, Riskarini, Dian, Mandagie, Yuana, Rizky O., (2018) where organizational citizenship behavior has a positive and significant effect on good corporate governance. This means that if the behavior in a company or organization can run well, then the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.

Regarding the hypothesis testing, the value of T-statistic was 3.264, the value of original sample was 0.411 and the P value was 0.001. The T-statistic value > T-table value of 1.96, the original sample value shows a positive and the P value shows < 0.05, the results indicating that organizational performance has a significantly positive effect on good corporate governance. Other research shows there is a significantly positive relationship between organizational performance and good corporate governance at Social Welfare

Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten, as supported by the statements of Anggiriawan, Putu, Budi, and Wirakusuma, Made. Gede., (2015) where organizational performance has a significant positive effect on good corporate governance. This means that if the performance of employees in an organization is going well, then the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.

## V. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions for Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten:

- 1) Organizational Learning has a significantly positive effect on Organizational Performance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten. This means that if organizational learning is like the creating, maintaining or transferring knowledge process to an organization well, then the level of performance in an organization will also doing well.
- 2) Organizational Commitment has a significantly negative effect on Organizational Performance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten. This means that if organizational commitment in a company is bad, then the level of performance in an organization will also decrease or worsen.
- 3) Organizational Citizenship Behavior has a significantly positive effect on Organizational Performance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten. This means that if the behavior in an organization is good, then the level of performance in an organization will also be better.
- 4) Organizational Learning has a significantly positive effect on Good Corporate Governance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten. This means that if organizational learning is like the process of creating, maintaining or transferring knowledge to a good company, then the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.
- 5) Organizational Commitment has a significantly positive effect on Good Corporate Governance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten. This means that if the commitment in a company can run well, the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.
- 6) Organizational Citizenship Behavior has a significantly positive effect on Good Corporate Governance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District Banten. This means that if the behavior in a company or organization can run well, then the level of governance in a company can be said to be good.
- 7) Organizational Performance has a significantly positive effect on Good Corporate Governance at Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City Regency - Banten. This means that if the performance of employees in an organization is going well, then the level of governance in a company can be state to be good.

#### Suggestion

Regarding the conclusions above, several suggestions can be considered for the Social Welfare Institutions in Tangerang City District - Banten as well as for further researchers:

- a. Organizations should be able to provide more training for caregivers so that caregivers gain more knowledge or insights than before.
- b. The organization maintains even more the commitment felt by each carer, such as providing adequate facilities for employees at work.
- c. Organizations must pay attention to the level of workload carried out by each carer or the organization can act fairly towards all carers.
- d. Organizations should pay attention to the compensation received by their caregivers.
- e. Organizations to be able to update on existing technology in order to make it easier for caregivers to work and be able to compete with competitors.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Allen & Meyer (2013). The Measurment and Antecedents of Affective, Continuance and Commitment to the Organizational. Jakarta: PT Elex Media Komputindo
- [2]. Amri, Saiful, Haryono, Andi Tri, M, Mukery, Warso. (2016). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance Terhdap Kinerja Karyawan PT Aditec Cakrawiyasa Semarang, Journal of Management Vol.02 No.02
- [3]. Andriani, Gita (2012). Organizational Citizenship Behavior dan Kepuasan kerja pada Karyawan. Jurnal Penelitian Psikologi, Vol. 03, No. 01, pp341-354.
- [4]. Anggiriawan, Putu, Budi, Dan Wirakusuma, Made. Gede., (2015). Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Budaya Organisasi Terhadap Kinerja Organisasi Dengan Penerapan Good Governance Sebagai Variabel Moderasi Jurnal Buletin Studi Ekonomi, Vol. 20 No. 1
- [5]. Arijanto, Agus, Permana, Dudi, Perkasa, Didin dan Soelton, Mochamad. (2018). Perilaku Organisasi: Teori, Proses, Dan Iplementasi Bagi Mahasiswa, Akademsi Dan Praktisi Untuk Menghadapi Era Revolusi Industry 4.0. Jakarta: Halaman Moeka Publishing.
- [6]. Arikunto, Suharsimi. (2012). Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta : Rineka Cipta.
- [7]. Armildar, Vivi Arfah Putri, (2012). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan Perbankan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia (Study Kasus Pada PT. Bank Mega, Tbk. CabangMakasar), Skripsi, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Hasanudin.
- [8]. Bambale, A.J. (2011). Understanding Significant Relationships between Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) and Marketing Function. Faculty of Commerce and Management Studies. 6

