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#### Abstract

This research article focuses on proposing uniform higher order 6,7-point BBDF for the numerical integration of first order ODEs. These methods are formulated via interpolation and collocation techniques using power series as the basis function. Usual properties such zero and absolute stabilities, convergence, order and error constant of the methods have been investigated. The methods were applied to some selected test problems and compared with some existing methods such as BBDF(4), BBDF(5), DIBBDF, SDIBBDF, DI2BBDF, NDISBBDF, 3PVSBBDF, Ode15s and Ode23s to prove the accuracy of the methods. Test performance showed that the new methods are viable.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In years past, different approaches have been used to find numerical approximation to difficult problems in differential equations arising from various fields of study such as chemical engineering, biological sciences, petroleum engineering, physics, e.t.c. Among such approaches is the use of predictor-corrector method. But this approach did not in any way ease better solutions as more functions evaluations prevail in the iteration processes. Thus, increased computational burden. Hence, the need for better and easily implemented methods of solutions with reduced functions evaluations. Block methods were introduced to solve the drawback in predictor-corrector techniques. Block methods preserve the traditional advantage of being self-starting and permitting easy change of step length (Lambert, 1973). Notable among researchers who have developed block methods are (Milne, 1953), (Sagir, 2014) developed a discrete linear multistep method of uniform order for solving first order IVPs, Mohammed and Yahaya (2010), developed fully implicit four point block method of order four for solving first order ordinary differential equations through interpolation and collocation techniques using power series expansion, Odekunle, Adesanya and Sunday (2012), also formulated 4point block method of order five for solving first order ordinary differential equations through interpolation and collocation approaches using a combination of power series
and exponential function, among others. In this research paper, we propose uniform higher order 6,7-point block formula for the solution of first order ordinary differential equation of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), x \in[a, b], y(a)=\eta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $f$ is continuous and differentiable. However, $f$ is assumed to satisfy Lipchitz condition and the existence and uniqueness theorem within the interval of $[0,1]$. The system (1) can be regarded as stiff if its exact solution contains very fast and as well as very slow components (Dahlquist, 1974).

In this research paper, we intend to formulate super block methods with higher order that give better approximations to first order ordinary differential equations than some selected existing numerical methods. Formulation of the methods is briefly explained in section 2. In section 3, the stability properties of the methods are discussed. The performances of the method on some stiff problems is presented in comparison to some existing methods in section 4. Section 5 presents discussion of numerical results and a conclusion is made in the last section.

