# Bipolar Diathermy; A Step Ahead of Conventional Monopolar TURP

Dr. Muhammad Haroon Ghous Chair; Division of Urology, UCM University of Lahore Dr. Ali Hassan Senior Registrar, UCM University of Lahore Dr. Sikander Afzal Associate Dean, Admin; UCM University of Lahore

#### Abstract

#### > Objective:

To compare the mean perioperative blood loss in monopolar versus bipolar transurethral resection prostate in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).

## > Methodology:

This Randomized Controlled Trial was conducted at Urology Department, Galway University Hospital, Galway, Republic of Ireland from 1st January 2018 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2018. Total 100 patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) were included. The patients were divided into two groups. Group-A (Monopolar TURP), while Group-B (Bipolar TURP). Pre-operative hematocrit of each study patient was recorded. Patient were followed up after 24 hours of surgery in which hematocrit level was estimated. Blood loss was calculated by recording pre-operative and postoperative (after 24 hours) hematocrit level. Perioperative blood loss in both groups was compared through independent t-test. Data were stratified for age, duration of BPH and size of prostate. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

## > Results:

One hundred patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included in this study. Patients were divided in two groups i.e. Group-A (Monopolar TURP) and Group-B (Bipolar TURP). The mean age of patients in group-A was  $65.4\pm17.4$  years and in group-B was  $63.6\pm14.9$  years. In group-A, mean peri-operative blood loss was  $351.3\pm14.84$  ml and  $299.4\pm13.01$  ml in group-B with a p-value of 0.000001, which is statistically significant.

## > Conclusion:

There is a difference of mean perioperative blood loss in monopolar versus bipolar transurethral resection prostate in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).

*Keywords:- Monopolar, Bipolar Transurethral Resection Prostate, Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH).* 

# I. INTRODUCTION

BPH (benign prostatic hyperplasia) is an illness of old age men<sup>1</sup>. It is categorized by epithelial cells and smooth muscle proliferation in prostate gland zone which causes symptoms of lower urinary tract<sup>2</sup>. Prevalence of BPH is 20% in men with age 40-50 and 50% in age 50-60 and it increases about 90% in men older than 80 years of age.<sup>3</sup> Aim of treatment revolves around three aspects to eliminate the symptoms of lower urinary tract (LUTS), hamper disease progression, and to reduce complications. There are many treatment modalities for BPH comprising surveillance, medical therapy and surgical management.<sup>4</sup> First line treatment is medical therapy but surgical treatment is considered when medical therapy fails or patients develop complications due to BPH.<sup>5</sup>

Transurethral monopolar resection is considered to be the Gold standard operation for the surgical management of BPH in light of long term results shown in randomized controlled trials. It involves endoscopic removal, by use of diathermy, of inner prostate gland.<sup>6</sup> This technique has great significance in improving urine flow rate, symptoms score and other parameters but it is also linked with morbidities e.g. TUR syndrome, urinary incontinence, erectile dysfunction, retrograde ejaculation and peri- and post-operative bleeding.7 To minimize these TURP linked complications many minimal invasive techniques introduced e.g. plasma kinetic bipolar loop resection, Holmium Laser Enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP), Photosensitive vaporization etc, which are similar to monopolar but different in rate of complications.8

In bipolar resection of Prostate, a special resectoscope loop used that joins both return and active electrodes, this method of flow of current minimize the risk of current flow stay.<sup>9</sup> In bipolar we use normal saline as an irrigation fluid that has theoretical advantage of preventing TUR syndrome and minimizing blood loss. Bleeding has always been a significant problem in monopolar TURP and often requires blood transfusion.<sup>10</sup> Reduced loss of blood in bipolar than monopolar has been focused in many studies.<sup>11</sup> In one study, results demonstrated that in bipolar TURP there is less intra-operative blood loss than monopolar TURP (238.5±69.43ml vs. 289.6±89.47ml).<sup>11</sup> In another study, Bipolar TURP associated with significantly less blood loss than monopolar TURP (300.0±2.47ml vs. 349.0±3.5ml).<sup>12</sup>

Since its introduction, Bipolar TURP has extended popularity and had challenged monopolar TURP in terms of less perioperative blood loss but there is no data

available regarding comparison of amount of blood loss between these two techniques. Rationale of the study is to find out if blood loss with bipolar is significantly less. If we are able to prove this then patients with expectant larger blood loss with monopolar diathermy may be treated with bipolar TURP. If the role of bipolar diathermy can be established in less perioperative bleeding during transurethral resection, we would be able to control an important complication (bleeding) linked with monopolar TURP.