- [9]. Bakiev, E., (2013). The Influence of Interpersonal Trust and Organizational Commitment on Perceived Organizational Performance. Journal of Applied Economics and Business Research, JAEBR, 3(3): 166-180
- [10]. Budiharjo, (2015). Pendidikan Pengasuh Pada Panti Sosial Asuhan Anak Milik Organisasi Masyarakat Islam Di DKI Jakarta. Jurnal Studia Islamika. 12 (1), pp. 19-41
- [11]. Badruszman J, (2012). Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi Dan Organization Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) Terhadap Kualitas Layanan (Studi kasus pada Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Kota Tasikmalaya), Jurnal Akuntansi Vol 10 NO. 1
- [12]. Daft, Richard L, (2010). Era Baru Manajemen, Edisi 9, Buku 2, Salemba Empat, Jakarta.
- [13]. Danang, Sunyoto. (2012). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: PT Buku Seru.
- [14]. Dewi, Retno, Kusuma Dan Widagdo, Bambang. (2012). Pengaruh Corporate Social Responsibility Dan Good Corporate Governance Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan, Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis, VOL 2 No. 01
- [15]. Dwi, Pribad, J., Andi, Sukma, E., Dan Nikmah, F.,
   (2018). Pengaruh Organizational Learning terhadap Kinerja Organisasi.
   Progress Conference Vol. 1, No. 1
- [16]. Fortuna, Gladys & Hadi, Cholichul. (2015). Learning organization pada Pegawai pt. Star taman Remaja Surabaya. Jurnal psikologi dan Organisasi. Vol. 04 No. 1, diambil dari: journal.unair.ac.id/download-fullpapersjpiocd8ba8a03dfull.pdf
- [17]. Ghozali, I. (2014). Metode Mengolah data Dengan Program PLS. Malang :Universitas Brawijaya.
- [18]. Hartono, Michella dan Hatane, Saarce, Elsye. (2016). Analisa Hubungan Antara Intellectual Capital Terhadap Organizational Learning Dalam Meningkatkan Financial Performance Pada Industri Perhotelan Bintang 3, 4 Dan 5 Di Jawa Timur, Business Accounting Review, VOL. 4, NO 1, (121-132)
- [19]. Hatta, Iha, Haryani, Rachbini, Widarto, Riskarini, Dian, Mandagie, Yuana, Rizky O., (2018). OCB Analysis, Transformational Leadership Style, Work Ethic, Work Satisfaction, And Good Governance, Jurnal Manajemen/Volume XXII, No. 03, 395-408
- [20]. Hery, (2010). Cetakan Kesatu Potret Profesi Audit Internal, Bandung.
- [21]. Hidayat, Cynthia Novita. (2015). Pengaruh Lingkungan Kerja dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Kantor PT. Keramik Diamond Industries, AGORA Vol. 3, No. 2, Hal 78-83.
- [22]. https://www.kemsos.go.id
- [23]. Imam, Basori, M., (2017). Pengaruh Learning Organization Terhadap Kinerja Organisasi Dengan Budaya Organisasi Sebagai Variabel Moderasi Perpustakaan Universitas Erlangga
- [24]. Indrian, Yustya. (2017). Pemahaman Audit Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada PT. Ish Jawa Timur