## II. FORMULATION OF THE METHOD

In this section we present the derivation of a uniform higher 6,7-point block methods which is self-starting for solving (1). For better numerical approximation, we shall derive the methods using power series polynomial as the approximate solution given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} x^{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first derivative of (2), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} j a_{j} j^{j-1}=f_{n+i}, i=(0,1,2,3,4,5,6) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j^{\prime} s}$ are parameters to be determined. Thus, we interpolate (2) and collocate (3) at $x_{n+j} j=0$ and $x_{n+i} i=0,1,2,3,4,5,6$ respectively to give the following system of equation using Maple soft environment:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & x_{n} & x_{n}^{2} & x_{n}^{3} & x_{n}^{4} & x_{n}^{5} & x_{n}^{6} & x_{n}^{7}  \tag{4}\\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n} & 3 x_{n}^{2} & 4 x_{n}^{3} & 5 x_{n}^{4} & 6 x_{n}^{5} & 7 x_{n}^{6} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n}+2 h & 3\left(x_{n}+h\right)^{2} & 4\left(x_{n}+h\right)^{3} & 5\left(x_{n}+h\right)^{4} & 6\left(x_{n}+h\right)^{5} & 7\left(x_{n}+h\right)^{6} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n}+4 h & 3\left(x_{n}+2 h\right)^{2} & 4\left(x_{n}+2 h\right)^{3} & 5\left(x_{n}+2 h\right)^{4} & 6\left(x_{n}+2 h\right)^{5} & 7\left(x_{n}+2 h\right)^{6} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n}+6 h & 3\left(x_{n}+3 h\right)^{2} & 4\left(x_{n}+3 h\right)^{3} & 5\left(x_{n}+3 h\right)^{4} & 6\left(x_{n}+3 h\right)^{5} & 7\left(x_{n}+3 h\right)^{6} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n}+8 h & 3\left(x_{n}+4 h\right)^{2} & 4\left(x_{n}+4 h\right)^{3} & 5\left(x_{n}+4 h\right)^{4} & 6\left(x_{n}+4 h\right)^{5} & 7\left(x_{n}+4 h\right)^{6} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n}+10 h & 3\left(x_{n}+5 h\right)^{2} & 4\left(x_{n}+5 h\right)^{3} & 5\left(x_{n}+5 h\right)^{4} & 6\left(x_{n}+5 h\right)^{5} & 7\left(x_{n}+5 h\right)^{6} \\
0 & 1 & 2 x_{n}+12 h & 3\left(x_{n}+6 h\right)^{2} & 4\left(x_{n}+6 h\right)^{3} & 5\left(x_{n}+6 h\right)^{4} & 6\left(x_{n}+6 h\right)^{5} & 7\left(x_{n}+6 h\right)^{6}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} \\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
a_{4} \\
a_{5} \\
a_{6} \\
a_{7}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{n} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+2} \\
f_{n+3} \\
f_{n+4} \\
f_{n+5} \\
f_{n+6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solving for $a_{j^{\prime} s}$ in (4) and when substituted into (2) gives the first continuous block implicit scheme of the form:
$y(x)=\sum_{q} \alpha_{q} y_{n+q}+h \sum_{i=0}^{l} \beta_{i} f_{n+i}$
where, $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are constants; we assume that $\alpha_{k} \neq 0$ and that not both $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are zero and that in particular, $q=0$ and $l=6$ in (5), to get the coefficients in (5) and upon substitution into (5), gives the first scheme and the rest of the schemes are gotten by interpolating and collocating at $x_{n+j}, j=1,2,3,4,5$ and $x_{n+i}, i=0,1,2,3,4,5,6$, also solving system of equations in a Maple soft environment to give expressions in the form (5). Thus, we have the following discrete 6-point BBDF:
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{19087}{60480} h f_{n}+\frac{2713}{2520} h f_{n+1}-\frac{15487}{20160} h f_{n+2}+\frac{586}{945} h f_{n+3}-\frac{6737}{20160} h f_{n+4}+\frac{263}{2520} h f_{n+5}-\frac{863}{60480} h f_{n+6}$
$y_{n+2}=y_{n+1}-\frac{863}{60480} h f_{n}+\frac{349}{840} h f_{n+1}+\frac{5221}{6720} h f_{n+2}-\frac{254}{945} h f_{n+3}+\frac{811}{6720} h f_{n+4}-\frac{29}{840} h f_{n+5}+\frac{271}{60480} h f_{n+6}$
$y_{n+3}=y_{n+2}+\frac{271}{60480} h f_{n}-\frac{23}{504} h f_{n+1}+\frac{10273}{20160} h f_{n+2}+\frac{586}{945} h f_{n+3}-\frac{2257}{20160} h f_{n+4}+\frac{67}{2520} h f_{n+5}-\frac{191}{60480} h f_{n+6}$
$y_{n+4}=y_{n+3}-\frac{191}{60480} h f_{n}+\frac{67}{2520} h f_{n+1}-\frac{2257}{20160} h f_{n+2}+\frac{586}{945} h f_{n+3}+\frac{10273}{20160} h f_{n+4}-\frac{23}{504} h f_{n+5}+\frac{271}{60480} h f_{n+6}$
$y_{n+5}=y_{n+4}+\frac{271}{60480} h f_{n}-\frac{29}{840} h f_{n+1}+\frac{811}{6720} h f_{n+2}-\frac{254}{945} h f_{n+3}+\frac{5221}{6720} h f_{n+4}+\frac{349}{840} h f_{n+5}-\frac{863}{60480} h f_{n+6}$
$y_{n+6}=y_{n+5}-\frac{863}{60480} h f_{n}+\frac{263}{2520} h f_{n+1}-\frac{6737}{20160} h f_{n+2}+\frac{586}{945} h f_{n+3}-\frac{15487}{20160} h f_{n+4}+\frac{2713}{2520} h f_{n+5}+\frac{19087}{60480} h f_{n+6}$
Similarly, we obtain the uniform order 7-point BBDF by interpolating and collocating at $x_{n+j,} j=0$ and $x_{n+i,} i=0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7$ to give system of equations and solving the system of equations gives $a_{j ' s}$ and upon substitution in (5) gives the first scheme; also interpolating and collocating at $x_{n+j}, j=1,2,3,4,5$ and $x_{n+i}, i=0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7$, to give in (5) to respectively give:
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{5257}{17280} h f_{n}+\frac{139849}{120960} h f_{n+1}-\frac{4511}{4480} h f_{n+2}+\frac{123133}{120960} h f_{n+3}-\frac{88547}{120960} h f_{n+4}$
$+\frac{1537}{4480} h f_{n+5}-\frac{11351}{120960} h f_{n+6}+\frac{275}{24192} h f_{n+7}$
$y_{n+2}=y_{n+1}-\frac{275}{24192} h f_{n}+\frac{5311}{13440} h f_{n+1}+\frac{11261}{13440} h f_{n+2}-\frac{44797}{120960} h f_{n+3}+\frac{2987}{13440} h f_{n+4}$
$-\frac{1283}{13440} h f_{n+5}+\frac{2999}{120960} h f_{n+6}-\frac{13}{4480} h f_{n+7}$
$y_{n+3}=y_{n+2}+\frac{13}{4480} h f_{n}-\frac{4183}{120960} h f_{n+1}+\frac{6403}{13440} h f_{n+2}+\frac{9077}{13440} h f_{n+3}-\frac{20227}{120960} h f_{n+4}$
$+\frac{803}{13440} h f_{n+5}-\frac{191}{13440} h f_{n+6}+\frac{191}{120960} h f_{n+7}$
$y_{n+4}=y_{n+3}-\frac{191}{120960} h f_{n}+\frac{1879}{120960} h f_{n+1}-\frac{353}{4480} h f_{n+2}+\frac{68323}{120960} h f_{n+3}+\frac{68323}{120960} h f_{n+4}$
$-\frac{353}{4480} h f_{n+5}+\frac{1879}{120960} h f_{n+6}-\frac{191}{120960} h f_{n+7}$
$y_{n+5}=y_{n+4}+\frac{191}{120960} h f_{n}-\frac{191}{13440} h f_{n+1}+\frac{803}{13440} h f_{n+2}-\frac{20227}{120960} h f_{n+3}+\frac{9077}{13440} h f_{n+4}$
$+\frac{6403}{13440} h f_{n+5}-\frac{4183}{120960} h f_{n+6}+\frac{13}{4480} h f_{n+7}$
$y_{n+6}=y_{n+5}-\frac{13}{4480} h f_{n}+\frac{2999}{120960} h f_{n+1}-\frac{1283}{13440} h f_{n+2}+\frac{2987}{13440} h f_{n+3}-\frac{44797}{120960} h f_{n+4}$
$+\frac{11261}{13440} h f_{n+5}+\frac{5311}{120960} h f_{n+6}-\frac{275}{24192} h f_{n+7}$
$y_{n+7}=y_{n+6}+\frac{275}{24192} h f_{n}-\frac{11351}{120960} h f_{n+1}+\frac{1537}{4480} h f_{n+2}-\frac{88547}{120960} h f_{n+3}+\frac{123133}{120960} h f_{n+4}$
$-\frac{4511}{4480} h f_{n+5}+\frac{139849}{120960} h f_{n+6}+\frac{5257}{17280} h f_{n+7}$