## > *Objective*:

To compare the mean perioperative blood loss in monopolar versus bipolar transurethral resection in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).

# II. METHODOLOGY

This Randomized Controlled Trial was conducted at Urology Department, Galway University Hospital, Galway, Republic of Ireland from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2018 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2018. Total 100 patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) were included. The inclusion criteria was, patients with age between 55-75 years, having BPH. The exclusion criteria was Bleeding Disorder (PT, INR >1.5 times deranged), Chronic liver disease (AST, ALT >40 u/l) and Patients with uncontrolled hypertension (140/90 mmHg) and diabetes mellitus (FBS as 240 mg/dl).

The patients were divided into two groups. Group-A (Monopolar TURP), while Group-B (Bipolar TURP). Demographic data were recorded. Investigations including complete blood picture, urine complete and ultrasonography of abdomen and pelvis were done. Pre-operative hematocrit of each study patient was recorded. Patient were followed up after 24 hours of surgery in which hematocrit level was estimated. Blood loss was calculated

by recording pre-operative and post-operative (after 24 hours) hematocrit level.

Perioperative Blood loss in the operation and postoperative period for 24 hours was measured by hematocrit and in ml. Benign prostatic hyperplasia was defined as patients presenting with difficulty in micturition and USG shows enlarged prostate (>30 ml).

Data were analyzed by using SPSS 25. The quantitative data like age, duration of BPH, size of prostate and blood loss were presented in the form of Mean $\pm$ S.D. Perioperative blood loss in both groups was compared through independent t-test. The value of p <0.05 was significant.

#### III. RESULTS

One hundred patients include in this study. Patients were divided in two groups i.e. Group-A (Monopolar TURP) and Group-B (Bipolar TURP). The patients mean age in group-A was  $65.4\pm17.4$  years and in group-B was  $63.6\pm14.9$  years.

In group-A, there were 24(48.0%) in 55-65 years age group, while 26(52.0%) were in 66-75 years age group. In group-B, there were 27(54.0%) in 55-65 years age group, while 23(46.0%) were in 66-75 years age group. In group-A, 30(60.0%) had duration of BPH <2 years, while 20(40.0%) had >2 years. In group-B, 25(50.0%) had duration of BPH <2 years.

In group-A, 24(48.0%) had size of prostate <45 ml, while 26(52.0%) had >45 ml. In group-B, 25(50.0%) had size of prostate <45 ml, while 25(50.0%) had >45 ml. In group-A, mean peri-operative blood loss was  $351.3\pm14.84$  ml and  $299.4\pm13.01$  ml in group-B with a p-value of 0.000001, which is statistically significant.

Age groups	Groups				
	Group-A (Monopolar TURP)	Group-B (Bipolar TURP)	Total	p-value	
55-65 years	24	27	51		
	48.0%	54.0%	51.0%		
66-75 years	26	23	49	0.548	
	52.0%	46.0%	49.0%		
Total	50	50	100		
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

 Table 1:- Comparison of Age Distribution between Groups

	Groups				
Duration of BPH	Group-A (Monopolar TURP)	Group-B (Bipolar TURP)	Total	p-value	
<2 years	30	25	55		
	60.0%	50.0%	50.0% 55.0%		
>2 years	20	25	45	0.315	
	40.0%	50.0%	45.0%		
Total	50	50	100		
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

 Table 2:- Comparison of Duration of BPH between Groups

	Groups				
Size of Prostate	Group-A (Monopolar TURP)	Group-B (Bipolar <b>Total</b> TURP)		p-value	
<45 ml	24	25	49		
	48.0%	50.0%	49.0%		
>45 ml	26	25	51	0.841	
	52.0%	50.0%	51.0%		
Total	50	50	100		
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Table 3:- Comparison of Size of Prostate between Groups

Peri-operative Blood Loss	Groups	n	Mean	Std. Deviation	p-value
	Group-A (Monopolar TURP)	50	351.36	14.85	0.000001
	Group-B (Bipolar TURP)	50	299.40	13.01	