- [25]. Irvianti, Laksmi Sito Dwi & Verina, Renno Eka (2015). "Analisis Pengaruh Stres Kerja, Beban Kerja dan Lingkungan Kerja terhadap Turnover Intention Karyawan Pada PT. XL Axiata Tbk. Jakarta". Binus Business Review, 6(1), 117-126.
- [26]. Julianry, Anriza, Syarief, Rizal, & M. Joko, Affandi. (2017). Pengaruh Pelatihan Dan motivasi terhadap Kinerja Karyawan serta Kinerja Organisasi Kementerian Komunikasi Dan informatika, Jurnal Aplikasi Bisnis dan Manajemen, Vol. 3 No. 2, Nomor DOI: 10.17358/JABM.3.2.236, E-ISSN: 2460-7819 P-ISSN: 2528-5149.
- [27]. Jumadi, M Soelton, T Nugrahati. (2018). Bagaimanakah Beban Kerja dan Stres Kerja Mempengaruhi Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) dan Kinerja Karyawan PT. Multitek Indopanca Jakarta. Forum Manajemen Indonesia 10-Pelembang. 10 (November 2018), 501.
- [28]. Kalangi, Roosje. (2015). Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia Dan Kinerja Aparat Sipil Negara Di Kabupaten Kepulauan Sangihe Provinsi Sulewesi Utara. Jurnal LPPM Bidang Eko Sos Bud Kum, Volume 2 Nomor 1.
- [29]. Kearney dan Kruger. (2013). "A Framework for Good Corporate Governance and Organisational Learning - An Empirical Study." International Journal of Cyber-Security and Digital Forensics (IJCSDF) 2(1): 36-47 The Society of Digital Information and Wireless Communications, 2013 (ISSN 2305-0012). Afrika Selatan School of Computer, Statistical and Mathematical Sciences, North-West UniversityKholis,
- [30]. Kristiana, Wahyuni, Dan Sujana., (2017). Pengaruh Sistem Pengendalian Intern, Kinerja Organisasi dan Budaya Organisasi Terhadap Penerapan Good Corporate Governance (Studi Kasus Pada LPD Se-Kecamatan Sukasada) e-Journal S1 Ak Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Jurusan Akuntansi Program S1 Volume:7 No:1
- [31]. Kristiani, Adam, J., Indradewa, R., Dan Yanuar, Rahmat, Y., (2020). The Leadership Styles Impact, In Learning Organizations, And Organizational Innovation Towards Organizational Performance Over Manufacturing Companies, Indonesia Science, Engineering and Social Science Series All rights reserved ISSN/e-ISSN: 2541 – 0369/2613 – 988X Vol. 4, No. 2
- [32]. Kurniawan, Muhammad. (2013). Pengaruh Komitmen Organisasi, Budaya Organisasi, Dan Kepuasan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Organisasi Publik. Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri: Padang.
- [33]. Lee, S. H., & Jeong, D. Y. (2017). Job insecurity and turnover intention: Organizational commitment as mediator. Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal, 45(4), 529–536.
- [34]. Lubis, M. Saleh. (2015). Pengaruh Iklim Organisasi dan Komitmen Organisasi terhadap Pembentukan Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) Karyawan dalam rangka Peningkatan Kinerja. E-Jurnal Apresiasi Ekonomi 3(2): 75-84.

- [35]. M Soelton, Y Ramli, D Anggraini, D Khosasi. (2020). Implementing Good Corporate Governance to Engage Corporate Social Rerponsibility in Financial Performance. European Research Studies Journal. 1 (Vol XXIII Issue 1), 239-258.
- [36]. Mu'amar, Syam, D, Dan Zubaidah, S., (2014). Pengaruh Audit Manajemen, Komitmen Organisasional Manajer, dan Pengendalian Internal Terhadap Penerapan Prinsip-Prinsip Good Corporate Governance, Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan ISSN: 2088-0685 Vol.4 No. 2,
- [37]. Nafei, Wageeh A. (2015). Organizational Learning and Organizational Performance: A Correlation Study in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; American International Journal of Social Science; Vol. 4, No. 2.
- [38]. Nahrisah, Ezzah & Imelda, Sarah. (2019). Dimensi Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OBC) Dalam Kinerja Organisasi. Jurnal Ilmiah Kohesi, Vol. 3 No.3.
- [39]. Nurhayatia, M., Thoyib, A., & Noermijati, N. (2017). The Role of Political Skills for Organizational Commitment. International Journal
- [40]. Nurwanti., (2013) Peran Pemimpin, Organisasi Pembelajaran Dan Kompetensi Organisasi,Serta Dampaknya Pada Kinerja Organisasi Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntasi Issn : 1410-9875 Vol. 15, No. 2,
- [41]. Nurandini, Arina. (2014). Analisis Pengaruh Komitmen Organisasi Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan (Studi pada Pegawai Perum Perumnas Jakarta
- [42]. Nur Kholis, (2015), Kesejahteraan Sosial Di Indonesia Perspektif Ekonimi Islam, Akademika, Vol. 20, No. 02
- [43]. Organ, D. W. (1988). Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- [44]. Organ, D.W., dan Ryan, K. (1995). A meta-analytic review of attitudinal and dispositional predictors of organizational citizenship behavior. Personnel Psychology, 48, 775-802.
- [45]. Peraturan Menteri Sosial Republik Indonesia Nomor 184 Tahun (2011). tentang Lembaga Kesejahteraan Sosial.
- [46]. Putri, Ramadhania, Magya & Rodiyah, Isnain. (2016). Kemitraan Pemerintah-Swasta Dalam Program Corporate Social Responsibility Di Kabupaten Pasurauan, (ISSN. 2338-445X dan E-ISSN. 2527 9246), Vol. 4, No. 2
- [47]. Pratama, Adi Jovi. (2018). Panti Asuhan Manzil Al-Ahlam Sebagai Lembaga Kesejahteraan Sosial Anak (LKSA) Yang Terfokus Minta Dan Bakat Dengan Pendektan Green Building.
- [48]. Pribadi, Joni, Dwi, Sukma, Erlangga, Andi, Nikmah, Farika. (2018). Pengaruh Organizational Learning terhadap Kinerja Organisasi.Progres Conference Vol. 1, No. 1, E-ISSN :2622-304X , P-ISSN : 2622-3031 Available