Hence, (6) and (7) represent the proposed uniform higher order direct 6,7-point block formula (D6PBBDF and D7PBBDF) for the numerical solution of first order ordinary differential equations.

## > Stability analysis of the methods

Let us begin the stability analysis of the methods by first consider the basic definitions below given by Suleiman, Musa, Ismail, Senu and Ibrahim (2014).

Definition 1: A linear multistep method (LMM) is said to be zero stable if no root of the first characteristic polynomial has modulus greater than one and that any root with modulus one is simple (that is, not repeated).

Definition2: A linear multistep method (LMM) is said to be A-stable if its stability region covers the entire (negative) complex half-plane.

Equations (6) and (7) can be rewritten in matrix form as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+2} \\
y_{n+3} \\
y_{n+4} \\
y_{n+5} \\
y_{n+6}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{n-5} \\
y_{n-4} \\
y_{n-3} \\
y_{n-2} \\
y_{n-1} \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +h\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{19087}{60480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{863}{60480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{271}{60480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{191}{60480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{271}{60480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{863}{60480}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{n-5} \\
f_{n-4} \\
f_{n-3} \\
f_{n-2} \\
f_{n-1} \\
f_{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +h\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{2713}{2520} & -\frac{15487}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & -\frac{6737}{20160} & \frac{263}{2520} & -\frac{863}{60480} \\
\frac{349}{840} & \frac{5221}{6720} & -\frac{254}{945} & \frac{811}{6720} & -\frac{29}{840} & \frac{271}{60480} \\
-\frac{23}{504} & \frac{10273}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & -\frac{2257}{20160} & \frac{67}{2520} & -\frac{191}{60480} \\
\frac{67}{2520} & -\frac{2257}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & \frac{10273}{20160} & -\frac{23}{504} & \frac{271}{60480} \\
-\frac{29}{840} & \frac{811}{6720} & -\frac{254}{945} & \frac{5221}{6720} & \frac{349}{840} & -\frac{863}{60480} \\
\frac{263}{2520} & -\frac{6737}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & -\frac{15487}{20160} & \frac{2713}{2520} & \frac{19087}{60480}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+2} \\
f_{n+3} \\
f_{n+4} \\
f_{n+5} \\
f_{n+6}
\end{array}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+5} \\ y_{n+6} \\ y_{n+7}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}y_{n-6} \\ y_{n-5} \\ y_{n-4} \\ y_{n-3} \\ y_{n-2} \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_{n}\end{array}\right)$
$+h\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5257}{17280} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{275}{24192} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{13}{4480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{191}{120960} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{191}{120960} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-13}{4480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{275}{24192}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}f_{n-6} \\ f_{n-5} \\ f_{n-4} \\ f_{n-3} \\ f_{n-2} \\ f_{n-1} \\ f_{n}\end{array}\right)$
$+h\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}\frac{139849}{120960} & -\frac{4511}{4480} & \frac{123133}{120960} & -\frac{88547}{120960} & \frac{1537}{4480} & -\frac{11351}{120960} & \frac{275}{24192} \\ \frac{5311}{13440} & \frac{11261}{13440} & -\frac{44797}{120960} & \frac{2987}{13440} & -\frac{1283}{13440} & \frac{2999}{120960} & -\frac{13}{4480} \\ -\frac{4183}{120960} & \frac{6403}{13440} & \frac{9077}{13440} & -\frac{20227}{120960} & \frac{803}{13440} & -\frac{191}{13440} & \frac{191}{120960} \\ \frac{1879}{120960} & -\frac{353}{4480} & \frac{68323}{120960} & \frac{68323}{120960} & -\frac{353}{4480} & \frac{1879}{120960} & -\frac{191}{120960} \\ -\frac{191}{13440} & \frac{803}{13440} & -\frac{20227}{120960} & \frac{9077}{13440} & \frac{6403}{13440} & -\frac{4183}{120960} & \frac{13}{4480} \\ \frac{2999}{120960} & -\frac{1283}{13440} & \frac{2987}{13440} & -\frac{44797}{120960} & \frac{11261}{13440} & \frac{5311}{13440} & -\frac{275}{24192} \\ -\frac{11351}{120960} & \frac{1537}{4480} & -\frac{88547}{120960} & \frac{123133}{120960} & -\frac{4511}{4480} & \frac{139849}{120960} & \frac{5257}{17280}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \\ f_{n+5} \\ f_{n+6} \\ f_{n+7}\end{array}\right)$

Equation (8) and (9) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} Y_{m}=A_{1} Y_{m-1}+h\left(B_{0} F_{m-1}+B_{1} F_{m}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right) ; A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
$B_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{19087}{60480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{863}{60480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{271}{60480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{191}{60480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{271}{60480} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{863}{60480}\end{array}\right) ;$
$B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}\frac{2713}{2520} & -\frac{15487}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & -\frac{6737}{20160} & \frac{263}{2520} & -\frac{863}{60480} \\ \frac{349}{840} & \frac{5221}{6720} & -\frac{254}{945} & \frac{811}{6720} & -\frac{29}{840} & \frac{271}{60480} \\ -\frac{23}{504} & \frac{10273}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & -\frac{2257}{20160} & \frac{67}{2520} & -\frac{191}{60480} \\ \frac{67}{2520} & -\frac{2257}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & \frac{10273}{20160} & -\frac{23}{504} & \frac{271}{60480} \\ -\frac{29}{840} & \frac{811}{6720} & -\frac{254}{945} & \frac{5221}{6720} & \frac{349}{840} & -\frac{863}{60480} \\ \frac{263}{2520} & -\frac{6737}{20160} & \frac{586}{945} & -\frac{15487}{20160} & \frac{2713}{2520} & \frac{19087}{60480}\end{array}\right)$
And