Table 4:- Comparison of Peri-Operative Blood Loss between Groups

# IV. DISCUSSION

BPH commonly affects older men that often leads towards troublesome symptoms and decreased quality of life. For BPH, medical therapy is first line management then surgery required eventually by men almost 20%.<sup>13</sup> TURP is the most common performed surgery for BPH and a large amount of data has been accumulated over the years demonstrating its efficacy and safety.<sup>13</sup>

Even though TURP has a low mortality rate, there is some concern regarding perioperative morbidity, especially hemorrhage, dilutional hyponatremia, and TUR syndrome. Hyponatremia and TUR syndrome are caused by using the non-conducting irrigation fluid glycine (1.5%) in TURP, which is hypo-osmolar.<sup>14-16</sup> Mebust et al. reported a 2% incidence of TUR syndrome during M-TURP.<sup>17</sup>

TURP's new modification is B-TURP. Due to current flow mechanism, B-TRUP permits the surgeon to do resection using saline irrigation., decreasing the risk of TUR syndrome and dilutional hyponatremia.<sup>18-20</sup> This method also allows long operation time in the large gland resecting. There is cut and seal effect in B-TURP and this one claimed to get best hemostasis than M-TURP.

In our study, the mean prostate size of patients undergoing M-TURP was  $39.5\pm8.3$  ml, and the mean prostate size of patients undergoing B-TURP was  $45.4\pm7.2$ (p=0.001). The difference was statistically significant. In contrast to this, at least one study shows a trend toward operating larger glands using monopolar technology as compared to bipolar technology, although the difference was not statistically significant.<sup>21</sup>

According to other studies reported in the literature, the mean prostate size varied from 42 to 82 ml for the M-TURP group and for the B-TURP group it varied from 39 to 82 ml.<sup>22-24</sup> Our study shows that there are a fair number of men who present with markedly enlarged prostates.

Possible reasons for this might be a lack of awareness and lack of access to health care, resulting in late presentation to a medical facility, by which time prostate gland would have grown considerably larger. Moreover, our study shows a surgeon's "preference" for operating on the larger gland using bipolar technology. A possible explanation for this might be surgeon's perception that "larger glands may be safely operated using bipolar technology."<sup>25</sup>

In our study, patients who underwent M-TURP had a mean peri-operative blood loss ,  $351.3\pm14.84$  ml vs 299.4±13.01 ml who underwent B-TURP. This was a statistically significant difference (p=0.000001). Reduced loss of blood in bipolar than monopolar has been focused in many studies.<sup>11</sup> In one study results demonstrated that in bipolar TURP there is less intra-operative blood loss than monopolar TURP (238.5±69.43ml vs. 289.6±89.47ml).<sup>11</sup> In another study, Bipolar TURP associated with significantly less blood loss than monopolar TURP (300.0±2.47ml vs. 349.0±3.5ml).<sup>12</sup>

# V. CONCLUSION

Bipolar diathermy usage in TURP is found to be a very effective alternative to monopolar diathermy in terms of reducing blood loss and post-operative morbidity.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. McAninch J, Lue T, Smith D. Smith and Tanagho's general urology. 1st ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2013.
- [2]. de la Rosette J, Alivizatos G, Madersbacher S, Perachino M, Thomas D, Desgrandchamps F et al. EAU Guidelines on Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH). European Urology. 2001;40(3):256-263.
- [3]. Karadeniz M, Bayazit E, Aksoy O, Salviz E, Tefik T, Sanli O et al. Bipolar versus monopolar resection of benign prostate hyperplasia: a comparison of plasma electrolytes, hemoglobin and TUR syndrome. SpringerPlus. 2016;5(1).
- [4]. Pal D, Madduri V, Bera M. Monopolar versus bipolar transurethral resection of prostate for benign prostatic hyperplasia: Operative outcomes and surgeon

preferences, a real-world scenario. Urology Annals. 2016;8(3):291.

