

# Performance of Different Packaging Materials on Quality Attributes and Storability of Osmotically Dehydrated Wild Apricot Fruits under Ambient Storage Conditions

Suneeta Singh\*, Anil Kumar Saxena and S. K. Sharma  
School of Agricultural Sciences,  
Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun -248 001,  
Uttarakhand, India

**Abstract:-** Wild apricot fruits were osmotically dehydrated and packed in different packaging materials viz. polyethylene, aluminium laminated and shrink packages. The osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in different packaging materials were than stored under ambient conditions for six months and analysed for quality and sensory parameters at two months intervals. Aluminium laminated packaging material proved to be best among the all packaging materials in maintaining superior quality up to six months of storage as indicated by higher mean titratable acidity (5.78%), reducing sugars (10.11%), total sugars (37.71%), ascorbic acid (4.77mg/100g) and lower moisture content (11.14%). All the sensory parameters including colour, taste, texture, flavour and overall acceptability declined significantly during storage period of six months.

**Keywords:-** Wild Apricot, Packaging Material, Osmotically Dehydrated, Sensory Quality.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Wild apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) popularly known as 'chulu' is most common fruit of Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and mid hills of Uttarakhand. The wild apricot (Chulu) plants are drought resistant, salt tolerant, prolific bearers and less susceptible to insects, pests and diseases. Therefore, it can easily be grown with minimum care in the tracts, which are otherwise unfit for cultivation of other temperate fruits. But the high acidity and low sugar content of wild apricot fruits makes them unsuitable for fresh consumption and drying purpose also. Taking into cognizance of the problem, it becomes imperative to develop appropriate technology for the efficient utilization of wild apricot fruits which otherwise go waste. Drying with the help of the sun and wind is one of the oldest methods of fruit preservation known to man, but artificial drying (dehydration) has been developed extensively during the last three decades. Fruit dehydration industry has not shown a satisfactory growth in India due to a variety of reasons like non-availability of promising varieties in adequate quantity,

location disadvantage, lack of up-gradation and innovation in the area of product technology (Kapoor, 5). In recent years more emphasis had been placed upon osmotic dehydration of fruits.

Osmotic dehydration is a technique where moisture is partially removed and the sweetness is increased by dipping the fruits in concentrated sugar solution, followed by final drying in hot air. In this method, fruits are washed and halved to remove the stones and dipped in hypertonic solution containing 70° Brix sugar syrup for 6-8 hours (Dhingra *et al.*, 4). Potassium metabisulphite is added in sugar solution as preservative and to improve colour of the finished product. After draining the syrup, the fruits are dried in a mechanical dehydrator to a constant weight (Ross, 8). High perishable nature, high acidity and low sugar content of wild apricot fruits are the major limitations for their utilization as dried fruits. The osmotic dehydration of wild apricot fruits followed by packing in suitable packaging materials would help to increase in shelf- life of the product and also help the growers to supply their produce according to the market demand and fetches them better prices. The present investigation was conducted to study the effect of different packaging materials on quality and storability of wild apricot under ambient conditions.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The fruit of wild apricot were harvested at optimum maturity from the experimental orchards of Horticulture Department, Hill Campus, Ranichauri, G.B.Pant University of Agriculture & Technology. The bruised and diseased fruits discarded and only healthy and uniform sized selected for the study. Fruits were washed thoroughly in cold water and cut into halves to remove the stones. The fruits were lye-peeled in 1.0 % NaOH solution in boiling water for 30 seconds followed by immediate cooling and washing in running tap water to remove excess alkali. A 70° Brix sugar syrup containing 0.05 % potassium metabisulphite (KMS), with temperature maintained at 50°C was used as osmotic dehydration solution for immersing the lye-peeled fruits of