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& B_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5257}{17280} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{275}{24192} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{13}{4480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{191}{120960} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{191}{120960} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{13}{4480} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{275}{24192}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\frac{139849}{120960} & -\frac{4511}{4480} & \frac{123133}{120960} & -\frac{88547}{120960} & \frac{1537}{4480} & -\frac{11351}{120960} & \frac{275}{24192} \\
\frac{5311}{13440} & \frac{11261}{13440} & -\frac{44797}{120960} & \frac{2987}{13440} & -\frac{1283}{13440} & \frac{2999}{120960} & -\frac{13}{4480} \\
-\frac{4183}{120960} & \frac{6403}{13440} & \frac{9077}{13440} & -\frac{20227}{120960} & \frac{803}{13440} & -\frac{191}{13440} & \frac{191}{120960} \\
\frac{1879}{120960} & -\frac{353}{4480} & \frac{68323}{120960} & \frac{68323}{120960} & -\frac{353}{4480} & \frac{1879}{120960} & -\frac{191}{120960} \\
-\frac{191}{13440} & \frac{803}{13440} & -\frac{20227}{120960} & \frac{9077}{13440} & \frac{6403}{13440} & -\frac{4183}{120960} & \frac{13}{4480} \\
\frac{2999}{120960} & -\frac{1283}{13440} & \frac{2987}{13440} & -\frac{44797}{120960} & \frac{11261}{13440} & \frac{5311}{13440} & -\frac{275}{24192} \\
-\frac{11351}{120960} & \frac{1537}{4480} & -\frac{88547}{120960} & \frac{123133}{120960} & -\frac{4511}{4480} & \frac{139849}{120960} & \frac{5257}{17280}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$Y_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \\ y_{n+5} \\ y_{n+6} \\ y_{n+7}\end{array}\right) ; Y_{m-1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}y_{n-6} \\ y_{n-5} \\ y_{n-4} \\ y_{n-3} \\ y_{n-2} \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_{n}\end{array}\right) ; F_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \\ f_{n+5} \\ f_{n+6} \\ f_{n+7}\end{array}\right) ; F_{m-1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}f_{n-6} \\ f_{n-5} \\ f_{n-4} \\ f_{n-3} \\ f_{n-2} \\ f_{n-1} \\ f_{n}\end{array}\right)$

Substituting the test scalar equation $y^{\prime}=\lambda y(\lambda<0, \lambda$ is complex $)$ into (10) and taking $\lambda h=\bar{h}$ to get:
$A_{0} Y_{m}=A_{1} Y_{m-1}+\bar{h}\left(B_{0} F_{m-1}+B_{1} F_{m}\right)$
The stability polynomial of (8) and (9) are obtained by evaluating:
$R(t ; \bar{h})=\operatorname{Det}\left[\left(A_{0}-\bar{h} B_{1}\right) t-\left(A_{1}+\bar{h} B_{0}\right)\right]=0$
to give respectively,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}R(t ; \bar{h})=\frac{1}{7} \bar{h}^{6} t^{6}-\frac{1}{7} \bar{h}^{6} t^{5}-\frac{393}{280} \bar{h}^{5} t^{6}+\frac{173}{168} \bar{h}^{5} t^{5}+\frac{21089}{3780} \bar{h}^{4} t^{6}-\frac{429731}{151200} \bar{h}^{4} t^{5} \\ -\frac{28583}{2520} \bar{h}^{3} t^{6}+\frac{1662797}{453600} \bar{h}^{3} t^{5}+\frac{15317}{1260} \bar{h}^{2} t^{6}-\frac{105523}{50400} \bar{h}^{2} t^{5}-\frac{11699}{1890} \bar{h} t^{6}+\frac{57}{160} \bar{h} t^{5}+t^{6}\end{array}\right\}$
And
$R(t ; \bar{h})=-\frac{1}{8} \bar{h}^{7} t^{7}-\frac{1}{8} \bar{h}^{7} t^{6}+\frac{1037}{720} \bar{h}^{6} t^{7}+\frac{8551}{10080} \bar{h}^{6} t^{6}-\frac{2999}{432} \bar{h}^{5} t^{7}$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}-\frac{456437}{302400} \bar{h}^{5} t^{6}+\frac{7771}{432} \bar{h}^{4} t^{7}-\frac{1922993}{907200} \bar{h}^{4} t^{6}-\frac{80}{3} \bar{h}^{3} t^{7}+\frac{2893799}{259200} \bar{h}^{3} t^{6}+\frac{1187}{54} \bar{h}^{2} t^{7} \\ -\frac{245583959}{16329600} \bar{h}^{2} t^{6}-\frac{1177}{135} \bar{h} t^{7}+\frac{953479}{120960} \bar{h} t^{6}+t^{7}-t^{6}\end{array}\right\}$

To establish the zero stability of the methods, we set $\bar{h}=0$ in (13) and (14) to get:
$R(t ; \bar{h})=t^{6}=0$
And
$R(t ; \bar{h})=t^{7}-t^{6}=0$
Solving (15) and (16) using Maple soft environment gives the following roots:
$t=0, t=0, t=0, t=0, t=0, t=0$
And
$t=1, t=0, t=0, t=0, t=0, t=0, t=0$
Hence, method (6) and (7) are zero stable by definition 1.
We plot the region of absolute stability of (6) and (7) which is determined by taking $t=e^{i \theta}$ into (13) and (14) respectively. The absolute stability graph is plotted using Matlab soft environment and is given in Figure 1 and 2.


Fig 1:- Absolute stability region of $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$


Fig 2:- Absolute stability region of $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$
Figure 1 and 2 indicate that the entire (negative) left half complex plane represents the region of absolute stability for method (6) and (7).