onlineat:http://proceedings.stiewidyagamalumajang.ac.id /index.php/progress

- [49]. Purnama, C., (2013). Influence Analysis of Organizational Culture Organizational Commitment Job and Satisfaction Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) Toward Improved Organizational Performance. International Journal of Business, Humanities and Technology, Vol. 3 No. 5
- [50]. Putri, Wilares. (2018). Pengaruh Motivasi Kerja Dan Pengembangan Karir Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan (Pada Karyawan Universitas Telkom Indonesia) Rantelangi, Cornelius, Affan, Nurita, Deviyant R, Dwi Dan Sari I R, Wulan., (2017). The Influence of Organizational Commitment, Auditor Independence, Internal Control, and Ethical Leadership in Good Governance and Organizational Performance Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research (AEBMR), volume 35 Mulawarman International Conference on Economics and Business
- [51]. Raymond, & Indrawan, Gita, Mohamad. (2019). Pengaruh Transformasional Leadership Terhadap Organizational Performance Melalui Organizational Learning Dan Inovas, Jurnal Akrab Jurnal Akrab Juara, Volume 4 Nomor 1 (69-81).
- [52]. Rehman, Ur, Shafique., Bhatti, Anam., Dan Iqbal, Chaudhry, C., (2019).
  Mediating effect of innovative culture and organizational learning between leadership styles at third-order and organizational performance in Malaysian SMEs. Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research Page 2 of 24
- [53]. Rosita, Titik dan Yuniati, Tri. (2016). Pengaruh Kepuasan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Dengan Komitmen Organisasional Sebagai Variabel Intervening, Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen, Volume 5, Nomor 1, ISSN: 2461-0593.
- [54]. Sadeghi, G., Ahmadi, M & Taghvaee Yazdi, M., (2016). The relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and organizational performance (case study: Agricultural Jihad Organization of Mazandaran Province).Problems and Perspectives in Management, 14(3-si), 317-324.
- [55]. Sarhaddi, Forouzan, Farangis Sarhaddi, Abdolali Keshtegar; (2013). The Study of The Effect of Organizational Culture on Organizational Learning; Journal of Novel Applied Sciences; www.jnasci.org
- [56]. Sedarmayanti. (2011). Membangun dan Mengembangkan Kepemimpinan serta Meningkatkan Kinerja Untuk Meraih Keberhasilan. Bandung: Refika Aditama
- [57]. Seliamang, Yunelci Martha. (2015). Pengaruh Akuntabilitas, Budaya Organisasi Dan Ethical Leadership Terhadap Kinerja Panti Asuhan Di Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta
- [58]. Sembiring, Masana. (2012). Budaya Organisasi. Bandung : Fokusmedia