wild apricot for 6 hours in 1:3 ratio (fruit : syrup). During dipping time, the product was agitated periodically at 1 hour interval with a wooden laddle. The dipped fruits were removed from sugar solution and dried in a cabinet dehydrator at  $55 \pm 2$  °C temperature up to an almost constant weight. The osmo-dried fruits were packed in three different packaging materials viz., polyethylene pouches (25 $\mu$ ), laminated aluminium pouches (10 $\mu$ ) and shrink packages (20 $\mu$ ) and stored at ambient temperature for a period of six months. The experiment consisted of three treatments and four storage intervals with three replications for each treatment and each storage interval. The change in physico-chemical and sensory parameters were evaluated periodically (0 month, 2, 4, 6 months) at 2 month interval. The moisture content after each interval of storage was determined by drying the samples to a constant weight in a hot air oven at  $70 \pm 1$  °C and expressed in percentage. The titratable acidity, reducing sugars, total sugars and ascorbic acid were estimated as per standard procedures (Ranganna, 1986). The dehydrated fruits were evaluated by a panel of 7 semi-trained members using 9 point Hedonic scale for colour, taste, texture and overall acceptability i.e. like extremely 9, like very much 8, like moderately 7, like slightly 6, neither like nor dislike 5, dislike slightly 4, dislike moderately 3, dislike very much 2, dislike extremely 1 (Amerine *et al.*, 1). Statistical analysis of the data pertaining to the sensory evaluation of osmotically dehydrated fruits were analysed according to randomized block design (Mahony, 1985) while, that on physico-chemical characteristics by factorial completely randomized design (Cochron and Cox, 1967). The values were compared at 5% level of significance.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A steady increase in mean moisture content of the osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits from 11.32 to 12.70 per cent was observed with the advancement of storage period (Table 1). Aluminium laminated packaging registered the lowest mean moisture content (11.14%) followed by shrink wrapped packaging (12.68%). The polyethylene packed osmotically dehydrated fruits, on the other hand, had maximum moisture content and registered average moisture content of 12.99 per cent at the end of the storage period of six months. The moisture content of the osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits during different storage intervals for polyethylene packaging, aluminium laminated packaging and shrink wrapped packaging ranged between 11.32 -13.68, 11.32 -11.08 and 11.32-13-33 per cent, respectively between 0 to 6 months of storage. The gradually increase in mean moisture content in osmotically dehydrated fruits throughout six months of storage might be due to absorption of moisture from atmosphere by the product stored at ambient conditions. Similar results were also reported by Abdelhaq and Labuza (1987) in dried apricot. The higher mean moisture content in osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits packed in polyethylene packaging during six months of storage was observed which might be

attributed to permeability of polyethylene packages to air and water, whereas minimum loss in moisture content in the samples stored in aluminium laminated packages was due to the better moisture barrier properties of the package. A similar trend has also been documented in dried apples (Sharma *et al.*, 2000).

During storage period of six months the titratable acidity of osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits experienced a small reduction from an average initial value of 5.82 to 5.66 per cent. The titratable acidity of osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in different packaging materials showed a linear declining trend with the advancement of storage period (Table 1). The highest mean titratable acidity (5.78%) was recorded in the osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in aluminium laminated packages, followed by shrink wrapped packages (5.73%). The lowest mean titratable acidity (5.71%) was recorded in polyethylene packed osmotically dehydrated fruits. A gradual decline in titratable acidity with the advancement of storage period might be due to the utilization of acids during various biochemical reactions occurring in the products during storage. The maintenance of higher acidity in aluminium laminated packages may be due to the decreased hydrolysis of organic acids and subsequent accumulation of organic acids which were oxidized to the slower rate. The delay in the reduction of acidity of osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in aluminium laminated packages confirms the similar findings of Bhardwaj and Kaushal (1990) in dried apples.

The mean reducing sugar content of osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits were increased from 9.25 to 10.48 per cent after six months of storage (Table 1), which was probably due to the hydrolysis of non-reducing sugars during storage. Similar findings have also been reported in Papaya powder by Aruna *et al.* (1998). Among packages, although the mean contents of reducing sugars varied between maximum (10.11 %) to a minimum (9.87 %) for aluminium laminated packages and polyethylene packages, respectively, but the differences were statistically non-significant. Such results have also been recorded by Khedkar and Roy (1988) in dehydrated mango slices.