- [5]. Omar M, Lam T, Alexander C, Graham J, Mamoulakis C, Imamura M et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical effectiveness of bipolar compared with monopolar transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP). BJU International. 2013;113(1):24-35.
- [6]. Mandal S, Singh V, Sankhwar S. Re: Huang et al.: Bipolar Transurethral Resection of the Prostate Causes Deeper Coagulation Depth and Less Bleeding Than Monopolar Transurethral Prostatectomy (Urology 2012;80:1116-1120). Urology. 2013;81(2):468.
- [7]. Lv L, Wang L, Fan M, Ju W, Pang Z, Zhu Z et al. Two-year Outcome of High-risk Benign Prostate Hyperplasia Patients Treated With Transurethral Prostate Resection by Plasmakinetic or Conventional Procedure. Urology. 2012;80(2):389-395.
- [8]. Pal D, Madduri V, Bera M. Monopolar versus bipolar transurethral resection of prostate for benign prostatic hyperplasia: Operative outcomes and surgeon preferences, a real-world scenario. Urology Annals. 2016;8(3):291.
- [9]. Li S, Kwong J, Zeng X, Ruan X, Liu T, Weng H et al. Plasmakinetic resection technology for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific Reports. 2015;5:12002.
- [10]. Kaplan S. Re: Safety and Efficacy of Bipolar versus Monopolar Transurethral Resection of the Prostate: A Comparative Study. The Journal of Urology. 2017;.
- [11]. Tawfik A, Soliman M, Aboelenen M. Can transrectal intraprostatic injection of local vasoconstrictor reduces blood loss during transurethral resection of moderately enlarged prostate: A randomized controlled trial. European Urology Supplements. 2016;15(3):971.
- [12]. Nour HH, Elkady HW, Hussein M, Kamal AM, Elganzoury HM, Elbaz AG. Bipolar Transurethral Resection of the Prostate: Perioperative Safety and Functional Outcome: Prospective Randomized Study. World J Med Sci. 2014;11(4):439-44.
- [13]. Yoon CJ, Kim JY, Moon KH, Jung HC, Park TC. Transurethral resection of the prostate with a bipolar tissue management system compared to conventional monopolar resectoscope: One-year outcome. Yonsei Med J 2006;47:715-20.
- [14]. Doll HA, Black NA, McPherson K, Flood AB, Williams GB, Smith JC. Mortality, morbidity and complications following transurethral resection of the prostate for benign prostatic hypertrophy. J Urol 1992;147:1566-73.
- [15]. Horninger W, Unterlechner H, Strasser H, Bartsch G. Transurethral prostatectomy: Mortality and morbidity. Prostate 1996;28:195-200.
- [16]. Uchida T, Ohori M, Soh S, Sato T, Iwamura M, Ao T, et al. Factors influencing morbidity in patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate. Urology 1999;53:98-105.

- [17]. Mebust WK, Holtgrewe HL, Cockett AT, Peters PC. Transurethral prostatectomy: Immediate and postoperative complications. A cooperative study of 13 participating institutions evaluating 3,885 patients. J Urol 1989;141:243-7.
- [18]. Botto H, Lebret T, Barré P, Orsoni JL, Hervé JM, Lugagne PM. Electrovaporization of the prostate with the Gyrus device. J Endourol 2001;15:313-6.
- [19]. Eaton AC, Francis RN. The provision of transurethral prostatectomy on a day-case basis using bipolar plasma kinetic technology. BJU Int 2002;89:534-7.
- [20]. Wendt-Nordahl G, Häcker A, Reich O, Djavan B, Alken P, Michel MS. The vista system: A new bipolar resection device for endourological procedures: Comparison with conventional resectoscope. Eur Urol 2004;46:586-90.
- [21]. Giulianelli R, Albanesi L, Attisani F, Gentile BC, Vincenti G, Pisanti F, *et al.* Comparative randomized study on the efficaciousness of endoscopic bipolar prostate resection versus monopolar resection technique 3 year follow-up. Arch Ital Urol Androl 2013;85:86-91.
- [22]. Erturhan S, Erbagci A, Seckiner I, Yagci F, Ustun A. Plasmakinetic resection of the prostate versus standard transurethral resection of the prostate: A prospective randomized trial with 1-year follow-up. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2007;10:97-100.
- [23]. Bhansali M, Patankar S, Dobhada S, Khaladkar S. Management of large (>60 g) prostate gland: PlasmaKinetic Superpulse (bipolar) versus conventional (monopolar) transurethral resection of the prostate. J Endourol 2009;23:141-5.
- [24]. Akçayöz M, Kaygisiz O, Akdemir O, Aki FT, Adsan O, Cetinkaya M. Comparison of transurethral resection and plasmakinetic transurethral resection applications with regard to fluid absorption amounts in benign prostate hyperplasia. Urol Int 2006;77:143-7.
- [25]. Omar MI, Lam TB, Alexander CE, Graham J, Mamoulakis C, Imamura M, *et al.* Systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical effectiveness of bipolar compared with monopolar transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP). BJU Int 2014;113:24-35.