- [59]. Septiawan, B., (2018). Pengaruh Pelaksanaan Audit Internal dan Komitmen Organisasi Terhadap Good Corporate Governance (Survey Pada 3 BUMN yang Berkantor Pusat di Kota Bandung) Seminar Nasional I Universitas Pamulang Program Studi D3 Akuntansi Aula Rektorat, ISSN: 977 25993430 04
- [60]. Soelton, M., & Nugrahati, T. (2018). How Complaining Behaviors Effect on Coping Stress and Anxiety. International Journal of Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies (SJBMS), 3(6), 623-628.
- [61]. Soelton, M., Nugrahati, T., Setiawan, M., Rochman, F., & Pratama, A. (2019). Gender: Stress Levels on Performance in Modern Industry. *Archives of Business Research*, 7 (2), 72-81, DOI: 10.14738/abr.72.6131.
- [62]. Soelton, M., Umar, M. (2018). Recognizing How The Time Demands of Work Influences the Turn Over Intention in Banking Industry. *European Journal of Business and Management (EJBM)*, 12 (19).
- [63]. Soelton, M. (2018). How Culture, Training Standard and Discipline on the Employee Performance Affect Hotel Management. *European Research Studies Journal*, 21(4), 378-385.
- [64]. Soelton, M., & Nugrahati, T. (2018). How Complaining Behaviors Effect on Coping Stress and Anxiety. International Journal of Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies (SJBMS), 3(6), 623-628.
- [65]. Soelton, M., & Oktapriatna, N. (2018). How Complaining Behaviors Effect on Performance in Government Industries?. *International Journal of Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies* (SJBMS), 3(6), 623-628.
- [66]. Soelton, M., & Rakasidhi, J. (2018). How to Implementation Organization Citizenship Behaviors with performance on Accident insurance service. *European Journal of Business and Management (EJBM)*, 10(15), 10-16.
- [67]. Soelton, M., Pebriani, P., Umar, M., Triwulan, J., & Wilantara, J. How transformational leadership, communication, and workload on the employee performance affect shoes industries. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics and Law*, Vol. 17, Issue 5(December) ISSN 2289-1560.
- [68]. Soelton, M., & Atnani, M. (2018). How Work Environment, Work Satisfaction, Work Stress On The Turnover Intention Affect University Management. Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis Indonesia, 5(3), 439-448.
- [69]. Soelton, M., & Rakasidhi, J. (2018). How to Implementation Organization Citizenship Behaviors with performance on Accident insurance service. *European Journal of Business and Management (EJBM)*, 10(15), 10-16. Soelton, M., & Yasinta, D. (2018). Pengaruh kepemimpinan Transformasional, Lingkungan kerja fisik dan stress kerja terhadap kinerja pegawai pada kantor penjaringan Jakarta utara. Jurnal Ekonomi/Volume XXIII, No.01.

- [70]. Soelton, M., Visano, N. A., Noermijati, N., Ramli.Y., Syah. T. Y. R., & Sari, Y. J. (2020). The Implication Of Job Satisfaction That Influence Workers To Practice Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Ocb) In The Work Place. Archives of Business Research, 8(5).33-48.
- [71]. Soelton, Mochamad dan Hardiati, Siti, Mutia. (2017). Pengaruh Standard Pelatihan Kerja Dan Organizational Citizenship Behavior Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Pada Panti Sosial Bina Netra "Tan Miyat" Bekasi, Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Bisnis, Volume 3, No. 01
- [72]. Sugiyono (2015). Metode Penelitian Kombinasi (Mix Methods). Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [73]. Sugiyono. (2014). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [74]. Sugiyono. (2013). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Edisi 2. Jakarta: PT. Gramedia.
- [75]. Sulistyani, L., Dan Lathifah, I., (2020). Inovasi Organisasi Sebagai Pemediasi Partial Antara Pembelajaran Organisasi Dengan Kinerja Organisasi (Studi Empiris Pada Kantor AkuntanPublik di Pulau Jawa) Vol 4, Number 1.
- [76]. Suma, Saimir dan Lesha, Jonida, (2013). Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment: The Case of Shkodra Municipality. European Scientific Journal. 9 (17).
- [77]. Santoso, Christiananta, Budiman, Dan Ellitan, Lena., (2019). The Effect of Strategic Leadership, Organizational Culture, and Learning Organization on Good Corporate Governance and Organizational Performance in Agency Office of PT. AIA Financial East Indonesia Area. International Journal of Advanced Res. 7(8), 359-370. ISSN: 2320-5407.
- [78]. Sutedi, Adrian. (2011). Good Corporate Governance. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- [79]. Sutrisno, Edy.(2016). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada media Group.
- [80]. Titisari, Purnami. (2014). Peranan Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) Dalam Meningkatkan Kinerja Karyawan. Mitra Wacana Media, Jakarta.
- [81]. Uniati, Monica Ida. (2014). Learning Organization, Komitmen Pada Organisasi, Kepuasan Kerja, Efektivitas Penerapan SistemIso Dan Dampaknya Terhadap Kinerja Organisasi (Studi Kasus Staf Administrasi Uk Petra Surabaya). Jurnal Manajemen Pemasaran. Vol. 8, No. 1
- [82]. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia No. 11 tahun (2009)
- [83]. UU Republik Indonesia No 39 Tahun 1999 tentang Hak Asasi Manusia
- [84]. Wibowo.(2015). Perilaku dalam Organisasi, Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta.
- [85]. Wijaya, SheleendanTarigan, Josua.(2015). Pengaruh Organizational Learning Terhadap Competitive Advantage Melalui Accounting Information System Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Terbuka. Business Accounting Review, Vol. 3, No. 2, (61-70).