## > Order and error constant

We investigate the order and error constant of (6) and (7) using Maple soft environment to get:
$C_{8}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{275}{24192} \\ -\frac{13}{4480} \\ \frac{191}{120960} \\ -\frac{191}{120960} \\ \frac{13}{4480} \\ -\frac{275}{24192}\end{array}\right), C_{9}=\left[\begin{array}{l}-\frac{33953}{3628800} \\ \frac{7297}{3628800} \\ -\frac{3233}{3628800} \\ \frac{2497}{3628800} \\ -\frac{3233}{3628800} \\ \frac{7297}{3628800} \\ -\frac{33953}{3628800}\end{array}\right]$, implying that they are of order 7 and 8 respectively.

## > Convergence of the methods

For any linear multistep method (LMM) to be convergent, it must be both zero stable and consistent. We shall discuss convergence of methods (6) and (7) as below.

Definition 3: Method (6) and (7) are consistent if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:
The order $p \geq 1$
$\sum_{j=0}^{3} D_{j}=0$,
$\sum_{j=0}^{3} j D_{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} G_{j}$
where, $D_{j^{\prime} s}$ and $G_{j^{\prime} s}$ are matrices.

## > Remark:

Condition (18) is sufficient for the associated block methods to be consistent, i.e. $p \geq 1$ (Jator, 2007).
Thus, (6) and (7) are consistent since the order $p=7,8>1$. Since, the methods are both zero stable and consistent, they thus converge.

## $>$ Implementation of the method

The new methods are self-starting formulas. Hence, all approximate solutions are obtained simultaneously in block using Maple soft environment.

Definition 4: Let $y_{i}$ and $y\left(x_{i}\right)$ be the approximate and exact solution of (1) respectively, then the maximum error is evaluated by using the formula:
MAXE $=\max _{1 \leq t \leq N S}\left|\left(y_{i}\right)_{t}-\left(y\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{t}\right|$ where, NS is the total number of steps.

## > Test Examples

The following first order stiff initial value problems in (ODEs) are used to prove the accuracy of the method.

Example 1: [Nasir, et al.,(2015)]
$y^{\prime}=-1000 y+3000-2000 e^{-x}, y(0)=0,0 \leq x \leq 1$
Exact solution: $y(x)=3-0.998(8)^{-1000 x}-2.002 e^{-x}$
Eigenvalue : $\lambda=-1000$

Example 2: [Nasir, et al.,(2015)]

$$
y^{\prime}=-100(y-\sin x)+\cos x, y(02 \overline{0}) 0,0 \leq x \leq 1
$$

Exact solution: $y(x)=\sin x$
Eigenvalue: $\lambda=-100$

Example 3: [Mahayadin, Othman and Ibrahim, (2014)]
$y^{\prime}=-100\left(y-x^{3}\right)+3 x^{2}, y(0)=0,0 \leq x \leq 10$
Exact solution: $y(x)=x^{3}$
Eigenvalue: $\lambda=-100$
Example 4: [Aksah, et al.,(2019)]
$y^{\prime}=-20 y+20 \sin x+\cos x, y(0)=1,0 \leq x \leq 2$
Exact solution: $y(x)=\sin x+e^{-20 x}$
Eigenvalue: $\lambda=-20$
Example 5: [Babangida, Musa and Ibrahim, (2016)]
$y_{1}^{\prime}=-20 y_{1}-19 y_{2}, y_{1}(0)=2,0 \leq x \leq 20$
$y_{2}^{\prime}=-19 y_{1}-20 y_{2}, y_{2}(0)=0$
Exact solution: $y_{1}(x)=e^{-39 x}+e^{-x}, y_{2}(x)=e^{-39 x}-e^{-x}$
Eigenvalues: $\lambda=-1$ and -39

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The tables below show the results from applying the new methods (6) and (7) with comparison to some existing numerical methods in terms of absolute maximum error. The following notations interpret the elements in the tables:

SDIBBDF : Singly diagonally implicit BBDF method by Aksah et al., (2019)
$\operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$ : Block backward differentiation formula of order four and five by Nasir et al., (2015)
DIBBDF : Diagonally implicit BBDF method by Zawawi, (2014)

