Songs Recommender System using Machine Learning Algorithm: SVD Algorithm

B.Srikanth¹, V.Nagalakshmi²

¹PG Student, MCA, GIS, GITAM (Deemed to be University) ²Professor, GIS, GITAM (Deemed to be University)

Abstract:- Recommender systems have taken mainly on entertainment and e-commerce industries by storm. Variety of the samples of music recommender system is Amazon, Netflix, Spotify, Gaana Music. Music recommendation could also be a really challenging problem as we've to structure music during how that we recommend the favorite songs to clients which aren't a specific prediction. It's dynamic and sometimes leverage by components apart from clients' or songs' listening history. During this paper, I even have designed, implemented and analyzed songs recommendation system using SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) algorithm. According to .Nielsen's Music 360 2014 study, 93% of the U.S. population listens to music, spending quite 25 hours hebdomadally jamming bent their favorite tunes.

Keywords:- Recommender system, collaborative filtering, Popularity Based, Content Based, Machine learning algorithm, SVD algorithm.

I. INTRODUCTION

Music Recommender System could also be a system which learns from the user's past listening history and recommends those songs which they might likely to happen wish to listen to in future. I even have implemented SVD algorithm to make an efficient recommender system. Firstly implementing on popularity based model which was quite simple and intuitive. Most of the streaming services believe Collaborative filtering algorithms to suggest music. Collaborative filtering techniques which anticipate (filtering) taste of a client by gathering preferences and tastes from numerous different clients (collaborating) are also implemented. To beat this, top streaming services use a mixture of techniques to make what's called as a hybrid recommender system.

A. Popularity Based Model

Popularity Based is the foremost basic and straight forward technique. By discovering the recognition of every song by looking into the training set and calculating the amount of clients who had listened to the present song. Songs are then sorted with in the form of descending order according to their popularity. For every user, we recommend top hottest songs aside from those as of now in his profile. This technique includes no personalization and a couple of songs may never be listened in future.

B. Content Based Model

Content Based Model works with the info that user provides, either explicitly (rating) or Implicitly (Clicking on the link). Supported that data, a user profile is generated, which is employed to form suggestions to the user.

C. Collaborative Based Model

A collaborative filtering based model uses the prevailing history of the client and recommends music from other client's history which is analogous. For recommending, music is assessed according to the client's history, because the architectural complexity of the music is more, the efficiency of traditional classifiers reduces in classifying the music from different genres. Collaborative filtering uses the prevailing data to recommend the songs which reduces the complexity of the RS.



Fig 1:- Classification of various Recommendation Models

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

At present Recommender systems are using collaborative filtering techniques to gain an excellent success. Netflix giving an open challenge for the simplest collaborative filtering technique and therefore the winning technique uses latent factor models could make 10.09% improvements over the algorithm employed by Netflix at that point. Amazon uses user-user based and item-item based collaborative filtering which greatly contributes to the Songs Recommender System. Songs Recommender system shares some similarities with other commercial recommendation systems, but it focuses more on providing good and personalized advice on music, instead of goods for users to shop for. The perfect music Recommender system should be ready to automatically recommend personalized music to

ISSN No:-2456-2165

human listeners. Different from books or movies, the length of a bit of music is far shorter, and therefore the times that listening their favorite songs are commonly quite once, which are the most challenges we are getting to face.

III. MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS: SVD ALGORITHM

A. SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) Algorithm

SVD could also be a matrix factorization technique that is typically wont to diminish the quantity of feature of a dataset by reducing the matrix from N space to K space where K < N. For the point of the guidance framework be that as it may, we are just interested about by the matrix factorization part keeping same dimensionality. The matrix factorization is completed on the user-item ratings matrix built.

Each item is often represented with a q vector. Similarly, each client are often represented by a p vector such the inner product of these 2 vectors is that the expected rating.

Find p and q such it minimizes the following:

expected rating =
$$\widehat{r_{ui}} = q_i^T p_u$$

minimum $(p,q) \sum_{(u,i) \in K} (r_{ui} - q_i^T \cdot p_u)^2$
minimum $(p,q) \sum_{(u,i) \in K} (r_{ui} - q_i^T \cdot p_u) + \lambda (||q_i||^2 + ||p_u||^2)$

For our model to be able to generalize well and not over fitting the training set, we introduce a penalty term our minimization equation. This is often represented by a regularization factor multiplied by the sum of the Squares of magnitudes of user and item vectors.

B. Nearest Neighborhood Model

Nearest Neighborhood model involves collecting data from numerous clients at that point making forecasts according to the similarity measures between users and between items. This might be grouped into client-based and item based models. In item-based model, it's expected that songs that are frequently listened together by certain clients will in general be indistinguishable and are bound to be listened together in future additionally by another client. According to client based similarity model, clients who have similar listening histories, i.e., have listened in to similar songs inside the past will in general have comparative interests and may most likely hear similar songs in future as well. We need some similarity measure to coordinate between two songs or between two clients. Cosine similarity gauges every one of the clients similarly which is generally not the situation. Client ought to be weighed less if he has shown interests an excessive amount of kind of items (it shows that it is possible that she doesn't perceive between songs supported their quality, or just prefers to explore). Similarly, client is weighted more if tunes in to restricted set of songs. The similarity measure,

wij = P(i/j),

additionally has drawbacks that a few songs which are listened more by clients have higher similarity esteems not on the grounds that they're similar and listened together but since they're increasingly well known.