The decrease in mean total sugars of osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits from 38.46 to 36.89 per cent was observed with the advancement in storage period which might be due to the utilization of sugars in non-enzymatic browning reactions. The decrease in total sugar content in dehydrated products during storage was also observed by Sagar and Khurdiya (1999) in dehydrated mango slices. A negligible effect of packaging on mean total sugars content of osmotically dehydrated fruits was observed. However, the lowest mean total sugars content was observed in osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in polyethylene packages which might be due to higher moisture content in osmotically dehydrated products packed in polyethylene packages which favour faster non-enzymatic reaction during storage. Sharma

*et al.* (2006) also observed similar trend for total sugars content in dehydrated apple products packed in different packages.

The ascorbic acid content of osmotically dehydrated fruits followed a decreasing trend from 5.00 to 4.13 mg/100 g during six months of storage which was mostly due to its oxidation with the passage of time and its role as a substrate in non-enzymatic browning reactions (Mehta *et al.*, 1974). It was also observed that the osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in aluminium laminated packages retained maximum (4.77 mg/100 g) mean ascorbic acid content, whereas, osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in polyethylene packages had minimum (4.37 mg/100g) mean ascorbic acid content. The osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in aluminium laminated packages showed highest retention of ascorbic acid content, while, in polyethylene pouches there was maximum loss during six months of storage period which might be attributed to maintenance of lower moisture content in laminated pouches thereby permitting less degradation of ascorbic acid. Similar evidences have been reported by Tripathi *et al.* (1988) in dehydrated aonla.

The mean sensory scores for colour of osmotically dehydrated fruits during storage period of 6 month showed gradual decrease from 8.48 to 6.95 (Table 2). Among packages, laminated packages retained maximum (7.95) mean sensory colour score, while, polyethylene packed dehydrated fruits retained minimum (6.94) colour score during 6 month of storage. The change in colour was significantly higher in polyethylene and shrink wrapped osmotically dehydrated fruit and aluminium laminated packages had minimum loss in colour. The decrease in mean sensory score for colour during storage was observed which might be due to occurrence of non-enzymatic browning reactions and oxidation of ascorbic acid to dehydrosascorbic acid and tannins to gallic acid. Similar findings have been reported in different varieties of apricot (Sharma *et al.*, 2004).

The average sensory score for taste decreased from initial level of 6.22 to 5.17 after six months of storage (Table 2). However, on the basis of different packaging materials, the mean sensory scores of taste were found to be higher (5.70) in osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in aluminium laminated packages, whereas lowest (5.54) mean scores were recorded in polyethylene packed osmotically dehydrated fruits during 6 months of storage period. During storage, the mean sensory score for taste showed decreasing trend from initial to 6 months irrespective of packaging, whereas osmotically dehydrated fruits packed in laminated packages had minimum loss in taste during storage.

The mean texture score on 9 point hedonic scale was found to decrease gradually from 6.45 to 5.52 during 6 months of storage. On the other hand, the mean texture scores of osmotically dehydrated apricot fruits packed in

different packaging materials varied from 5.79 to 6.15 with the highest score in aluminium laminated packages and lowest in the products packed in polyethylene packages (Table 2). The mean values of texture followed a decreasing trend from initial to six month of storage and samples packed in aluminium laminated packages maintained best texture.

The sensory scores for flavour of osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits followed decreasing trend from 8.23 to 6.69 for the mean scores during six months of storage (Table 2). Among packages, aluminium laminated packages retained maximum (7.74) mean sensory score for flavour while, polyethylene packed osmotically dehydrated fruits retained minimum (7.42) flavour score during 6 month of storage.