DI2BBDF : Diagonally implicit 2-Point BBDF method by Zawawi, et al.,(2012)
NDISBBDF : New Diagonally Implicit Super Class of Block Backward Differentiation Formula by Babangida, Musa, and Ibrahim (2016).
3PVSBBDF : 3-Point BBDF formulae with variable step size by Mahayadin et al.,(2014)
Odes15s : VSVO solver based on the numerical differentiation formulas (NDFs)
Ode23s : Modified Rosenbrock formula of order 2
NS : Number of steps taken
$h$ : Stepsize
MAXE : Maximum error

| Step-size (h) | Method | NS | MAXE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BBDF(4) | 1000 | $7.27898 \mathrm{e}+108$ |
|  | BBDF(5) | 1000 | $8.55887 \mathrm{e}+202$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 1000 | $7.37700 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-4}$ | D7PBBDF(8) | 1000 | $7.37700 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | BBDF(4) | 10000 | $1.43379 \mathrm{e}-001$ |
|  | BBDF(5) | 10000 | $4.65939 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 10000 | $7.37700 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 10000 | $7.32700 \mathrm{e}-007$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 1:- Numerical results for example 1

| Step-size (h) | Method | NS | MAXE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{2}}$ | $\operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ | 100 | $8.28814 \mathrm{e}+006$ |
|  | BBDF(5) | 100 | $5.18981 \mathrm{e}+013$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 100 | $4.00000 \mathrm{e}-010$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 100 | $6.00000 \mathrm{e}-010$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-3}$ |  | BBDF(4) | 1000 |
|  | BBDF(5) | 1000 | $1.55450 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 1000 | $1.000000 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | $1.60000 \mathrm{e}-009$ |  |

Table 2:- Numerical results for Example 2

| Step-size (h) | Method | NS | MAXE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{2}}$ | 3PVSBBDF | - | $2.07215 \mathrm{e}+080$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 1000 | $1.40000 \mathrm{e}-009$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 1000 | $1.00000 \mathrm{e}-009$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{-3}$ | 3PVSBBDF | - | $1.79834 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 10000 | $4.80000 \mathrm{e}-008$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 10000 | $4.80000 \mathrm{e}-008$ |

Table 3:- Numerical results for Example 3

| Step-size (h) | Method | NS | MAXE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{-2}$ | DIBBDF | - | 9.19710e-002 |
|  | SDIBBDF | - | $4.17749 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
|  | Ode15s | - | 8.36909e-003 |
|  | Ode23s | - | $4.07991 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 200 | $2.80000 \mathrm{e}-009$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 200 | $9.00000 \mathrm{e}-010$ |
| $10^{-4}$ | DIBBDF | - | 1.46293e-003 |
|  | SDIBBDF | - | $4.94770 \mathrm{e}-006$ |
|  | Ode15s | - | $1.66322 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
|  | Ode23s | - | 1.83868e-004 |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 10000 | $2.53390 \mathrm{e}-008$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 10000 | $1.07439 \mathrm{e}-007$ |

Table 4:- Numerical results for Example 4

| Step-size (h) | Method | NS | MAXE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| }{} | DI2BBDF | 1000 | $6.85453 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
|  | NDISBBDF | 1000 | $7.15278 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 1000 | $6.03000 \mathrm{e}-012$ |
| }{} | D7PBBDF(8) | 1000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | DI2BBDF | 1000 | $2.60000 \mathrm{e}-012$ |
|  | NDISBBDF | 1000 | $2.32062 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
|  | D6PBBDF(7) | 1000 | $3.79000 \mathrm{e}-008$ |
|  | D7PBBDF(8) | 1000 | $4.29000 \mathrm{e}-008$ |