IV. ABOUT DATASET AND ATTRIBUTES

The examination was supervised on Million songs data set, which is additionally posted on Columbia University for assessing the precision and presentation of machine learning techniques. The dataset consists of around 48 million triplets/features collected from histories of over a million users and metadata of many songs i.e.280 GB and it contains attributes information about various songs and attributes like userid, songid, play count, etc. the info set is split into trained data and test data: 80% of coaching data and 20% of testing data.

Count number of unique users in the dataset

users = song_df['user_id'].unique()
len(users)
365

Count the number of unique songs in the dataset

songs = song_df['song'].unique()
len(songs)
5151

Fig 2:- Count Number of unique users and songs in the dataset.

V. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS



Fig 3:- Understanding in tuition behind SVD.

ISSN No:-2456-2165



User id for whom recommendations are needed: 342

Predictied		rati	ngs:										
[331	1588	763	3642	2844	3766	3599	704	4687	435	2817	2566	3235	4254
2391	1739	3719	4175	2120	3436	2722	3812	4365	2437	708	4468	166	3346
3056	2970	1860	3016	2850	553	1152	3240	518	1738	616	436	3992	186
655	2546	2662	4316	3782	3210	2265	3545	485	1718	2006	2607	3784	4136
3517	29	2331	3222	897	1923	1768	4617	1040	4011	710	3555	4234	613
3952	3213	1158	2501	2691	55	4122	2712	387	325	3951	1778	4694	4615
3391	162	668	3438	3875	1401	5036	2416	2290	1476	4014	4933	4599	2765
4774	4453	152	720	4640	132	3280	427	1902	5042	412	1322	253	3452
3838	1137	867	4337	958	640	2991	4749	4072	2935	4407	1798	4609	2931
2498	4251	1959	667	1729	5089	1229	415	4376	1140	4496	2206	2470	189
3447	1681	4917	4226	1068	2710	4399	2671	1217	4073	4141	4108	1465	4149
2389	4696	1648	3276	1091	741	681	3572	1373	5146	1174	300	3649	1973
1992	72	1135	4999	4386	105	3628	1351	329	2893	818	3957	1870	1222
2337	657	4012	3242	2836	5101	2007	1016	4056	40	209	2199	3884	2378
3699	1039	1585	4357	749	1531	2070	2842	4206	5004	1374	475	1114	92
492	2052	3790	2192	3729	3117	2287	2280	2952	4004	2507	1938	874	4515
470	2394	1070	1632	2752	4466	682	4172	4964	3520	2592	601	151	290
2142	4726	2499	4579	915	1935	532	954	3127	2370	3716	3414]	

Fig 5:- Output Prediction for Single User.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

In this paper, we explain a basic metadata-based model and two famous music genre recommender approaches: collaborative altering and content-based model. In spite of the fact that they need made incredible progress, their drawbacks like popularity bias and humanefforts are self-evident. Moreover, the utilization of hybrid model would outperform one model since it incorporates the benefits of both methods. Its complexity isn't fully studied yet, thanks to the subjective nature in music and therefore the issues existing within the previous methods, two human-centered approaches are proposed. By thinking about affective and social data, emotion based model and context based model generally improved the standard of exhortation. However, this research remains at a beginning stage.

REFERENCES

- Soleymani, M., Aljanaki, A., Wiering, F., & Veltkamp, R. C. (2015, June). Content-based music recommendation using underlying music preference structure. In Multimedia and Expo (ICME), 2015 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [2]. Makarand, V., & Sahasrabuddhe, H. V. (2014, January). Novel Approach for Music Search Using Music Contents and Human Perception. In Electronic Systems, Signal Processing and Computing Technologies (ICESC), 2014 International Conference on (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

- [3]. Everyone listens to music, but how we listen is changing. Available at: http://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/news/2015/ever yone-listens-to-music-but-how- we-listen-ischanging.html
- [4]. Labrosa.ee.columbia.edu. (2017). Million Song Dataset | scaling MIR research. [online] Available at: https://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/millionson/
- [5]. Lee, J., Shin, S., Jang, D., Jang, S. J., & Yoon, K. (2015, January). Music recommendation system based on usage history and automatic genre classification. In Consumer Electronics (ICCE), 2015 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 134-135). IEEE.
- [6]. Reddy, M. S., & Adilakshmi, T. (2014, January). Music recommendation system based on matrix factorization techniqueSVD. In Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), 2014 International Conference on (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [7]. Object detection. Available at: https://towardsdatascience.com/review-yolov2yolo9000-you-only-look-once-object-detection-7883d2b02a65