The overall acceptability mean scores during storage period of 6 months declined from an initial value of 7.05 to 5.88 after 6 months of storage period (Table 2). However, among packages, the mean overall acceptability scores for aluminium laminated osmotically dehydrated wild apricot fruits were found to be higher (6.60), while, polyethylene packed and shrink wrapped packages exhibited mean scores of 6.09 and 6.40, respectively. A general trend was observed in reduction of mean sensory scores during storage period which might be attributed to change in chemical composition of osmotically dehydrated fruits, change in sugar-acid blend and loss of aromatic compounds due to oxidation. Slight change in the texture upon storage was probably due to the degradation of pectic substances during storage. Similar reduction in sensory scores during storage has been reported by Sagar *et al.* (1998) in dehydrated ripe mango slices. However, the lower mean sensory scores observed in osmotically dehydrated apricot products packed in polyethylene packages which might be due to higher moisture absorption and gas permeability characteristics of the polyethylene, thereby affecting texture and colour of the packed products. The sensory scores were significantly higher in osmotically dehydrated products packed in aluminium laminated packages which might be due to impermeable nature of laminated packages. Similar evidences have also been made by Ahmed and Choudhary (1995) in osmotically dehydrated papaya.

From the present study it can be concluded that osmotically dehydrated wild apricot (chulu) fruits can successfully be stored at ambient conditions after packing in aluminium laminated packaging material for a period of six months without any considerable loss in sensory as well as nutritional quality. The successful transfer of such technology after pilot scale testing may open new avenues for the processing industry for the efficient utilization of this fruit which is otherwise being wasted in Uttarkhand state. This may also attract entrepreneurship and may help the youth getting self-employment.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Junior Research Fellowship received from Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi to the first author is gratefully acknowledged.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Abdelhaq, E.H. and Labuza, T.P. 1987. Air drying characteristics of apricots, *J. Food Sci.* 52: 342-345.
- [2]. Ahmed, J. and Choudhary, D.R. 1995. Osmotic dehydration of papaya. *Indian Food Packer.* 49:5-10
- [3]. Amerine, M.A., Pangborn, R.M. and Roessler, E.B. 1965. Principles of Sensory Evaluation of Food. Academic Press, London, pp. 5.
- [4]. Aruna, K.; Dhanalakshmi, K. and Vimala, V. 1998. Development and storage ability of cereal based papaya powder. *J. Food. Sci. Technol.*, 35: 250-254
- [5]. Bhardwaj, J.C. and Kaushal, B.B.L. 1990. A study on drying behaviour of rings from different apple cultivars of Himachal Pradesh. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 27(3) : 144-149.
- [6]. Cochran, W.G. and Cox, G.W. 1967. Experimental Designs. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- [7]. Dhingra, D., Singh, J., Patil, R.T. and Uppal, D.S. 2008. Osmotic dehydration of fruits and vegetables – A review. *J Food Sci. Technol.* 45(3):209-217.
- [8]. Kapoor, B.L. 1998. Dehydration industry in India: Status and Constraints. *Indian Food Packer.* 52: 40-41.
- [9]. Khedkar, D.N. and Roy, S.K. 1988. Storage studies in dried and dehydrated raw mango slices. *Acta Hort.* 231: 721-730
- [10]. Mahony, M.O. 1985. Sensory evaluation of Food. In: *Statistical methods and procedures.* Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York.
- [11]. Mehta, G.L.; Tomar, M.C. and Garg, R.C. 1974. Studies on dehydration of temperate fruits in Uttar Pradesh: Apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.). *Prog. Hort.* 6: 27-34
- [12]. Ranganna, S. 1986. *Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control of Fruit and Vegetable Products.* 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. Tata Mc Graw Hill Publicshing Co., Calcutta, pp: 279-309.
- [13]. Ross, A.F., Hilborn, M.J. and Jenness, L.L. 1958. Discolouration can be avoided. *Indian Food Packer.* 18: 40-42.
- [14]. Sagar, V.R. and Khurdiya, D.S. 1999. Studies on dehydration of 'Dashehari' mango slices. *Indian Food Packer.* 53: 5-9
- [15]. Sagar, V.R.; Khurdiya, D.S. and Balakrishnan, K.A. 1998. Effect of storage temperature and period on quality of dehydrated ripe mango slices. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 35: 145-150
- [16]. Sharma, K.D., Kumar, R. and Kaushal, B.B.L. 2004. Mass transfer characteristics, yield and quality of five varieties of osmotically dehydrated apricot. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 41 (3): 264-275
- [17]. Sharma, K.D.; Alkesh and Kaushal, B.B.L. 2006. Evaluation of apple cultivars for dehydration. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 43(2): 177-181
- [18]. Sharma, K.D.; Sethi, V. and Maini, S.B. 2000. Effect of pre-treatment and packaging on chemical and sensory characteristics of dried apples. *Indian Food Packer.* 54: 52-58
- [19]. Tripathi, V.K.; Singh, M.B. and Singh, S. 1988, Studies on comparative compositional changes in different preserved products of aonla var. Banarasi. *Indian Food Packer.* 42 (4): 60-66