Table 5:- Numerical results for Example 5

## IV. DISCUSSION

Table 1 show that at step-size, $h=10^{-3}$, the new methods $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ have absolute maximum error of $7.37700 \mathrm{e}-007$ each with $\operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$ have $7.27898 \mathrm{e}+108$ and $8.55887 \mathrm{e}+202$. When the step-size is $h=10^{-4}, \operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ have $7.37700 \mathrm{e}-007$ and $7.32700 \mathrm{e}-007$, with $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ showing improvement, $\operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$ have $1.43379 \mathrm{e}-001$ and $4.65939 \mathrm{e}-003$. Thus, Table 1 shows that $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ outperformed $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7), \operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$, though, there was no improvement as step-sizes decreases. Also, in Table 2, when $h=10^{-2}$, the new methods, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ have $4.00000 \mathrm{e}-$ 010 and $6.00000 \mathrm{e}-010, \operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$ have $8.28814 \mathrm{e}+006$ and $5.18981 \mathrm{e}+013$, with $h=10^{-3}$, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ have $1.00000 \mathrm{e}-009$ and $1.60000 \mathrm{e}-009, \operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$ have $1.55450 \mathrm{e}-003$ and $1.67200 \mathrm{e}-005$. Thus, in Table 2, $\operatorname{D} 6 \operatorname{PBBDF}(7)$ outperformed $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8), \operatorname{BBDF}(4)$ and $\operatorname{BBDF}(5)$ respectively. In Table 3, when $h=10^{-2}, 3 \operatorname{PVSBBDF}$ has $2.07215 \mathrm{e}+080$, the new methods, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and D7PBBDF(8) have $1.40000 \mathrm{e}-009$ and $1.00000 \mathrm{e}-009$, when $h=10^{-3}$, 3PVSBBDF has $1.79834 \mathrm{e}-003$, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ have $4.8000 \mathrm{e}-008$ and $4.80000 \mathrm{e}-008$. Table 3, generally indicates that $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ is better preferred to $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ for problem 3 as it shows better
performance even as step-size reduces. In Table 4, when $h=10^{-2}$, DIBBDF, SDIBBDF, Ode15s, Ode23s, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ have $9.19710 \mathrm{e}-002$, $4.17749 \mathrm{e}-002,8.36909 \mathrm{e}-003,4.07991 \mathrm{e}-003,2.80000 \mathrm{e}-009$ and $9.00000 \mathrm{e}-010$ respectively. Also, with $h=10^{-4}$, DIBBDF, SDIBBDF, Ode15s, Ode23s, D6PBBDF(7) and D7PBBDF $(8)$ have $1.46293 \mathrm{e}-003,4.94770 \mathrm{e}-006,1.66322 \mathrm{e}-$ $004, \quad 1.83868 \mathrm{e}-004, \quad 2.53390 \mathrm{e}-008$ and $1.07439 \mathrm{e}-007$. Generally, Table 4, showed that the two new methods $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ did not show considerable improvement but at $h=10^{-2}, \operatorname{D} 7 \operatorname{PBBDF}(8)$ has a small scale error when compared to $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$, DIBBDF, SDIBBDF, Ode15s, Ode23s respectively while when $h=10^{-4}, \operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ is better in performance when compared to D7PBBDF(8), DIBBDF, SDIBBDF, Ode15s, Ode23s respectively. Similarly, from Table 5, it can be seen that with $h=10^{-2}$, DI2BBDF has absolute maximum error of $6.85453 \mathrm{e}-002$, NDISBBDF has $7.15278 \mathrm{e}-002$, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ has $6.03000 \mathrm{e}-012$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ has $1.92000 \mathrm{e}-12$. Also, with $h=10^{-3}$, DI2BBDF has absolute maximum error of $2.620436 \mathrm{e}-002$, NDISBBDF has $2.32062 \mathrm{e}-003$, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ has $3.79000 \mathrm{e}-008$ and D7PBBDF(8) has $4.29000 \mathrm{e}-008$. Thus, Table 5 generally indicated that though the new methods ( $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and D7PBBDF(8)) outperformed DI2BBDF and NDISBBDF respectively, but did not tend to show improvement in terms of absolute maximum error as step-sizes tend to zero.

However, the maximum error implied that the approximate solutions tend to the exact solutions as the iteration processes continue. Hence, the new method converges faster than the existing methods on the respective problems
considered, though, no considerable improvements exist on some of the problems considered as the step-sizes reduce, but improvement is promising on problem 1, especially, with $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$.


Fig 3:- Comparison of efficiency curves in terms of error for Example 1


Fig 4:- Comparison of efficiency curves in terms of error for problem 2


Fig 5:- Comparison of efficiency curves in terms of error for problem 3


Fig 6:- Comparison of efficiency curves in terms of error for problem 4


Fig 7:- Comparison of efficiency curves in terms of error for problem 5

Figure 3-7 indicate clearly the comparison of the new methods with some of the existing methods using efficiency curves. The curves imply that as the step-size reduces scale errors become smaller. This is evident specifically in problem 1where $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and D7PBBDF (8) improved and the compared methods. Figure 4-7 though did not show improvement considering examples 2-5 in the new methods but the new methods showed improved accuracy than some of the existing methods considered. This behaviour could possibly be as a result of the stiff nature of the problems considered or otherwise. Thus, step-size restriction likely to be bound on the methods since they did not show improvement as stepsizes tend to zero on some of the problems considered.

## V. CONCLUSION

A new uniform higher 6,7-point block methods have been developed through interpolation and collocation approaches using power series expansion as the approximate solution. It has been established that they are of order 7 and 8 respectively. The region of absolute stability showed that the methods are A-stable. The efficiency of the methods on test problems showed that the accuracy of the new methods, $\operatorname{D6PBBDF}(7)$ and $\operatorname{D7PBBDF}(8)$ are better off in terms of absolute maximum error when compared to $\operatorname{BBDF}(4), \operatorname{BBDF}(5)$, $\operatorname{DIBBDF}$, SDIBBDF, Ode15s, Ode23s, DI2BBDF and NDISBBDF respectively. Hence, new methods for solving first order stiff initial value problems in ordinary differential equations (ODEs) have been developed.
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