Storage Period (months)	Polyethylene pouches	Aluminium Laminated pouches Moisture (%)	Shrink wrapped	Mean
0	11.32	11.32	11.32	11.32
2	13.46	11.08	12.84	12.46
4	13.52	11.08	13.25	12.61
6	13.68	11.08	13.33	12.70
Mean	12.99	11.14	12.68	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.16    Storage = 0.19    Treatment x Storage = 0.33 Titratable acidity (%)			
0	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82
2	5.75	5.80	5.78	5.78
4	5.67	5.77	5.72	5.72
6	5.60	5.75	5.62	5.66
Mean	5.71	5.78	5.73	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.03    Storage = 0.04    Treatment x Storage = 0.09 Reducing sugar (%)			
0	9.25	9.25	9.25	9.25
2	9.98	10.02	10.28	10.09
4	10.20	10.17	10.34	10.24
6	10.07	11.01	10.35	10.48
Mean	9.87	10.11	10.03	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = NS    Storage = 0.56    Treatment x Storage = NS Total sugar (%)			
0	38.46	38.46	38.46	38.46
2	36.84	37.74	36.92	37.17
4	36.66	37.35	36.82	36.94
6	36.59	37.30	36.79	36.89
Mean	37.14	37.71	37.25	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = NS    Storage = 0.63    Treatment x Storage = NS Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)			
0	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
2	4.50	4.80	4.55	4.62
4	4.20	4.80	4.28	4.43
6	3.80	4.50	4.10	4.13
Mean	4.37	4.77	4.48	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.13    Storage = 0.15    Treatment x Storage = 0.32			

Table 1:- Effect of different packaging materials on moisture content, titratable acidity, reducing sugar, total sugar and ascorbic acid of osmotically dehydrated apricot fruits during storage

Storage Period (months)	Polyethylene pouches	Aluminium Laminated pouches	Shrink wrapped	Mean
		Colour		
0	8.48	8.48	8.48	8.48
2	6.88	7.84	7.56	7.43
4	6.23	7.82	7.23	7.09
6	6.17	7.66	7.02	6.95
Mean	6.94	7.95	7.57	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.16	Storage = 0.18	Treatment x Storage = 0.31	
		Taste		
0	6.22	6.22	6.22	6.22
2	5.56	5.84	5.55	5.65
4	5.36	5.45	5.42	5.41
6	5.02	5.28	5.22	5.17
Mean	5.54	5.70	5.60	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.19	Storage = 0.23	Treatment x Storage = 0.44	
		Texture		
0	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45
2	5.94	6.28	6.14	6.12
4	5.53	6.08	5.86	5.82
6	5.23	5.78	5.55	5.52
Mean	5.79	6.15	6.00	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.17	Storage = 0.19	Treatment x Storage = 0.38	
		Flavour		
0	8.23	8.23	8.23	8.23
2	7.82	8.04	7.92	7.93
4	7.14	7.77	7.33	7.41
6	6.50	6.93	6.65	6.69
Mean	7.42	7.74	7.53	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.11	Storage = 0.12	Treatment x Storage = 0.25	
		Overall acceptability		
0	7.05	7.05	7.05	7.05
2	6.13	6.65	6.43	6.40
4	5.71	6.45	6.17	6.11
6	5.47	6.24	5.93	5.88
Mean	6.09	6.60	6.40	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	Treatment = 0.10	Storage = 0.12	Treatment x Storage = 0.23	

Table 2:- Effect of different packaging materials on colour, taste, texture, flavour and overall acceptability of osmotically dehydrated apricot fruits during storage